INTERNATIONAL COMET QUARTERLY

Whole Number 108

OCTOBER 1998

Vol. 20, No. 4

— Table of Contents —

163: Opinion/Editorial Essay: Does the Instantaneous Publication Of Observations Cause Severe Problems for the ICQ Archives?,

by Andreas Kammerer and John E. Bortle

166: Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. I., by Reinder J. Bouma

166: Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. II., by Guy M. Hurst

166: Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. III., by Charles S. Morris

169: Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. IV., by Stephen James O'Meara

170: Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. V., by Alfredo Pereira

171: Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. VI.,

by Jonathan Shanklin

172: From the Editor: Thoughts on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay and on the Commentaries

175: Comets for the Visual Observer in 1998, by Alan Hale

177: Leo S. Boethin (1912-1998)

177: Tabulation of Comet Observations

227: Designations of Recent Comets

228: IWCA II: Early Registration Form



SMITHSONIAN ASTROPHYSICAL OBSERVATORY 60 Garden Street · Cambridge, MA 02138 · U.S.A.

The International Comet Quarterly (ICQ) is a journal devoted to news and observation of comets, published by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory in Cambridge, Massachusetts. Regular issues are published 4 times per year (January, April, July, and October), with an annual Comet Handbook of ephemerides published normally in the first half of the year as a special fifth issue. An index to each volume normally is published in every other October issue (odd-numbered years); the ICQ is also indexed in Astronomy and Astrophysics Abstracts and in Science Abstracts Section A.

The regular (invoiced) subscription rate is US\$31.00 per year for surface-mail delivery (price includes the annual Comet Handbook; the price without the Handbook is US\$23.00 per year). Subscribers who do not wish to be billed may subscribe at the special rate of US\$23.00 per year for surface-mail delivery (rate is \$15.00 without Handbook). Add \$15.00/year to each of these rates for airmail delivery outside of the United States or for first-class delivery within the U.S. [The last set of digits (after the hyphen) on the top line of the mailing address label gives the Whole Number that signifies the last ICQ issue which will be sent under the current subscription status. An asterisk after these numbers indicates credit for the next annual Comet Handbook. The first five digits represent the subscriber's account number.] Make checks or money orders payable in U.S. funds (and drawn on a U.S. bank) to International Comet Quarterly and send to Mail Stop 18; Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory; 60 Garden St.; Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A.

Credit cards may be used for payment of subscriptions, though a minimum of US\$20.00 can be accepted for each charge. Credit-card orders may be placed by e-mail (to iausubs@cfa.harvard.edu), by fax (to USA 617-495-7231), or by telephone (to USA 617-495-7280, generally between 14:00 and 21:00 UT, Monday to Friday). When sending orders by fax or e-mail, please include the following information: (1) your name (as given on the credit card); (2) card type (MasterCard, Visa, or Discover); (3) credit-card number and expiration date; (4) address at which the card is registered; (5) which services you wish to subscribe to; (6) if the payment is for the renewal of a current or expired account,

please include your account number.

Group subscription rates available upon request. Back issues are \$6.00 each — except for "current" Comet Handbooks, which are available for \$15.00 (\$8.00 to subscribers if ordered with their ICQ subscription; see above). Up-to-date information concerning comet discoveries, orbital elements, and ephemerides can be obtained by subscribing to the IAU Circulars and/or the Minor Planet Circulars (via postal mail and also available via computer access); for further information, contact the above e-mail address (or the ICQ at the above postal address).

Cometary observations should be sent to the Editor in Cambridge; all data intended for publication in the ICQ that is not sent via computer electronic mail should be sent on standard ICQ observation report forms, which can be obtained upon request from the Editor. Those who can send observational data (or manuscripts) in machine-readable form are encouraged to do so [especially through e-mail via the computer networks SPAN (6700::DAN) or Internet (ICQ@CFA.HARVARD.EDU), or via floppy disks that can be read on an IBM PC], and should contact the Editor for further information. The ICQ has extensive information for comet observers on the World Wide Web, including the Keys to Abbreviations used in data tabulation (see URL http://cfa-www.harvard.edu/cfa/ps/icq.html). In early 1997, the ICQ published a 225-page Guide to Observing Comets; only a few copies are still available (contact the Editor before sending money).

Most of the Observation Coordinators (OCs) listed below have e-mail contacts with the ICQ Editor; observers in the general area of such

OCs who lack access to e-mail networks may send data to the OC for relay to the ICQ in electronic form.

ICQ EDITORIAL STAFF::

Daniel W. E. Green.....Editor Charles S. Morris.....Associate Editor Syuichi Nakano......Comet Handbook Editor

OBSERVATION COORDINATORS::

AUSTRALIA	Paul Camilleri (51 Mookarii Street; Cobram 3644, Victoria); David A. J. Seargent
DEDATOS	Derrey A. Shirdakov (Fist 22: 1 Korban Street, 211011 Danie)
BRAZIL	José Guilherme de S. Aguiar (R. Candido Portinari, 241; 13089-070 - Campinas - S.P.)
BULGARIA	Veselles Redevice (Action of the College of the Col
CHINA	Veselka Radeva (Astronomical Observatory and Planetarium; P.O.B. 120; 9000 Varna)
CZECH DEDITO	Chen Dong Hua (101 Quan Zhou Road; Gulangyu, Xiamen 361002)
ED ANCE	Petr Pravec (Astronomical Institute; CS-25165 Ondřejov); Vladimir Znojil
FRANCE	Stephane Garro (Horizon 1800: Ratiment A. 05170 O 14.)
GERMAN I	Andreas Kammerer (Johann-Gregor-Rrever-Str. 28, 7627; Fill:)
HONGARI	Arisztian Sarneczky (Vecsey ii. 10: H-1193 Rudonest)
11ALY	G. Antonio Milani (Din. Scienze Biomediche: via Triesta 75, 25121 D. J)
JAPAN	AKIMASA Nakamura (P.O. Box 9 Kurns Post Office, Kurns als. Elim, 701 1001)
THE NETHERLANDS	Alex Scholten (Horsterdijk 6a; NL-6961 KP Eerbeek)
NEW ZEALAND	Alan C. Gilmore and Pamela Kilmartin (P.O. Box 57; Lake Tekapo 8770)
NORWAY	Bjoern H. Granslo (Postboks 1029; Blindern; N-0315 Oslo 3)
POLAND	Djoern H. Gransio (Postboks 1029; Blindern; N-0315 Oslo 3)
I ODAND	Janusz Pleszka and Tomasz Sciezor (Faculty of Physics and Nuclear Technique; University of Mining
PURIUGAL	Alfredo Pereira (R. Antero de Quental 8, 2 dto; Carnaxide; 2795 Linda-a-Velha)
SEC VENTA	nerman Mikuz (Kerankova II: 61000 Linkliana)
SOUTHERN AFRICA	Tim Cooper (P.O. Box 14740: Bredell 1823: Kempton Donle, Court Action)
SPAIN	Jose Carvalai Martinez (San Graciano 7, 28028 Madaid)
5 W EDEN	Joergen Danielsson (Hasselstigen 2D: 386 00 Femigetaden)
UKRAINE	Alexandr R. Baransky (Komarova 12; Vladimir — Volynsky; Volynska 264940)
UNITED KINGDOM	Jonathan Shanklin (11 City Road; Cambridge CB1 1DP; England)
	Chy M. Hand (12 Oly Iwad; Cambridge CB1 IDP; England)
former II S S D	Guy M. Hurst (16 Westminster Close; Kempshott Rise; Basingstoke, Hants RG22 4PP; England)
Torriner C.D.B.Ht	Klim I. Churyumov (Astronomical Observatory; Kiev University; Observatorna 3; Kiev 254053; Ukraine)

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD::

Michael F. A'Hearn, University of Maryland Michel Festou, Observatoire Midi-Pyrenees, Toulouse Brian G. Marsden, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics David D. Meisel, State University College of New York, Geneseo

Thomas L. Rokoske, Appalachian State University Zdenek Sekanina, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

++++++++++

This issue is No. 108 of the publication originally called The Comet (founded March 1973) and is Vol. 20, No. 4, of the ICQ. [ISSN 0736-6922] © Copyright 1998, Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory.

CORRIGENDA

• In the July 1997 issue, page 152, bottom (second corrigendum), for "Comet 118P/Shoemaker-Levy 4" read "Comet 121P/Shoemaker-Holt 2"

- In the July 1998 issue, page 153, "Comet 88P/Howell", the observation on 1998 04 28.94 by observer SHA02 is to be deleted.
- In the July 1998 issue, page 157, the observation by observer RES attributed to 'Comet 114P/Wiseman-Skiff' belongs to 'Comet 118P/Shoemaker-Levy 4'.

Editor's Note: The idea for the following essay was proposed by the authors a couple of years ago, and was contributed last summer. It was decided that several experienced comet observers and archivists should be shown this essay prior to publication, with the offer of publishing rebuttals or commentary. After the essay by Kammerer and Bortle, then, are the replies of several ICQ readers who reviewed this essay prior to publication (several of whom saw two pre-publication drafts of the essay).

0 0 0

Opinion/Editorial Essay:

Does the Instantaneous Publication Of Observations Cause Severe Problems for the ICQ Archives?

by Andreas Kammerer and John E. Bortle

During the past several years, worldwide communication within the astronomical community via the Internet has increased dramatically. Today it is possible to have access to the very latest discoveries of comets, novae, and other fascinating objects within minutes of their being first recognized. This has proved very helpful in facilitating immediate follow-up observations by a great number of observers and a multitude of instruments. In the same sense, it is possible to see details of observations obtained sometimes only minutes earlier. However, as the authors will attempt to demonstrate in the following editorial, there are indications that it also has the potential to influence observers in some very negative ways.

Instantaneous publication of potential discoveries

This editorial, however, is not the first in presenting some possible negative influences of the Internet to a broader audience. On IAU Circulars 6736, 6737, and 6739, Brian Marsden discussed at length the disadvantages of the new electronic media in the case of announcing a possible discovery (of a supernova) via the Internet without thoroughly checking for other possibilities, such as an asteroid passing very slowly in front of a galaxy. There are two reasons for a potential discoverer to act like this: (1) the lack of appropriate catalogues and programs to check the suspicious object, and (2) the fear, particularly in the amateur community, of possibly losing credit for the discovery due to a delayed announcement.

The second situation has become more important in the last few years because an increasing number of amateur astronomers possess instrumentation that was only accessible to professionals just a couple of decades ago, and because of the possibility of accessing institutions within minutes. Thus, without having a manual defining the steps that a potential discoverer should follow and listing those reference sources that he or she should consult prior to announcing a find, it can be expected that the number of "false alarms" will grow in the near future. Similar concerns can be found in two recent articles published in the English journal *The Astronomer* (Mobberley and Hurst 1997; Hurst 1998).

The authors think, however, that instantaneous publication of discovery announcements, without a thorough check for possible misidentification or errors, is only one part of the problem. Another potential problem concerns the instantaneous publication of otherwise ordinary observational data and its impact on other observers. The discussion below addresses its significance to the field of comet observations, but it may well apply to observational data from other areas as well.

The Case of Comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake)

Since the potential for the Internet's World Wide Web (WWW) to serve as bulletin board for observational data was recognized a few years ago, a growing number of both professional and amateur astronomers have created "specialty" pages pertaining to their specific fields of interest. Among these have been a number of sites addressing comets. The intention of such web "pages" is clear and straightforward: to establish a forum for the instantaneous publication of observations, ephemerides, and discovery announcements.

These electronic comet pages experienced their first big boost with the appearance of comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) in early 1996. The first bright comet in 20 years, it proved to be of great interest. Accesses or "hits" at various cometrelated sites reached dizzying numbers. In some cases, not only were the numbers of observations breathtaking, but so were some of the reports themselves! The worldwide enthusiasm even infected the Central Bureau for Astronomical Telegrams, which closely documented the development of this comet by placing in the Web scores of brightness and taillength estimates. However, the bulk of the observations published on the IAU Circulars were those giving the brightest reported magnitudes and longest tail lengths. When the scatter in the estimates of experienced observers exceeded a full magnitude and tail lengths differed by a factor of two or three, ICQ editor Dan Green e-mailed a large number of the most experienced observers worldwide, asking them to check their methodologies!

The "Hyakutake-mania" was at its peak in the last days of March when the IAU Central Bureau also became visibly concerned. IAUC 6360 was the first to cast doubt on some of the reported tail-length estimates, noting: "Several readers, notably A. W. Harris, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, have pointed out that, if the tail of a comet corresponds to the extended radius vector (as is particularly likely for a gas tail), it is physically impossible for its angular extent to exceed the phase angle. They therefore question some of the reported observations of tail length, particularly on IAUC 6355."

Suddenly the enthusiasm of those days gave way to marked concern. What had happened? Who was right? The discussion soon focused upon two alternatives: Were the observers reporting exceedingly long tails indeed correct, or perhaps was it the result of some sort of physiological effects or other outside influence, as discussed in detail by Kammerer

(1996, 1998)?

The Situation With Comet C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp)

Just one year after the display of comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake), comet C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp) filled the sky and its observations filled many WWW pages. This particular object displayed a large dust tail. However, except very near the coma, this appendage was of a much lower surface brightness than the dust tails of most other comets of similar brilliance. While the reported tail-length estimates did not exceed the phase angle in the case of C/1995 O1, there were some reports of tail lengths that exceeded the average values obtained by equally good observers under favorable sky conditions by a factor of two! The validity of these reported values must also be questioned.

The first author notes that a group of experienced and very-well-equipped German observers were situated in the Alps during April of 1997 and experienced quite a number of excellent and very dry nights at an elevation of 3150 meters.

They were never able to see or photograph the dust tail as being any longer than about 23°.

Not only was the length of the comet's tail in question, but there also emerged quite some dispute over the published brightness estimates. Whereas the majority of the observers reported magnitudes at $\simeq -0.5$ at maximum, a very significant number of reports stated the comet was nearly as bright, or even equal to, Sirius. Historically, the most experienced comet observers have typically reported the highest magnitude values, while those who have spent a lesser time in this pursuit derive noticeably lower values. On this occasion the situation was largely reversed!

What could cause these discrepancies? Could it be that these estimates were influenced by some sort of outside feedback? In an attempt to define the possible sources of outside influence, the authors examined a number of effects that might tend to produce exaggerated results. Those considered the most significant by the authors are included below.

Physiological Effects — Tricking the Eye

Physiological effects surely play an often-underestimated role in the case when one is observing low-contrast objects, especially if they are elongated. Our eye-brain system seems to be notoriously prone to lengthen linear features at the threshold of detection, or to mentally link such a feature with other low-contrast or weak objects that lay beyond them in the same general direction. This produces the illusion that a feature is much longer than it really is. Such a scenario was surely played out to an extreme degree with comets 1P/Halley in 1986, C/1983 H1 (IRAS-Araki-Alcock), and C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake). The longest visual tail lengths reported to co-author Bortle for Sky and Telescope's 'Comet Digest' for these three objects were 70°, 50°, and 117°! These observations were made by what the authors consider to be fairly respectable observers. But in each case, the authors consider the values to be utterly out of the question. This is because more experienced observers, under better skies, saw much shorter tail lengths. The same problem may well relate to past long-tailed comets as well (1843, 1861, 1P/Halley in 1910, etc.).

In the case of comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake), for example, the comet's tail temporarily lined up with the Coma cluster and the gegenschein near the time of closest approach to the earth. In this respect, it is in no ways inconsistent

that some observers felt that the visual tail passed right through the gegenschein.

A striking example of prolongation effect was noted by a group of German comet observers while observing under crystal-clear skies in the Alps in mid-April 1996. After the end of twilight, one, then two observers claimed seeing the tail of C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) right up to the zenith (meaning a tail length of about 70°), whereas the remainder of the group could not confirm this. About two hours later, when the coma and the brighter parts of the tail had set, none of the observers, including the two who reported the 70° appendage, could see even a trace of a tail, although the tail's terminus should have still been high in the sky.

Further evidence for this explanation comes from a project initiated by the first author to compare visually and photographically derived tail lengths of comet C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp). It gave two interesting results: (a) the visual tail lengths were often quite similar to the ones measured on the best photographs, and (b) in the very few cases when the visually derived tail length exceeded the photographically measured one, the tail was oriented toward asterisms/extended

objects such as the Cepheus spur of the Milky Way or the bright stars of Cassiopeia.

Under this same heading might be addressed the related problem of observers unconsciously out-pacing reality with their observations and finding no way to go but up. This "no retreat" scenario goes as follows. Let's say that an individual reported a tail length of 60° for C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) on a given night some days before closest approach to the earth and, in fact, this was really a gross over-estimate. Five nights later (with the comet significantly nearer to the earth), he can only see 55° of tail. Knowing that the comet is closer, the natural assumption is that the tail MUST really be longer. Rather than report what is seen, there is the tendency to force the current observation to conform to expectations, and the tail might come out as 75° long — even though it's really 55°! The same goes for magnitudes. The second author can provide numerous examples of "anticipated magnitudes" in the field of variable-star observation, where some variable stars (such as Mira-type) have light curves that can be somewhat anticipated.

Although the mentioned effects are not new, we think that they have become more relevant today, due to the influence

that the instantaneous publication of such biased observations could have on other observers.

Sadly, there is also a darker psychological effect that may tend to influence certain individuals, namely the need to be a "champion" observer — one born out of a need for recognition and a quick rise to "fame." Individuals of this sort (in most cases unknown to the astronomical community until that date) seem to have become increasingly common in recent years, perhaps even more so since electronic bulletin boards and instantaneous data exchange sprang up. In some instances, these disturbed individuals totally fabricate their "observations", but after a time this generally becomes fairly obvious. While many of us will just disregard such posting, they could just as easily tend to influence, mislead, or at least confuse other observers.

The Influence Of Computer Bulletin Boards

While the tendency for the eye/mind combination to extend the apparent length of elongated objects has long been established, the authors feel that they have identified an additional psychological effect that almost certainly has played a significant role in skewing the observational data of the two recent brilliant comets. This new effect arises from an observer's knowledge of a comet's current physical status through the almost-instantaneous publication of observations on various computer bulletin boards prior to making his own observations. It can be regarded as a modern form of the known "ephemeris influence", but is suspected of having a greater impact due to the fact that daily-updated Web pages are more convincing than any ephemeris can ever be. This can highly degrade the "independence" of the data and, in the authors' opinion, may well be playing a highly significant role in today's comet observations.

While one might think this sort of thing would be largely a problem for newcomers, the authors contend that seeing reports of exaggerated tail lengths or total magnitudes may even lead more level-headed observers to "upping" their observed values to mimic more closely the reported extremes. Such a procedure (rounding to the next higher 5° or even 10°) was noted in the course of a survey of the German observers with the longest reported tail lengths for comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake)!

In the course of this survey, a comparison was also made between the tail-length estimates of members who consulted bulletin boards extensively against the estimates of members without access to computer bulletin boards. Taking into account the different skills and conditions at the observing sites, a greater tail length on average for the first group could be recognized. Nevertheless, because of the relatively small data base and the complex nature of a visual comet measurement, this result should only be regarded as an additional hint to the proposed effect. It is, however, interesting to note that the two estimates exceeding the phase angle within the German Comet Section were both reported by observers with extensive use of computer bulletin boards.

The same effect can also be recognized by comparing different "comet pages" on the Web. There are Web pages that tend to quote significantly higher values for the brightness or tail length than others, although the observers do not show significant differences concerning observing skills or sky conditions.

Conclusions and Recommendations

In this extended editorial, the authors wished to address their considerable concern over factors whose impacts on observations until now seems to have been either unrecognized or at least significantly underestimated: physiological effects on observers and the "bulletin-board-effect" produced by consulting computer bulletin boards. While physiology seems to play a significant role only under rare circumstances, the impact of the bulletin boards is sure to become more and more severe with growing instantaneous information exchange.

Because the effects discussed are complex and subtle at first glance, the authors cannot finally state the degree of their severity. However, it is very interesting to note that similar discussions are recently emerging within other groups like the AAVSO!

Before the comet archives are endangered by acquiring volumes of erroneous data, with the final consequence of professionals turning away from the good visual data gathered by most comet observers, the authors propose that serious contributors to this field should act in the sense of a "codex for comet observation". This would imply that an observer:

- (1) minimizes the potential of being influenced by published estimates. Extending the long-accepted recommendation of not looking at the ephemeris predictions, an observer should not access a bulletin board immediately in advance of an observation especially in the case that the intended observation will take place after a break of several days due to bad weather or the moon.
- (2) always reports what he or she sees, even if the estimate seems to contradict his or her expectations or does not fit well with published observations.
- (3) always considers the possibility of physiological effects and tries to minimize them.
- (4) should be in every case self-critical and emotionless to his or her observations (everybody, even the most experienced observers, sometimes produces bad estimates).

Acknowledgements

R. J. Bouma, D. W. E. Green, G. M. Hurst, B. G. Marsden, C. S. Morris, S. J. O'Meara, A. Pereira, J. V. Scotti, and J. D. Shanklin kindly read over various manuscript versions of this essay and provided valuable comments used for this final version.

REFERENCES

Hurst, G. M. (1998). "Discovery Claims", The Astronomer 409, 2.

Kammerer, A. (1996). "The Brightness Evolution and Tail of Comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake)", The Astronomer 388, 86-89.

Kammerer, A. (1998). "C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) — Der Grosse Komet des Jahres 1996", Sterne und Weltraum 37, 374-382.

Mobberley, M.; and G. M. Hurst (1997). "Discovery Handling Procedures", The Astronomer 402, 145-148.

 \diamond \diamond \diamond

Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. I.

Reinder J. Bouma

Groningen, The Netherlands

I would like to note that I find the general tone of the article rather pessimistic. Maybe the authors wanted to concentrate in this forum only on the negative side of WWW homepages and bulletins boards. In my opinion, though, the advantages of the 'new' media far outweigh the disadvantages. I certainly do not want to go back to the times when distribution of comet discoveries, orbital elements, and the like was much slower, by telephone and snail-mail. And the occasional peek at one of the comet homepages really can help in planning a night of observing. A rough indication of the recent brightness of a comet — and thus the knowledge that it could be in reach of your telescope — can help in setting the priorities right: this may be important if incoming clouds or a rising Moon limit the available time for observing. And following the final recommandations by the authors is really not that difficult, if you realize that observations on homepages and electronic bulletin boards are only preliminary (not checked for errors), are made under different sky conditions, may suffer from poor methodology (brighter is not always better) or poor reference stars (GSC magnitudes, some AAVSO sequences, etc.), and all sorts of bias. Then it is not difficult to believe only your own eyes.

 \diamond \diamond \diamond

Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. II.

Guy M. Hurst

Basingstoke, Hants, England

As Editor of *The Astronomer*, I share the concerns of both authors on the effect of bias caused by immediate availability of data on such mediums as the WWW. Of even more concern is the announcement of discoveries via this medium by individuals who have not taken the trouble to thoroughly investigate their claims.

In recent meetings of the 'Professional-Amateur Liaison Committee' in London, both sides expressed severe reservations about the practice of announcing claims that so often become false alarms, because — in the case of amateurs (and sometimes also professionals) — there seems to be a tendency to 'believe' these announcements as though the WWW adds a curious authenticity to the details.

Sadly, I suspect that 'peer group pressure' is to blame for many errors in discovery claims and also exaggerated magnitude/tail estimates. The issue is also certainly evident in areas other than comets, and I fear that this will not only distort databases and affect future research but may also lower the standing of the work done by amateur astronomers in the eyes of professionals. Let us hope that all the readers of the ICQ examine their conscience and decide whether new techniques and methods are needed. I shall be doing this personally, since none of us can ignore these issues — no matter how experienced we are in the observation of comets and other objects.

 \diamond \diamond \diamond

Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. III.

Charles S. Morris
ICQ Associate Editor

I think that it is important that the readers are aware that I have commented on an earlier draft of this article (to little effect, unfortunately). Thus, this response, particularly the last half, will sound a lot like a critical review of the paper.

It is also important to note that two issues are being discussed in the Kammerer-Bortle paper (henceforth denoted as the "K-B paper"), and they are not as connected as the authors would have you believe. The first, and most important, issue is whether the Internet, via its bulletin boards and web pages, is or could corrupt the ICQ (or other astronomical) databases that rely on visual observations. The second, less important, issue involves outlying or "extreme" observations and why they are wrong.

The Effect of the Internet

Does the rapid publishing of information on the Internet have an effect on the reported observations? . . . No doubt. Whether this is a positive or negative effect is the question. Also, there is the question of what, if anything, should be done to "correct" the situation from the Internet side. This latter issue was not addressed in the K-B paper despite my request to do so.

It is generally recognized that the ICQ archive includes a lot of pre-Internet magnitude data that tend to be faint—some as much as two magnitudes faint. This has generally be attributed to "personal corrections", poor methodology, poor comparison-star sequences, and (most importantly) inexperience. Edberg and Morris¹ demonstrated that experience made the greatest difference in brightness estimates of 1P/Halley in 1985-86.

Ideally, you would like the "correct" brightness for a given time period (e.g., a day) to be the statistical average of all the brightness estimates. Even though magnitude data (again for a given day) tend to be Gaussian (meaning that an average should produce the "correct" answer statistically), the brightness generally considered to be correct was always the estimates by the more experienced observers, which tended to be brighter than the average. If the data from the fainter observers were used in analyses (and often they weren't), they were typically corrected to agree (on average) with the brighter observers. So the data in the ICQ archive had their problems prior to the Internet.

Post-Internet, the situation has changed. Based on what is reported to me on the "Comet Observation Home Page", most of the faint observations are gone, and yet there is typically still scatter in the observations of 0.5-1.0 magnitude. Shouldn't this be considered a good thing? Now the observers who were brightest, pre-Internet, are closer to the average. Considering this from a positive view, the rapid information provided on the Internet allows observers to learn more quickly than in the pre-Internet days. If one takes the negative view, all these observers are being strongly biased by what they see on the Internet.

It is certainly true that a percentage of observers are biased by what they are told or read, and a very small number actually "cheat". Fellow observers and even the comet's ephemeris can influence observers, as has been demonstrated many times in the past. So it is not surprising that some observers will bias their observations based on what they see on the Internet. (Interestingly, it has been my personal experience that such biases tend to make the observations closer to the "expected" values, not the extreme values. There is generally more pressure to conform to the majority than to be with the minority.) There is absolutely no excuse for falsifying observations — I have always wondered how one could possibly get any gratification doing something like that. These people do get caught. Fortunately, cheaters are rare — although rapid publication on the Internet may increase this problem.

I believe that it is important to realize that it is ultimately up to the analysts to decide what data should be used in their analyses.

The Influence of Computer Bulletin Boards

In the corresponding section with this same heading, the authors claim that German observers that used computer bulletin boards had higher tail-length estimates. I requested details of this study so that I could evaluate the claim. The "study" is still mentioned in the paper — toned down a bit, but with no real details. The unpublished explanation by Mr. Kammerer was "In response of the suggestions I did a new analysis and have to admit that the effect visible to me would not be very convincing to others."

Prior to raising a red flag about the Internet, two conditions must be established: first, that there is a significant change in reported physical parameters resulting from the rapid publication of observations; and second, that this change represents an incorrect bias or distortion of the observed parameters. The authors haven't established either of these conditions. (As stated earlier, I do believe that it should be possible to establish that changes have taken place in the distribution of magnitude estimates.)

Case Studies of "Extreme" Observations

The second issue raised by the authors deals with observations that they feel are impossible and thus are incorrect. Unfortunately for me, I have been involved in at least two of the cases they cite. I have been told by John Bortle that their comments do not represent an "attack" on my observations, but it sure feels that way, particularly when the authors choose not to address valid scientific objections to their comments that I have raised in my previous review of this paper. So here are my comments.

The Case of Comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake)

I think that it should be pointed out that a scatter of about one magnitude in the brightness observations is not unexpected, given the size of this comet's coma (generally over a degree in diameter at closest approach). Also, tail-length differences of a factor of 2-3 are typical for any bright comet. Observers in cities will always see much shorter tails.

¹ S. J. Edberg and C. S. Morris (1986), "Observational Factors Affecting Studies of P/Halley's Visual Light Curve", in 20th ESLAB Symposium on the Exploration of Halley's Comet, I, 609-612.

² http://encke.jpl.nasa.gov

The issue of unphysically long tail lengths is of concern, of course. However, the tail lengths are only unphysical assuming that the comet's tail lies (in three dimensions) along the comet's anti-solar direction. Deviations from this assumption will lead to longer possible physical tail lengths. I was easily able to find images of comet gas tails that deviated from the anti-solar direction by 20°-30°. So from that standpoint, it is "possible" that the reported long tail lengths are not in error. After the IAU Circular was published with Dr. Harris' concern on it, I had discussions with him about the possibility of modeling the tail of C/1996 B2. To my knowledge, this has never been done.

Is it possible that a number of experienced observers were tricked by the Coma cluster and the gegenschein? The answer is yes. Unlike the case with C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp), the extremely long tail of C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) could not be verified by a critical method that I use for confirming long tails — the night-to-night movement against the background stars. Although I have a excellent sequence of observations up to closest approach, the comet's tail, when at its longest, pivoted around a point in the sky about where Kammerer and Bortle say we were being fooled. Do I think we were fooled? No, but I do admit the possibility. This is an interesting problem that is worth further study.

A comment needs to be made concerning the discussion in the K-B paper about German observers who saw the long tail on C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) in mid-April 1996. After the comet's head had set, the long tail was no longer seen. The reason for this, ignored by the authors, is simple: increased atmospheric extinction as the faint tail got lower in the sky. This is a "striking" example of ignoring a reasonable explanation of the facts because it doesn't fit your view of the world.

The Situation with Comet C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp)

I am also guilty of seeing the long tail on comet C/1995 O1. After having been through the ridicule caused by my C/1996 B2 observations, the last thing I wanted was to see another long tail on any comet! But my observations of both the dust and gas tails of C/1995 O1 were longer, in some cases significantly longer, than what was seen by other observers. I honestly considered not reporting these observations. The ridicule of the C/1996 B2 observations had been significant and had included a nasty joke circulated on the Internet by a professional astronomer, who later apologized.

In the case of C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp), these long tails moved, night-to-night, against the background stars. When the long dust tail was first observed, I even used one of Kammerer's suggested tests of blocking out the comet and then determining where the tail ended from the end of the tail — I still got the very long tail length.

The K-B paper mentions that visual and photographic observations were compared of the tail of C/1995 O1. The fact is that the only valid way of comparing visual and photographic observations is by using a densitometer to determine where the tail ends on the image. Otherwise, you are trying to interpret an image using the eye to confirm an observation made of the sky by the eye. Obviously, the film used probably did not exactly reproduce all the different spectral intensities in the sky. So trying to interpret the image with the eye does not provide one with an absolute measure of the tail length, but only a measure of what the eye can see on the image. Using a densitometer certainly helps, but if the image didn't record the entire tail (assuming it exists), even this is not an absolute measure of tail length. So photographic tail observations can confirm a visually reported tail length, but they can not disprove it. Ultimately, the best way to resolve the tail-length issue in the future will be using (very-)wide-field CCD systems.

The fact that reported long tail lengths extend towards "asterisms/extended objects" or bright stars is not surprising. For tails in excess of 25° in length, it is difficult to find a portion of the sky that doesn't contain one of these objects.

Summary

Surprisingly, after all is said and done, it is easy to mostly agree with the recommended codex for comet observation. However, I know from experience that reporting what one sees, as recommended in point (2), can lead to an unpleasant situation — if your observations do not fall into the expected range of acceptable values. This peer-pressure effect, not mentioned in the authors' paper, must have a chilling effect on certain observers and is just as dangerous as any of the effects mentioned in the authors' paper. (Does anyone believe that the other observers stayed quiet when those two German observers reported the long tail length?) Good observers (yes, I do count myself among that group) will check and run tests on their own observations as part of observing (point 4).

In reality, one can minimize exposure to other estimates (point 1), but there are disadvantages, as well. For instance, you might not have the correct instrument available if you don't know the approximate size and brightness of the comet. There is value in checking your observations against others — as part of the self-testing. This doesn't mean you change your observation for the sake of conforming, however.

Well before the Internet, comet observers were discussing their observations routinely with one another (via telephone). It is natural to want to share what you have seen with other observers and to compare it with what they have observed. The sharing of observations is not new. The Internet has only made this easier to do.

Ultimately, it is not how much information you have seen that makes a good observer — it is being able to make an independent estimate using the proper techniques. I do believe that most observers try to do this.

The question as to whether the Internet has helped or harmed observations is a mixed bag. I think on the whole the influence has been positive. And we are going to have to live with it because, as the saying goes, the cat is out of the bag. The only possible way to put the cat back in the bag is by having some form of censorship on observations imposed—that is, preventing (where possible) or discouraging the publication of observations on the Internet (for a period of time, for instance). I think that is both unworkable and would be very bad.

Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. IV.

Stephen James O'Meara

Volcano, Hawaii

In response to the Kammerer/Bortle opinion essay, I find their concerns valid, and I appreciate the effort that went into this work. It is an important article and one well worth pondering.

I do see a situation (one not discussed), however, that creates a 'Catch 22' for new observers. In summary, the authors encourage new observers to strive to report what they see and not what is published. Of course, if new observers report what they see without comparing their estimates to more experienced observers, they will undoubtedly "fall off the curve" and risk being considered bad observers.

My concern, then, is: if a beginner sees experts arguing over who is correct in their magnitude or tail-length estimates, who are they to follow? Does bickering over the extreme magnitude and tail-length estimates made of two extraordinary and uncommon comets, C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) and C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp), help or hinder novice observers? (Certainly, unless I'm badly mistaken, the authors' concern is with how experienced observers can influence the opinion of non-experienced observers.)

Arguably, a beginner's reputation in the field is judged largely on not how honest they are but how well their data measure up to those of certain individuals (and perhaps those who stomp their foot the loudest). As we all have learned when we were young, the best way to gain experience is in fact to monitor the varying estimates from experienced observers, then to go out and, using various magnitude-estimate methods, derive what works best for us, so that our estimates are at least in the ballpark. Practice on different comets of varying natures over the years hones an observer's skills and helps that person gain confidence. Consider the following quote from the ICQ Guide to Observing Comets (4.5.5, page 73): "Observers should compare their observations later with those data published by more experienced observers, and look for ways to improve data if the magnitude estimates differ by more than 0.5 mag or so consistently; but data should never be changed later!"

The discussions that I've been involved with lately between experienced comet observers over magnitude estimates of C/1996 B2 and C/1995 O1 have certainly been eye-opening; the problems with these comets were multifold. The head of C/1996 B2 was uncommonly large; only those who saw comet C/1983 H1 (IRAS-Araki-Alcock) might have appreciated the difficulty in trying to estimate such a large and diffuse head; so, as one might expect, the separation between magnitude observations increased as C/1996 B2 neared the earth and its coma swelled in size. During that apparition, $\bar{I}CQ$ Editor Green encouraged the use of a 'comet monocular' for making magnitude estimates, the use of which helped many observers get back on track. That was a wonderful solution to a problem that we comet observers probably won't face for another decade or more. Also during that time, Green and I had several discussions about comet color and its influence on the human eye (because, arguably, the comet did display color); individuals may be sensitive to different spectral responses, which will therefore lead to disparate comet-magnitude estimates. It's not a well documented phenomenon, but it is one to consider. The problem is that we do not know absolutely what is 'right' and what is 'wrong' when it comes to different comet magnitudes, due to the different styles, techniques, and physical influences (some of which may be difficult or impossible to control as observers). The AAVSO recently ran a program to help determine if different observers have different color sensitivities, which could influence or help explain why certain observers are continually bright or faint with respect to certain variable stars — a positive solution to an obvious problem. We should adopt a similar program to help determine such color sensitivities in comet observers. Further study is also needed by specialists of what exactly is happening when a visual (or CCD) photometric measurement of a comet is obtained in terms of the theory of methodology, to contribute some more useful information to those of us who may be firmly entrenched in our views. New methods have been formulated even recently, as with the 'Modified-Out' method that Charles Morris and I independently derived two decades ago.

As for the reported tail lengths of comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake), I find the reports interesting and stimulating, not alarming. To think that the human eye could not be fooled would be folly; but to assume that the eye is being fooled is also folly. Indeed, I did revise one observation from a definite to a possible 100° tail length, because the following night's observation showed that the tail on the previous evening was aligned with a faint star chain — and I couldn't say with certainty if my eye had not been tricked into creating a tail extension by following the line of stars. So I believe the situation existed for the eye to be fooled. But, on all the other cases I could only report what I saw, despite what the geometry of the situation indicated.

Which brings us to the next paradox. If a number of leading comet observers around the world all report tail lengths that exceed predictions by 20° or more, doesn't that indicate that there is something radical going on here worth investigating? Isn't investigating the problem a better approach than announcing that these individuals are wrong because their observations did not match published phase angles? In a skewed sense, then, Kammerer and Bortle seem to be cautioning observers who are following the authors' advice — reporting what you see, not what is published. As I see it, there was either one "mean" psychological effect going on that fooled some of the world's best visual observers (which is extremely interesting in itself) or there was an unknown physical reason that manifested itself (perhaps a disconnection event) that allowed the tail lengths to exceed prediction. I believe that it is very wrong to imply that one observer is wrong because another observer could not achieve the same sighting, even if they were standing side by side;

¹ see ICQ 20, 159

observing at the limits of vision is not a hard science yet. Has anyone ever questioned E. E. Barnard's solo sighting of comet 1P/Halley's tail that stretched from horizon to horizon?

Finally, as for the darker psychological effects that "may tend to influence certain individuals", there will always be that mysterious someone who crawls out of the fabric of the night to claim some great glory in the hopes of a quick rise to "fame". If their observations are real, these individuals should, however, pass the test of time and skepticism. But we are exposed to advertising continually, and that doesn't mean that we're going to 'buy' whatever is being advertised. We cannot control the Internet. We can try to impart critical judgement to younger observers so that they will not be drawn in to bad habits. We also cannot make decisions for others who want to be drawn in to what we might consider 'cometary parapsychology'. So, these "fakes" will rise and fall unpredictably over time like cometary outbursts. All we can do is monitor their behavior, speak out when the need arises, and hope that anyone interested in science has adopted a system of critical judgement and employs it.

 \diamond \diamond \diamond

Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. V.

Alfredo Pereira

ICQ Observation Coordinator, Portugal

Concern for the possibility that comet WWW sites could bias m_1 data was clearly expressed at least as far back as October 1996 in an article by C. Vitorino and me.¹ That article was posted on our (then-newly-created) web site and includes a chapter titled "The Risk of Bias", where we warned that "With the rapid exchange of information nowdays, there is growing concern with the possibility of observers being influenced by knowing [their] colleagues' results obtained just before they observe. One should totally abstract [himself or herself] from recent results, ours or someone else's, and try to make each measurement independent from the previous one. The observer should start the [estimation procedure] without any preconception of what the result might or should be."

When listing the advantages of the WWW, it is also appropriate to mention the invaluable use of WWW sites to improve and standardize methodology, to provide access to reliable comparison-star sequences, and to attract new observers, providing them with a convenient tool to learn how to observe comets. I believe that, overall, the WWW is having a very positive impact exactly on the quality of data, by allowing wide discussion of the many problems (like biasing) that always existed and that linger unsolved. At least as our 'Comet Observers' Forum' WWW site goes, the primary intention is not so much the instantaneous publication of data, but rather to discuss methodology and other problems (via several articles), to promote exchanges of ideas between observers, and to regularly publish light-curve analyses.

Unfortunately, Kammerer and Bortle do not quote the archive sources and statistical procedures for achieving their peak-brightness result of mag -0.5 for C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp). My analysis of 622 ICQ observations spanning 1997 Mar. 25.00-Apr. 4.00 UT yields a clearly defined peak in the interval Mar. 29.0-31.0, at $m_1 = -0.80$, (with 0.24-mag standard deviation within the individual observations for experienced observers). If we accept these parameters and a normal distribution of errors, a 3σ cut-off will include data from -0.1 to -1.5. It is perfectly normal that some isolated points should fall 0.5 or even 0.7 mag away. The data in the ICQ also deny the conclusion that "the situation was largely reversed", for there is no evidence that less-experienced observers have arrived at significantly brighter m_1 results. The differences are always too small to be relevant. Further, I do not see the large scatter here that was the case with C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake), when visual m_1 estimates by experienced observers ranged all the way from +0.9 to -0.8.

I also checked the peak brightness of C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp) for each of several ICQ experienced observers. There is no evidence for a majority of observers reporting a peak brightness close to -0.5. As it turned out, only four out of 16 saw a peak m_1 fainter than or equal to -0.7. I was further puzzled by the authors claiming a value of $m_1 = -0.5$, as Kammerer had given $m_1 = -0.7$ as the peak brightness of C/1995 O1 in his own analysis (posted at the German Comet Section homepage).

Regarding the brighter estimates for comet C/1995 O1, the problems involved (including the problems I personally felt) were extensively discussed in an article posted in April 1997.² The major problem was inclusion of part of the bright dust tail in the m_1 estimate. I have discussed this, having been quite self-critical, I would say. But how not to include the bright tail near the comet's head? As the article discusses, every method/instrument had serious flaws. I think it would be useful if Kammerer and Bortle explained whether they successfully managed to exclude the bright tail, whilst simultaneously using scotopic (rod) vision or lateral vision, which is the "photometric system" that we visual observers must strive to use for all comets over their full range, if we want to maintain consistency. I think this point deserves further discussion, in relation to observations of all comets, and not just these two recent bright objects.

Self-criticism

The authors warn that "daily updated Web pages are more convincing than any ephemeris can ever be", but I would like to express my concern regarding the comments that some observers repeatedly put forward in some WWW sites,

^{1 &}quot;The Visual Photometry of Comets", http://correio.cc.fc.ul.pt/~apereira/meth.html

² "On the scatter in C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp) m_1 estimates near peak brightness", http://correio.cc.fc.ul.pt/~apereira/hb_met.html

written in an admonishing tone to other observers — e.g., Bortle at Morris' WWW site; a printed copy appeared later in ICQ 19, 162: "the comet is certainly not very close to mag -1.0 (I've seen such comets and they certainly looked brighter than this one does)".

The authors recommend (and I think everyone will agree) to "be in every case self-critical and emotionless to his observations". I would further propose that all observers should abstain from writing "persuasive" comments, at least when sending comments to be posted in the WWW. Again we cannot compare the influence of "exaggerated" observations by "unknown" observers with the influence of comments by well-known observers. The latter will surely have a much higher potential for biasing influence.

I maintain that biasing is mainly a problem with *some* newcomers, particularly those who observe only the more "news-media-prominent" (or "mediatic") comets. Why should "more level-headed observers" wish to "more closely mimic the reported extremes"? To try to show that they have better sky conditions, or have better vision? I am reluctant to think that any regular observer will degrade the quality of the data, just to show any of this. But maybe we should clearly state that quality observations do not necessarily mean that these should be "on top of" or very close to the (mean) value that may be later derived from the whole set of results. There are too many factors involved, and there may be particular reasons related to conditions/instruments, or personal variations in methodology, that may account for a data point appearing a bit off from others. But all these effects can ultimately be understood, and the data point will eventually retain its usefulness. Let us keep in mind that what counts is consistency, while also providing full information on all relevant details concerning and affecting the observation, so that the result can be understood.

I would also recommend that we clearly explain to everyone that, if all observers try to keep their data unbiased, the errors will tend to distribute more randomly (apart from the usual systematic instrumental and other effects) and therefore tend to cancel each other when we put many observations together. Therefore, even those data points that fall a bit away from the average will be contributing to the quality and confidence of the average. Biased data points may look "nice", but will yield a delusive average.

Extracting bias statistically

Bias is indeed difficult to extract out of the vast data, but maybe that's exactly what we should be discussing — i.e., the ways of statistically sorting out biased data from the bulk of data. Below are several possibilities that occur to me (however, given the time constraints for publication of this commentary, I do not have the chance right now to research whether they are viable or not).

At times when comets undergo rapid unpredicted changes, m_1 data can be analyzed in order to try sorting out any biasing. Also, the sequence of m_1 data for each observer can be tested, perhaps using a serial correlation test; but the details need to be worked out carefully. Further, there is the chance that at least the presence of biasing in a data set may be detected via the shape of the m_1 statistical distribution (or even the relation between m_1 and other parameters like coma diameter). A more lengthy but perhaps more secure way would involve recording the exact times of posting updates on the main web sites, and carefully searching for statistically significant trends of any observers whose data systematically approach the results of others. I believe it is in this general direction that we should turn our efforts, even if it is lengthy, hard work.

On the tail-lengths survey

Regarding the comparison of tail-length estimates in the two groups of observers (those who consulted the WWW, against those without access to the WWW), the authors do not explain exactly how the "different skills and conditions" were evaluated and taken into account. The authors should have attempted characterizing the two groups (number of observers in each group, number of observations used). Also, at least some quantitative classification regarding experience level, observing-site conditions, etc., must have been possible. Finally, the average tail lengths in each group (with standard errors given) should also have been provided.

Final comments

The presented paper is unfortunately too vague, with no actual statistical results whatsoever given to support the authors' claims. Many of the statements should have been backed up with evidence. This important subject deserves deeper research; nevertheless, I think that the extensive discussion present in this issue of the ICQ should serve as the best way to eventually overcome some of the problems raised.

 \diamond \diamond \diamond

Commentary on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay. VI.

Jonathan Shanklin

Director, British Astronomical Association Comet Section

Andreas Kammerer and John Bortle raise some interesting questions about the reliability of observers submitting observations to national groups and to the ICQ/CBAT. I too have become increasingly concerned that some observers are only trying to 'beat' other observers, rather than report objective observations. I feel that it is not only bright comets that are being affected by the problems described in their essay, but also those near the limit of an observers

grasp. When analyzing BAA observations of comet C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp), I have used the upper quartile as the most likely tail length and the median as the most likely brightness estimate; this should go some way to removing 'suspect' observations. Most comets, however, do not have sufficient observations to allow this procedure, resulting in severe perturbation to the derived magnitude parameters.

I have noted that my own estimates of some of the recent comets are significantly fainter than those of other observers, but I cannot convince myself that these comets are any brighter than I see them. I mostly use the old long-focus Northumberland refractor (used by Challis in the hunt for Neptune), so some of this difference may be due to the known difference between reflectors and refractors. Other contributions may be due to my systematic personal effect and some to the Cambridge sky conditions. There may also be a contribution from magnitude errors in the Guide Star Catalogue, which is usually around 0.3 magnitude brighter than Tycho catalogue values or BAA variable-star sequences. Once these contributions are eliminated, there remains the real possibility that some observers are either reporting something that they only think they can see, or are knowingly fabricating the observation. If we have clear skies during the International Workshop on Cometary Astronomy (IWCA) next year, it may be possible to investigate these effects further, and I will try and set up some 'blind' tests for participants.

There is always the likelihood that observers will see what they expect or want to see, and there are countless examples of this in the history of astronomy (e.g., canals on Mars). A good observer should try to keep an open mind and only report what is actually seen; however, this is easier said than done. I am not always convinced when I think that I have seen a comet at the limit of the conditions. BAA practice is to note a reliability for the observation on a scale of 1 (good) to 3 (bad), which goes some way toward distinguishing between these possibilities. Although some uncertainty can be indicated in ICQ reports by the use of a colon for m_1 estimates, there is perhaps a requirement for a better indication of the observer's estimate of the error in the observation.

I will confess to regularly checking the 'Recent Magnitudes' on the ICQ/CBAT web pages, and I also list recent observations on the BAA pages (though the index page only gives an indication of the likely magnitude). I think that it is helpful to have a rough idea of how bright any comet is likely to be, as this can help one to choose which comets to observe first on any night. One thing, however, is clear. We cannot go backwards — and must accept that observers have access to the Internet and instant communication of observations. Good observers must, however, take these reports as a guide, just as they take ephemeris predictions as a guide, and should report what they do see and not what they think they should see. This is difficult, but provided that we encourage observers to be objective and not necessarily believe what they read (after all who believes what they read in newspapers?), we should continue to receive reliable observations.

 $\Phi \Phi \Phi$

From the Editor: Thoughts on the Kammerer/Bortle Essay and on the Commentaries

The essay and commentaries printed in the preceding pages represent discussions that had been circulating at a low level for many years, but which became very extensive during the apparition of comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) during its peak brightness in March and April 1996. I think that such discussion is a good thing, and I'd like to highlight some of the comments made above, and add some additional thoughts from my perspective as an observer and an editor/archivist.

My first thought is that it is ironic to suggest that magnitude data may be undergoing problematic influences at a time when the observational situation is better than it has ever been, from the perspectives of international standardization of observing and archiving procedures and of improved instrumentation (larger telescopes; ready access to CCDs; etc.) and access to better star catalogues and other resources. The scatter in m_1 observations amongst most experienced observers (there are always notable exceptions) has been admirably small for the last couple of decades — generally around several tenths of a magnitude. Nonetheless, there is an inherent problem in the archiving of comet photometry whereby the archivist can only check the incoming observational data to a certain point — unlike the situation with archiving astrometric observations of comets, where even slight problems with the data are readily apparent at the arcsecond level. Because of the inherent problems in obtaining CCD magnitudes of comets, such magnitudes may be not much more accurate than carefully-measured visual magnitudes.

We do have ways of checking incoming photometric data (some manually, some via computer program), but given that the vast majority of comet photometry is now arriving via e-mail (and that we are getting much more of it than years ago, due to the widespread international visibility that the ICQ now has), some problematic data still are published. This is why we ask observers to check and double-check their data. We have had minor problems with two types of errant data in the ICQ—(a) typographical errors (which account for most of the errors that are caught, and usually corrected in print), and (b) fraudulent observers. Yes, we have caught a few observers over the years who were a bit overly eager in their wish to publish comet magnitudes; there was a recent case involving a southern-hemisphere observer whose data briefly appeared on the ICQ/CBAT/MPC web page for one of the recent bright comets, in which another observer pointed out that the reported times of observation were impossible from that longitude — and upon confronting the observer directly, he promptly 'disappeared' from the scene without defending his evidently fraudulent data, whereupon

¹ The Comet's Tale 5(1), 1998 May.

his data were deleted from the web site (and never made it into print in the ICQ). Such fraud is rarely detected, however; while fraud may be somewhat more common with bright comets, when numerous new observers always appear on the scene (most of them very briefly), such data is usually ignored in analyses, where it would be foolish of any analyst to use the data of all observers of bright comets (unless they are specifically looking at scatter problems amongst observers of varying experience). Among the observers who contribute hundreds (or thousands) of magnitude estimates of both bright and faint comets over many years, it is very unlikely that there is much outright fraud; they've simply put in too much time and effort to consider the nonsense and risk of fraud. We do appreciate readers of the ICQ who notify us when they find questionable observations, because this leads to investigations that often lead to correcting or deleting the relevant data (careful ICQ readers are aware of the large number of corrigenda that regularly appear in these pages).

Contrary to what Kammerer and Bortle say in their conclusions about "professionals turning away from the good visual data", I note that more professional astronomers than ever are seeking ICQ archival data for comparison with their observations at other wavelengths. However, very few professional astronomers know how to deal with the visual data, and they need a lot of suggestions (which I routinely offer when asked for data) as to how to separate the good from the poor and how to get useful results from a given data set. Those who do not seek such advice from people heavily experienced in the use of visual comet data will invariably have problems in their own analyses. I take this opportunity to remark that some of the worst professional utilizations of visual comet magnitudes in the astronomical literature are

easily sighted from their referencing only data that appear on the IAU Circulars.

Bright and large comets are rather uncommon, so that we do not get a lot of experience in observing them in general. The problems of observing very bright transient astronomical objects are not limited to comets, of course; there are atmospheric fireballs and bolides, and there are the rare Milky Way supernovae that we are long overdue for. In the case of comets brighter than visual magnitude +1 or so, there is the problem of finding useful comparison stars of similar brightness; as such, one must go back to 1976 for such a bright comet until C/1996 B2 came along, and the scatter was large among m_1 estimates of bright comets, such as C/1965 S1 (Ikeya-Seki; O.S. 1965 VIII) and C/1975 V1 (West; O.S. 1976 VI) when they were near peak brightness — and also then near the horizon, as most very bright comets usually are (being near perihelion). C/1996 B2 was remarkable in being near visual mag 0 and nearly overhead — and with a very large apparent coma; this was thus a new experience for most observers. I'm a little surprised that there was a 1.5-mag difference in the peak brightness of C/1996 B2 amongst very experienced observers. But in the case of C/1995 O1, a large majority of the 25-or-so most experienced visual observers measured a peak m_1 that fell within a range of only about half a magnitude.

As for those extreme values (magnitudes, tail lengths, coma diameters) reported by experienced observers, I agree that we must remain cautiously open as to their reality or validity. More work certainly does need to be undertaken into such issues as tail bending, differing spectral responses of different observers' eyes, etc. I think that most serious, experienced visual observers of comets take pride in their observations — which, after all, take considerable effort and time. As such, they want to make their own measurements, and if they have enough pride and confidence in their own work, they will report what they measure in the most careful manner. Indeed, I know that many highly experienced observers each trust their own m_1 measurements so deeply that they use their own data as a yardstick against which to compare other observers; while this may seem a bit arrogant, such data is probably less likely to be biased by other observers or by predictions than are data made by less-confident observers. Observers who lack such confidence should be patient: more observations of more comets will inevitably create greater confidence and negate any desire to be biased by ephemeris predictions or the m_1 estimates of other observers.

That said, it is well known that many comet observers talk about the long tail length of 1P/Halley in 1910 seen by Barnard, or when the first and last observations (visual and non-visual) of the same comet at its last return were. A famous, bright comet will have such observation records (with their observers and instruments) published and discussed for years (perhaps even centuries) to come, and extra efforts are often expended to warrant placement in such a "record book"; it is this concept that part of the essay by Kammerer and Bortle deals with, and it does deserve thought. But I do have enough confidence in, and respect for, the observations of many of the observers who reported long visual tail lengths for the two recent bright comets that I think both a tail bending (for C/1996 B2) and different eye spectral responses should be seriously studied to fully assess the situation.

The ICQ/CBAT/MPC comet-magnitudes web page¹ was established several years ago chiefly because of "popular demand" from some news-media reporters (including Sky and Telescope), as a way to cut down on the number of phone calls that we get from such individuals who request information from us. When I first "opened" that Web page, I curiously did not really appreciate that observers would eventually come to use it extensively as a tool for planning observing sessions (not thinking that so many amateurs would gain access to the Web so quickly, I suppose), or that many of the concerns raised by Kammerer and Bortle would be created. The Web has evolved so quickly in this manner that we are sort of developing ideas as we go along; it was nearly impossible to plan for all that has happened as a result of the Web, particularly in the case of the observation of comets (where hours or days can make a big difference in observational data). We do, however, require that any comet-magnitude data to be posted on the ICQ/CBAT/MPC Web page must be contributed by observers who have contributed complete data to the ICQ; new observers are thus actively urged to contribute information on magnitude method, comparison-star reference, etc., and their data will not be posted unless they comply. This is one small but important way to improve the quality of visual data on the Web. (And I add that, contrary to what Reinder Bouma says, I check data posted on the ICQ/CBAT/MPC comet-magnitudes web page and frequently correct — often after consultation with the sender — or delete erroneous or clearly problematical data.)

When the Kammerer/Bortle essay first arrived last July, I wondered if they thought that we should suggest a waiting period (such as a few weeks) before posting comet magnitudes on the Web. This was discussed by several people who have written material in this issue of the ICQ, and I have become convinced that this would probably serve no useful

¹ http://cfa-www.harvard.edu/cfa/ps/icq/CometMags.html

purpose. That said, I am now generally posting data on the ICQ/CBAT/MPC web page generally only once or twice a week. I agree with Charles Morris that, in the case of highly interesting comets like C/1996 B2 and C/1995 O1 near their peak brightnesses, earnest comet observers thirst for observational information rapidly from other observers — it's interesting, motivating, and just plain fun to know what other experienced observers are getting in terms of brightness and tail length. Indeed, quick discussions via the Internet in the case of C/1996 B2 allowed numerous observers to modify their observing procedures to more easily estimate the brightness of so large and bright a comet (notably with the comet monocular that Steve O'Meara mentions in his commentary). So it is probably unreasonable to post data of such comets on the web only once a week; for most comets, however, this is probably a good policy.

I would like to comment on something stated by Kammerer and Bortle regarding C/1996 B2: I was not too concerned about the tail lengths of that comet. I "e-mailed a large number of the most experienced observers" as a result of discussions specifically with Bortle at that time because I was concerned about how to get observers to use an easy and reasonable technique for estimating m_1 when that comet was so large. I thought, correctly, that it was far better to get a discussion going in the midst of the comet's apparition, so that observers could immediately go out and test various methodologies. This showed the real power of the Internet in a most advantageous manner.

As for the very limited visual comet photometric data that appear on IAU Circulars, I have long admonished analysts of cometary brightness from using such data. Listing such data on the IAUCs is meant to be merely a service to readers, as a way to document in very abbreviated form how the brighter comets are behaving. Both professional and amateur astronomers historically used such data in planning observing sessions. The data are chosen generally in a manner that 'shares' the exposure amongst different regular contributors of such magnitudes, while trying not to give a false picture of a comet fading from a random selection of magnitudes over a short period of time. We try to be representative, and there is both objectivity and subjectivity to this method; serious users should go to the source — the ICQ. As for the long tail lengths of C/1996 B2 that appeared on IAUCs, we published such visual tail lengths for well-known observers of comets; because there were so many experienced observers reporting rather long lengths, we felt that it was appropriate to list some of them on the IAUCs.

For some years we have thought about adding some sort of observer-estimated "quality" code to ICQ data, and following Jonathan Shanklin's remark (above) about doing this in BAA data, we discussed this again extensively. Specifically, Charles Morris and I discussed introducing an "uncertainty" code for magnitude estimates, but we found that it was exceedingly difficult to come up with any reasonable definition of "uncertainty" that observers could easily use and that analysts could easily understand. For example, we toyed with the idea of using a scale in which an observer provides code letters based on how uncertain they feel an m_1 estimate is — from, say, an uncertainty of ± 0.2 mag or less, to one of 1.0 mag or more (or just a mere guess). The problem is how to determine this uncertainty: does the observer add in such factors as distance of comparison stars from the comet, number of comparison stars, color index of comparison stars, altitude of comet vs. comparison stars, affect of clouds or haze, light pollution, etc.? If so, just how does an observer go about doing this in a way that is really meaningful (more objective than subjective)? We concluded our most recent discussions on this topic by deciding to wait for possible suggestions from other experienced observers and/or analysts; it is unlikely that any change will be made in the near future, because it can be argued that analysts would not be able to get any more use out of comet m_1 data with additional archived information that they cannot already get out now.

There is great value in an individual observer's photometric data on comets — provided that he or she remains as objective and honest and careful as possible in measuring and reporting what he or she sees (regardless of what others report). There is no value to the field of cometary science in contributions where the observer permits himself or herself to be strongly influenced by other m_1 estimates. There is, of course, a learning phase for all newcomers to the field, and experience suggests that such learning takes much time and effort to become 'good at it' — meaning perhaps on the order of 50-100 m_1 estimates as a minimum, but necessarily including a good sample of different comets of widely-differing brightnesses and morphologies, and closely heeding the advice of veterans such as that in the ICQ Guide to Observing Comets (always using the smallest instrument needed to easily see the comet; using proper methods based on comet morphology; etc.). But if one has worked hard enough in this learning phase to use methodology and references properly, he or she should feel confident enough to be able to make reasonably accurate m_1 estimates that will stand the test of comparison with the data of other experienced observers. Note that beginning observers generally do not really know what they are doing in terms of making m_1 estimates of comets; much later, those that become seasoned observers with dozens of comets registered in their logbooks will usually acknowledge that their early data are of pretty poor quality.

All experienced observers occasionally make a somewhat faint or somewhat bright estimate; comet-magnitude estimation is not an easy task. But because of this, nobody should get 'hung up' on specific m_1 estimates of others. Indeed, we may find that individual eye spectral responses and other factors eventually prove that there must be a difference in m_1 estimates from one observer to another.

In closing, I'd like to mention an area that has been mentioned frequently over the years — one related to the Kammerer/Bortle essay topics: observing comets near the limit of observability. There are numerous visual observers today who are frequently (fairly routinely) observing comets in the visual range 13.5-16. Numerous observers have complained to me that they cannot see comets (with larger instruments and possibly better skies) that some visual observers have reported in the range $m_1 = 13.5-15.5$. While I doubt that there is any fraud in most of these cases, I do worry that observers are exercising enough care and caution. A specific concern of mine regarding the ICQ/CBAT/MPC web page, which contains many fainter CCD magnitudes of comets (as well as visual magnitudes), is that visual observers of faint comets need to prevent themselves from being overly influenced by the CCD magnitudes. Just because a CCD observer reports a total magnitude of a given comet near, say, mag 14.5 does not mean that it will automatically be visible in a telescope whose limiting visual stellar magnitude is 15.5. All very experienced visual observers of comets know that it is all too easy to see "something" at the predicted position of a comet near the limit of observability — even when the comet is not visible. We again ask that all observers of comets within two magnitudes of the stellar

magnitude limit of their telescope on a given night be extremely careful in verifying that they have absolutely made a visual detection of the comet that they are looking for. All such observers should take copies of the Digital Sky Survey to the telescope for proper verification of a comet, noting its motion with respect to nearby stars.

And my last plea to observers is this: please do not put numbers of observations above quality. It is far better to observe one or two comets in a single night very well (with very careful measurements) than to observe 10 comets poorly.

— Daniel W. E. Green

ΦΦΦ

Comets for the Visual Observer in 1998

Alan Hale

Southwest Institute for Space Research

Although there are no especially bright comets predicted for visibility in 1999, several interesting objects should become bright enough for visual observations. With the exception of comets C/1998 M5 and C/1998 P1, ephemerides for all the objects described below are given in the ICQ 1999 Comet Handbook (Nakano and Green 1998).

— Long-Period Comets —

C/1995~O1~(Hale-Bopp). Visual observations near the time of this writing (September 1998), made when this comet was 1.5 years past perihelion, indicate that it is still at a relatively bright $m_1 \sim 10$. If it continues to fade at the rate that it has been fading, it should remain visible in larger visual instruments throughout 1999, fading from $m_1 \sim 11$ in January to perhaps $m_1 \sim 13$ by year's end. In mid-December, its heliocentric distance (r) will exceed 10.0 AU. The comet will remain in south-circumpolar skies throughout the year, being at a declination of $\delta = -74^{\circ}$ in early January (after being at opposition in December 1998), moving north to $\delta \sim -63^{\circ}$ in May and June (when near conjunction), and south to $\delta \sim -78^{\circ}$ in December, when it is again at opposition.

C/1998 P1 (Williams). This comet, discovered on 1998 August 10, is at perihelion on 1998 October 17 at q=1.147 AU, at which time it will be located on the far side of the sun as seen from Earth. It should become visible in the morning sky by late November 1998 at $m_1 \sim 10$ — and maintain this brightness through perhaps the end of January 1999, when it will be closest to the earth ($\Delta_{\min} = 1.07$ AU). The comet is at opposition a couple of weeks later, and should fade rapidly after that, probably being beyond the range of visual observations by the end of March.

C/1998~M5~(LINEAR). This comet is at perihelion on 1999 January 24 (q=1.745~AU) and is in conjunction in mid-January, although its northerly declination of $\sim +5^{\circ}$ should keep it observable from the northern hemisphere; the brightness should be near $m_1 \sim 10$. It is closest to the earth ($\Delta = 1.53~AU$) in early March, and on March 15 it passes within 5' of the north celestial pole and should be near its peak brightness of $m_1 \sim 9$. Presumably fading thereafter, the comet should remain visually detectable until May or June.

 $C/1997~BA_6$ (Spacewatch). This distant object emerges into the morning sky in late 1998 and may be visually detectable in larger instruments at $m_1 \sim 14$. It may brighten a half to a full magnitude by the time it is at opposition in mid-February 1999; with its then being at $\delta = -49^\circ$, southern-hemisphere observers will be favored. It may continue to brighten slightly throughout the remainder of the year, but since it spends the last several months of 1999 in south-circumpolar skies, observations will be restricted to the southern hemisphere. It is in conjunction in early August, and when at perihelion on 1999 November 27 (q = 3.437~AU), it will be near its highest southerly declination of -77° .

— Short-Period Comets: The Brighter Ones —

21P/Giacobini-Zinner. After reaching a peak brightness of $m_1 \sim 8$ -9 around the time of its perihelion passage on 1998 November 21 (q = 1.034 AU), this comet will probably have faded to $m_1 \sim 10$ by the beginning of 1999. It should remain visually observable for perhaps the next two months before fading beyond visual range in late February or early March; the comet will remain in the evening sky throughout this period.

 $10P/Tempel\ 2$. This comet is at perihelion on 1999 September 8, at q=1.482 AU. This return is quite similar to the favorable one in 1988, with the respective perihelion dates being only eight days apart; the perihelion distance in 1999, however, is 0.1 AU larger than in 1988, and consequently the comet will probably not become quite as bright as it did then.

10P/Tempel 2 exhibits a light curve that is significantly asymmetric with respect to perihelion (Bortle 1983, 1984). Taking the comet's behavior in 1988 as a guide and making allowances for the increased perihelion distance, it can be expected that comet 10P should become visually observable at $m_1 \sim 12$ -13 in July, then reach a peak brightness near $m_1 \sim 9$ in late September, and slowly fade to $m_1 \sim 12$ by the end of the year.

P/1994 P1 (Machholz 2). This comet was discovered in August 1994 and is making its first predicted return in 1999. Perihelion passage (q = 0.749 AU) is predicted for December 8; the comet will pass 0.305 AU from the earth on 2000 January 14.

The comet exhibited erratic brightness behavior in 1994, being at $m_1 \sim 10$ when discovered, but rapidly brightening to $m_1 \sim 7$ within three weeks. Furthermore, it was accompanied by several companion objects throughout much of its apparition, with one of these briefly becoming brighter than the main component. Consequently, brightness predictions for 1999 are extremely problematical. A rough prediction loosely based upon 1994 data suggests a peak brightness of $m_1 \sim 8$ occurring in December 1999 and January 2000, but the comet could well be much fainter than this. There is even a possibility that it may no longer exist.

- Short Period Comets: The Fainter Ones -

93P/Lovas 1. This comet's 1998 return (perihelion October 14, at q=1.692 AU) is essentially identical to that of 1989, with the respective perihelion dates being only four days apart. It is at opposition at the very end of 1998, and visual observations from the 1989 return suggest that it should be visually detectable at $m_1 \sim 13$ for perhaps the first month of 1999.

52P/Harrington-Abell. This comet's 1999 return is the most favorable since its discovery in 1955, with opposition occurring less than three weeks prior to its perihelion passage on January 27 (q = 1.756 AU). No visual observations from previous returns have ever been reported, but in light of the favorable geometry in 1999, Hale (1997) predicted that a peak brightness of $m_1 \sim 13$ -14 might be achieved around perihelion. Recovery observations in July 1998 indicated, however, that it was substantially brighter than predicted ($m_1 \sim 12$), suggesting that a major outburst had occurred. Observations up through the time of this writing (September 1998) indicate that the brightness has remained relatively constant, although a "normal" brightening pattern would suggest that it should have brightened 1.5-2 magnitudes during this interval.

Brightness predictions for the remainder of the comet's apparition are thus quite problematical. From the standpoint of geometry, the comet should remain accessible up through almost mid-year 1999. Its declination of $+40^{\circ}$ around the time of opposition in early January will favor observers in the northern hemisphere.

60P/Tsuchinshan 2. Visual observations have apparently never been obtained of this object at previous returns. The 1999 return is moderately favorable, however, with perihelion occurring on March 8 at q=1.770 AU, and with opposition occurring at the very end of 1998 followed by closest approach to the earth ($\Delta_{\min}=0.88$ AU) less than two weeks later. The peak brightness may possibly reach $m_1 \sim 12$ -13 during the first two to three months of 1999.

37P/Forbes. This comet's 1999 return is the most favorable since the rather similar return of 1974. Perihelion passage in 1999 (May 4) is some two weeks later than in 1974 — but, on the other hand, the current perihelion distance of 1.446 AU is ≈ 0.1 AU less than it was then. Based upon brightness data from 1974 a peak brightness of $m_1 \sim 12$ -12.5 may be expected during May-July. The comet is at opposition in late September but will probably have faded beyond the range of visual observations by then.

114P/Wiseman-Skiff. Perihelion passage for this comet occurs on 2000 January 11, at q=1.568 AU. It is at opposition in mid-November 1999 and at $\Delta_{\min}=0.70$ AU three weeks later. The comet's pre-perihelion light curve is ill-defined, but post-perihelion visual observations obtained at the discovery return of 1986-87 suggest that a peak brightness of $m_1 \sim 13$ may occur near the end of 1999.

29P/Schwassmann-Wachmann 1. This object emerges into the morning sky at the very end of 1998, is at opposition in early May 1999, and remains accessible until approximately the end of September. The comet's declination of -29° near opposition will slightly favor southern-hemisphere observers throughout this viewing season.

Comet 29P has remained relatively active during recent years, with 1-2 outbursts per year being recorded; a dramatic outburst to $m_1 \sim 12$ occurred in late January 1998. Monitoring of the comet for additional events during the 1999 viewing season is encouraged.

REFERENCES

Bortle, J. E. (1983). Sky Tel. 65, 476. Bortle, J. E. (1984). Sky Tel. 67, 290. Hale, A. (1997). ICQ 19, 222. Nakano, S.; and D. W. E. Green (1998). ICQ 20(3a), H1.

ΦФФ

Corrigenda

In the April 1998 issue, page 87, the observations by observer RES attributed to 'Comet C/1997 J1 (Mueller)' belong to 'Comet C/1997 J2 (Meunier-Dupouy)'.

In the July 1998 issue of the ICQ, page 154, 'Comet 103P/Hartley 2', the first observation (1997 02 27.79 by observer RES) is to be deleted.

LEO S. BOETHIN (1912-1998)

Most of this information was kindly provided by Father Badillo in the Philippines via Imelda Joson (Sky Publishing Corp.). This text was edited by D. W. E. Green, with some additions also by him.

The Rev. Leo S. Boethin died on 1998 Sept. 15 at the age of 86 in Bangued, Abra, the Phillippines. A funeral mass was to have been held on Saturday afternoon, September 19. Boethin was born on 1912 June 6 in Roggenhausen, Chelmno, Germany, and he resided in the Philippines for more than 50 years. Fr. Boethin joined the Society of the Divine Word (SVD) on 1924 April 25 in Steyl, Holland, and he was ordained as a priest in Germany on 1940 July 7. He arrived in the Philippines in December 1949, where Fr. Boethin served as parish priest in various towns and barrios of the Provinces of Cagayan and Abra. He retired in 1988 to live in the SVD headquarters in Bangued, where he maintained nightly observations of the skies from the third-floor roof of the Divine Word College, a short distance from his residence.

Boethin is known for his short-period comet, now known as 85P/Boethin, which he discovered on 1975 Jan. 4 (see his 1981 article on the discovery circumstances in ICQ 3, 63). His comet was discovered when Boethin was parish priest at Mudeng, La Paz, Abra. Boethin was always proud of his discovery and was eager to observe his comet on subsequent returns to perihelion.

What follows is taken from the speech he gave on receiving the Padre Faura Astronomy Medal in 1975:

"The early days of my boyhood were spent watching and observing skies, following closely the dramatic changes of weather typical for moderate latitudes. My diary about atmospheric events produced at the time of high school and

college proves my genuine interest in God's nature.

"A great astronomical event at that time aroused my interest. It was not a lunar or solar eclipse that impressed me most, but a great spectacular meteor shower [during] 1933 Oct. 9-10. . . As usual, after supper, we seminarians went out for a stroll through the gardens. When I took the first steps outside, I was struck at once by a spectacular celestial show. The whole moonless and starry sky was filled with shooting stars. At Hamburg Astronomical Observatory, rates up to 350 meteors per minute were systematically observed at the height of the shower at 9 p.m. Some radio stations in Europe interrupted their scheduled program and called the attention of the listeners to the great spectacular show.

What did actually happen? The earth passed through the meteor stream of the Giacobinids or October Draconids, predicted by Crommelin in May 1933 in the Journal of the British Astronomical Associaton. The comet itself crossed the orbit three months earlier. Crommelin concluded it from similar positions of the comet to the earth in 1913 and 1926. The intensity and short duration of the event — for more than 2 hours only — indicated a dense and comparatively

compact but very limited meteoric cloud.

"In 1949, I was appointed for the Philippines to the province of Abra. Besides daily meteorological measurements, meteor observing was my particular interest. Thus I have a record of thousands of meteors which are the basis of for my monthly calendar of minor and major meteor streams. But even non-members of a stream, [those] so-called sporadic meteors, must be considered in order to locate the radiant. But after 1960, I intensified my observation of comets. Comet [C/1963 A1 (Ikeya); O.S. 1963a] and the sungrazing comet [C/1963 R1 (Pereyra); O.S. 1963e] were the first ones I observed in a 3-inch refractor and of which I made detailed observations. In 1965, I acquired an 8-inch f/7.5 Newtonian reflector that enabled me to observe many periodic and non-peoridic comets down to magnitude 13.0."

Boethin was given the PAGASA Centennial Award earlier this year. The Federal Republic of Germany gave Boethin the Cross of Merit with Band on 1989 Dec. 12. Boethin was a long-time *ICQ* subscriber and contributor of visual magnitude estimates.

ΦФФ

Tabulation of Comet Observations

The descriptive information for tabulated observations of C/1995 O1 that appear in this issue will be published in the January issue.

Alfredo Pereira has commented that many of the ICQ references for comparison-star magnitudes can be very difficult for many observers to access, and that access to such material on the World Wide Web is very helpful. He has begun using some V sequences produced by Brian Skiff for fields of variable stars, which have been posted at http://www.kusastro.kyoto-u.ac.jp/vsnet/catalogs/skiffchart.html. However, we will refrain from assigning ICQ reference codes until they are published in the IBVS; many such sequences have already been so published, and the remainder are being planned for IBVS publication. The following sequences have been published, and ICQ reference codes will be assigned as observers report the use of these sequences in their cometary m_1 estimates: HP And, IBVS 4603; XY Aql, IBVS 3972; V345 and V553 Aql, IBVS 3984; R Aur, IBVS 4058; AQ Aur, IBVS 4057; V Boo, IBVS 4053; ZZ Dra, IBVS 4055; KU Cas, IBVS 3982; WZ Cas, IBVS 3974; V418 Cas, IBVS 3967 (7 stars, V = 10.9-13.3); N Cas 1993, IBVS 3983; AV and DV Cyg, IBVS 3968; YZ Dra, IBVS 3971; EL Lyr, IBVS 3973; V431 Ori, IBVS 4065; S Per, IBVS 4054; VX Tau, IBVS 4056; M81, IBVS 3906. The Information Bulletin on Variable Stars (IBVS) is available on the Web at http://www.konkoly.hu/IBVS/IBVS.html.

Skiff adds: "ICQ contributors might also want to be reminded about the big list of photometric reference stars (I won't call them 'standards') compiled from the literature at ftp://ftp.lowell.edu/pub/bas/starcats/loneos.stds [this can be accessed with a regular Web browser], which has about 16000 stars in it now. About half are between mag 10 and 13.5, while nearly all the rest fall between mag 13.5 and 18.5 (a few as faint as mag 22)."

New code for comparison-star references:

LC = Landolt (1975, PASP 87, 379) magnitude sequence for 33 stars near V1057 Cyg (V magnitude range 5.5-15.5)

Descriptive Information, to complement the Tabulated Data (all times UT):

- ♦ Comet C/1984 V1 (Levy-Rudenko; O.S. 1984 XXIII = 1984t) ⇒ 1994 Jan. 18 and 23: weak 1'.5 central cond. [HAV]. Jan. 25 and 28: weak 2' central cond. [HAV]. Jan. 31: weak 4'.5 central cond.; fan-shaped, very faint coma; possible false nucleus (mag 10); faint concentric inner condensations 2' and 4' in dia. [HAV].
- \diamond Comet C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp) \Longrightarrow 1997 May 25.34: twilight [WIL02]. 1998 Jan. 25.55: stellar core of mag 9 [WIL02]. Jan. 27.45: diffuse w/ core of mag 9 [WIL02].
- ♦ Comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) [all obs. by NES] ⇒ 1996 Mar. 12.04: w/ 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 2'.5; blue coma. Mar. 12.98: w/ 11-cm B (20×), central cond. of dia. 2'; slightly oval. Mar. 14.99 and 16.06: w/ 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 3'. Mar. 15.01: w/ 11-cm B (20×), central cond. of dia. 2'. Mar. 17.11: w/ 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 4'. Mar. 17.99-18.05: w/ 7×50 B and 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 2'; w/ 11-cm B (20×), central cond. central cond. of dia. 1'; dust tail appeared as a wide fan w/darker bands embedded. Mar. 18.98-19.04: w/5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 2'.5; w/ 11-cm B (20×), central cond. of dia. 3'; w/ E, central cond. of dia. 5'. Mar. 20.02-20.06: w/ E, central cond. of dia. 5'; w/ 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 3'5; w/ 11-cm B (20×), central cond. of dia. 4'5. Mar. 20.94-20.99: w/ 7×50 B, central cond. of dia. 4'5. Mar. 21.89-21.99: w/ E, central cond. of dia. 2'; small curve in the gas tail; w/ 7×50 B, central cond. of dia. 4'; w/ 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 2'.5; w/ 11-cm B (20×), central cond. of dia. 2', four halos are noticeable, 8° dust tail. Mar. 22.94-23.01: w/ 7×50 B, central cond. of dia. 3'; w/ E, central cond. of dia. 3'; 8° dust tail; w/ 11-cm B (20×), central cond. of dia. 3', 10° dust tail; w/ 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 4'. Mar. 23.93-24.01: w/ E, central cond. of dia. 4', 10° dust tail; "I notice that the tail's breaking off"; w/ 7×50 B, central cond. of dia. 4'; 10° dust tail; w/5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 2'.5, dust tail 3°; w/11-cm B (20×), central cond. of dia. 5', 5° dust tail. Mar. 24.76-24.78: w/ E, central cond. of dia. 3'.5; 5° dust tail; w/ 7×50 B, central cond. of dia. 5'; 10° dust tail. Mar. 25.08-25.09: w/ E, central cond. of dia. 5'; w/ 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 3'; 5° dust tail; w/ 7×50 B, central cond. of dia. 4'; 7° dust tail. Mar. 25.76-26.05: w/ 8-cm R (28×), central cond. of dia. 4'; 3° dust tail; w/ E, central cond. of dia. 6'; w/ 7×50 B, central cond. of dia. 5'; 5° dust tail; w/ 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 6'; w/ 11-cm B (20×), central cond. of dia. 2'.5; 8° dust tail. Mar. 26.97-27.00: the maximum tail length was ~ 110°; "I confirm the observations of BAR06 and SCO01 (see IAUC 6355) — when the comet's head was situated near α UMi, the ending of its tail was around ϵ Vir!"; w/ 5-cm R (20×), central cond. of dia. 5'; w/ 11-cm B (20×), central cond. of dia. 3'.
- ♦ Comet C/1997 D1 (Mueller) ⇒ 1997 Mar. 5.87: strong central cond. [LEH]. 1998 Jan. 25.79 and Jan. 26.76: weak central cond. [LEH].
- \diamond Comet C/1997 J1 (Mueller) \Longrightarrow 1997 May 31.92, June 3.90, 4.91, 10.91: strong central cond. [LEH]. July 12.90: weak central cond. [LEH].
- ♦ Comet C/1997 J2 (Meunier-Dupouy) ⇒ 1997 July 8.90: weak central cond. [LEH]. Sept. 16.75: strong central cond.; obs. during total lunar eclipse [LEH]. Oct. 21.73, 26.72, 28.73, 31.85, Nov. 1.81: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. Dec. 16.71: small, weak central cond. [LEH].
- 1998 Apr. 24.05, May 20.01, June 20.97, Aug. 14.87, 16.86, 24.83, 27.84, 30.83: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. June 19.91: round coma [BAR06]. June 22.91: fan-like, diffuse coma [BAR06]. June 26.93: comet near bright star; star-like nucleus of mag 13.5 (Ref: HS), involved in diffuse coma [BAR06]. July 19.99: round coma; brighter in p.a. 30° -210° [BAR06]. July 24.91: fan-like coma [BAR06]. July 27.91: starlike inner coma and diffuse outer coma [BAR06]. July 28.93: no starlike central cond. [BAR06]. Aug. 3.21: central cond. of dia. $\approx 3''$ and mag 14.9; the image maintained a general symmetry in R, V, and B [ROQ]. Aug. 13.88: comet involved with 12th-mag star [BOU]. Aug. 15.87: comet near bright star [BAR06]. Aug. 15.88: comet close to star of mag 10 [BOU]. Aug. 18.89: comet involved w/ star of mag 12 [MEY]. Aug. 23.86 and 25.87: reference-star magnitudes for ref. TT were I magnitudes; those from HS were V magnitudes, all extracted from "Guide6" (Project Pluto) software; comparison-star spectral types unknown; CCD images obtained w/ TI 245 chip and RG695 filter (peak sensitivity close to 750-800 nm) [MIL02]. Aug. 25.91: diffuse coma w/ starlike central cond. of mag 13.3 (Ref: HS) [BAR06]. Aug. 27.17: central cond. of mag 13.6 and dia. slightly > 2"; coma symmetrical with no apparent associated tail [ROQ]. Aug. 31.98: diffuse coma w/ starlike central cond. of mag 13.2 (Ref: HS) [BAR06].
- Sept. 9.84: near Moon [BAR06]. Sept. 11.13: central cond. of dia. $\approx 2''$ and mag 14.5; inner coma appeared symmetrical, while the outer coma was strongly asymmetrical toward p.a. 344° [ROQ]. Sept. 15.99: also used some Tycho (ref. TJ) comparison stars near TT Del, but estimate made essentially with GSPC sequence (ref. GA) [PER01, VIT01]. Sept. 20.19: central cond. of dia. $\approx 3''$ and mag 14.1; coma strongly asymmetrical toward p.a. 345°, blending in a broad, diffuse, tail-like extension [ROQ]. Sept. 26.10: central cond. of dia. $\approx 3''$ and mag 14.5; inner coma was symmetrical; outer coma was strongly asymmetrical toward p.a. 340°, where it blended into a very diffuse 'extension';

some jet activity noted at p.a. 218° [ROQ]. Oct. 11.09: central cond. of dia. > 2" and mag 15.3; entire coma has displayed consistently pronounced asymmetry extending over 3' at p.a. 345° [ROQ]. Nov. 2.10: central cond. of dia. < 2" and mag 14.4; coma irregularly asymmetrical in p.a. 270° [ROQ].

- \diamond Comet C/1997 L1 (Zhu-Balam) \Longrightarrow 1998 July 27.19: another image yields $m_1 = 21.2$; fan-shaped tail spans p.a. 168°-205° [SCO01]. Aug. 30.14 and 30.15: other images yield $m_1 = 21.2$ and 21.8 [SCO01].
- \diamond Comet C/1997 T1 (Utsunomiya) \Longrightarrow 1997 Oct. 24.78, 28.77: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. Nov. 4.81: comet near γ Lyr [LEH].
- ♦ Comet C/1998 H1 (Stonehouse) ⇒ 1998 Apr. 29.95: fan-like coma, possible tail, and starlike nucleus [BAR06]. Apr. 30.85, May 1.90, 4.89: weak central cond. [LEH].
- ♦ Comet C/1998 J1 (SOHO) ⇒ 1998 May 16.44: "an impressive sight; intense central cond. appeared distinctly blue-green in color; parabolic shaped tail 2° long in p.a. 110° with the central cond. at the focus; first 0°5 of tail of high surface brightness and visible easily in the bright twilight sky" [PEA]. May 17.44: comet seen in a slightly darker sky than on previous night, a very impressive object reminiscent of C/1995 O1 when at similar brightness [PEA]. May 23.44: impressive sight amongst the stars of the belt of Orion; coma possessed a distinct blue-green color; 2°.7 tail visible in p.a. 106°, although tail is losing the intensity it displayed a week ago [PEA]. May 24.36: w/ 10×50 B, 180′ tail in p.a. 130° [WIL02]. May 24.44: thin cirrus cloud may have affected tail-length estimate; however, tail 1°.5 long in p.a. 110° [PEA]. May 28.37: low alt. [WIL02]. May 29.44: thin cirrus cloud made tail hard to see, apart from the very bright section immediately adjacent to the coma [PEA]. June 24.46: comet has undergone a mini-outburst in brightness over the last 24 hr or so; the central cond. has undergone a very slight increase in intensity; however, it appears that the increase can be attributed to an overall increase in the surface brightness of the total coma [PEA].
 - ♦ Comet C/1998 K1 (Mueller) ⇒ 1998 May 19.90-June 20.89: small, strong central cond. [LEH].
- o Comet C/1998 K5 (LINEAR) ⇒ 1998 May 31.95: strong central cond. [LEH]. June 2.93-July 26.98: very strong pointlike central cond. [LEH]. July 31.96-Aug. 27.94: very strong central cond. [LEH]. Aug. 2.43: central cond. of dia. ≈ 2" and mag 12.4; the inner coma was unexpectedly very bright with pronounced and irregular asymmetry toward the tail; however, no substructure was evident in R, V, or B [ROQ]. Aug. 23.11: still star-like cond. [MAR02]. Aug. 28.48: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), possible condensations at distance 10" outward in the 24" tail, which is in p.a. 265° [BIV]. Aug. 30.58: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), weak cond. in the tail at 8" and 20" [BIV]. Sept. 1.03: starlike nucleus in contact w/ star of mag 12.7 (Ref: HS) [BAR06]. Sept. 1.06: "image seemed elongated, possible tail?" [MEY]. Sept. 2.61: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), faint cond. in the tail at distances of ≈ 8", 18", and 30" [BIV]. Sept. 5.62: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), starlike condensations (?) of mag 14.3 at distances 8" and mag 14.4 at 24" (main nucleus of mag 12.9; tail 40" long) in p.a. 265°; good seeing and minor moonlight interference [BIV]. Sept. 13.42 and 13.50: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), condensations in the tail at distances of 11", 37", and 1'.2 (faint) in p.a. 265° [BIV]. Sept. 15.51: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), condensations of mag 14-15 in the tail at distances of 9", 0'.6, and 0'.8 (positions from visual obs./drawing; uncertainty 10-20%) [BIV]. Sept. 16.144: obs. details as noted for notes under comet 52P (Sept. 16.156); "comet looks very strange (there is no separation between coma and tail)" [COZ]. Sept. 18.45: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), cond. at distances of 14" and 34" [BIV]. Sept. 19.43: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), cond. at distances of 9" and 33" [BIV]. Sept. 20.59: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), cond. at distances of 12", 30", and 45" [BIV]. Sept. 30.52: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), cond. at distances of 12", 30", and 45" [BIV]. Sept. 30.52: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (507×), cond. at distances of variable seeing [BOU]. Oct. 24.05: only seen with averted vision;
- \diamond Comet C/1998 M1 (LINEAR) \Longrightarrow 1998 July 25.17: another image yields $m_1 = 15.6$; tail curves through p.a. 153° (where it has length 0.4) to p.a. 249° (where its length is 3.2) [SCO01].
- ♦ Comet C/1998 M2 (LINEAR) ⇒ 1998 June 25.94-Aug. 20.83, Aug. 27.83 and 30.81: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. July 25.199: tail curves through p.a. 183° (where its length is 1'.6) to p.a. 194° (where its length is 7'.5) [SCO01]. Aug. 24.82: small, strong central cond.; comet near 14th-mag star GSC 1545.00099 [LEH]. Oct. 16.78: comet not seen [KAR02].
- \diamond Comet C/1998 M3 (Larsen) \Longrightarrow 1998 July 25.19: another image yields $m_1 = 18.1$ [SCO01]. Aug. 26.17: another image yields $m_1 = 18.2$ [SCO01].
- \diamond Comet C/1998 M5 (LINEAR) \Longrightarrow 1998 July 18.91, Aug. 9.89: strong central cond. [LEH]. July 19.92-Aug. 8.88, Aug. 10.90, 11.88, 14.89, 16.88, 17.88, 20.86, 29.87: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. July 19.96: no enhancement w/a Lumicon Swan Band Filter [MEY]. July 27.93: fan-like, diffuse coma [BAR06]. Aug. 15.84: starlike central cond., disklike inner coma, conical 2'.5 × 1'.5 outer coma [BAR06]. Aug. 15.89: comet involved with 13th-mag star [BOU]. Aug. 16.85: coma involved w/ star of mag 12 [BAR06]. Aug. 17.88: condensed center surrounded by faint outer halo [MEY]. Aug. 18.85: starlike central cond., $m_2 = 13.5$ (Ref: HS); diffuse round coma [BAR06]. Aug. 19.19: central cond. of dia. slightly > 2" and mag 14.4; coma was symmetrical and merged into a diffuse tail that had an imbedded faint, narrow central core; collective tail structure curved eastwardly from p.a. 180° to 174° [ROQ]. Aug. 20.83: disk-like inner coma; diffuse, slightly elongated outer coma [BAR06]. Aug. 22.95: w/ Swan Band filter, dia. 3' [MAR02]. Aug. 23.88: starlike central cond. [BAR06]. Aug. 24.84: strong central cond. [LEH].

Sept. 1.01 and 8.79: compact, round coma [BAR06]. Sept. 10.84: coma slightly elongated in p.a. 140°-320° [BAR06]. Sept. 12.12: central cond. of dia. ≈ 2".5 and mag 14.0; tail was diffuse with a narrow, faint embedded central core extending $\simeq 50''$ [ROQ]. Sept. 12.87: comp. stars BD +43°3771 (V = 10.08, B-V = +0.47), GSC 3179-1137 (11.11, 0.57), GSC 3179-0492 (11.33, 0.47), GSC 3179-0207 (12.06, 0.73), GSC 3179-0042 (12.27, 0.87) [PER01]. Sept. 12.87: comet equal or very slightly brighter than GSC 3179-1137; incoming cloud bank did not allow further careful estimates against other comp. stars, but uncertainity thought to be smaller than 0.2 mag [VIT01]. Sept. 16.02: comet close to γ Cyg [PER01]. Sept. 16.02: estimate done with the comet near the field edge due to the comet's close proximity to glare from γ Cyg [VIT01]. Sept. 16.88: comet appears fainter than previous night [PER01]. Sept. 16.89: thin cirrus clouds elsewhere in the sky, but comet and comparison-star fields were apparently clear; comet looked more condensed than yesterday and it was very close to a star of mag 11 [VIT01]. Sept. 19.79: starlike nucleus; coma elongated in p.a. 80°-260° [BAR06]. Sept. 21.11: central cond. of $\approx 3''$ and mag 13.8; tail was faint and irregularly formed, without substructure [ROQ]. Sept. 27.10: central cond. of dia. ≈ 2" and mag 13.9; very faint, diffuse tail without substructure [ROQ]. Sept. 30.05 and Oct. 8.83: similar estimate using photometry of stars in the field of CI Cyg by Brian Skiff (in preparation for the IBVS, but now available at the URL http://www.kusastro.kyoto-u.ac.jp/vsnet/Mail/vsnet/msg00840.html) [PER01 and VIT01]. Oct. 10.13: central cond. of dia. > 2" and mag 13.9; bright inner coma had a sharp boundary that marked the beginning of a much fainter outer coma, which in turn blended into a faint, diffuse tail [ROQ]. Oct. 14.42: comet very close to star [SEA]. Oct. 16.40: comet less visible using Swan Band filter [SEA]. Oct. 18.94: comet clearly brighter than five nights ago; five comparison stars within 0.2 mag of the comet's m₁ [PER01]. Oct. 20.83: w/ 20-cm T (161×), false nucleus of mag 13 [KAM01]. Oct. 23.99: comet much larger and brighter than just a week or two ago; w/ 114x, a tiny but clearly non-stellar central knot of 13th mag [BOR]. Nov. 2.06: central cond. of dia. > 2" and mag 14.7; coma asymmetrical in p.a. 105°, blending into a faint, diffuse tail [ROQ].

- ♦ Comet C/1998 M6 (Montani) ⇒ 1998 July 15.37: obs. by Tom Gehrels; "very faint and tentatively visible tail" (as tabulated) [SCO01].
- \diamond Comet C/1998 P1 (Williams) \Longrightarrow 1998 Aug. 10.52: conditions poor with bright moonlight, but comet surprisingly easy to see; no obvious change in appearance using Swan Band filter [SEA]. Aug. 13.66: comet only 0.8 from α Cen; quite bright in 20×80 B and moderately condensed [PEA]. Aug. 14.34: obs. difficult due to close proximity to α Cen [RAE]. Aug. 17.47: well condensed tonight; appears to have brightened [RAE]. Aug. 18.32: poor conditions [RAE]. Aug. 20.31: poor conditions; obs. made through gap in cloud; Morris method gave same m_1 [RAE]. Aug. 28.35: w/ 10×50 B, $m_1=8.3$, coma dia. 7', DC = 4 [RAE].
- ♦ Comet C/1998 Q1 (LINEAR) ⇒ 1998 Aug. 31.64: broad and faint tail extends toward NE [NAK01]. Sept. 16.56 and 17.57: faint, broad tail extends northward [NAK01].
 - ♦ Comet 4P/Faye ⇒ 1991 Nov. 10.85: strong central cond. [LEH].
 - ♦ Comet 9P/Tempel 1 ⇒ 1994 June 12.91: strong central cond. [LEH].
- ♦ Comet 19P/Borrelly ⇒ 1994 Sept. 10.06: strong central cond. [LEH]. Sept. 11.05: very strong pointlike central cond. [LEH].
- ♦ Comet 21P/Giacobini-Zinner ⇒ 1998 May 31.93, June 2.94, 20.94, 25.95, Aug. 10.85-19.84, Aug. 27.82-30.80: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. Aug. 15.19: central cond. of mag 14.9 and apparent dia. slightly < 3"; "tail, although appearing generally diffuse, was somewhat furcated" [ROQ]. Aug. 18.88: no enhancement w/ a Lumicon Swan Band Filter [MEY]. Aug. 22.92: w/ Swan Band filter, no enhancement in m_1 or dia., but DC = 6 [MAR02]. Aug. 27.13: central cond. of mag 15.6 and dia. ≈ 3"; very faint tail was broad and diffuse without significant substructure [ROQ]. Aug. 30.13: another image yields $m_1 = 13.1$ [SCO01].

Sept. 11.11: central cond. of dia. $\approx 3''$ and mag 14.1; tail was faint and diffuse without readily-apparent substructure [ROQ]. Sept. 12.86: comet involved w/ two faint stars, hampering coma-dia. and DC est. [VIT01]. Sept. 12.92: observing with J. Carvajal (Toledo, Spain) [DES01]. Sept. 13.84: at $100\times$, faint near-stellar cond. visible of mag ~ 13.5 [BOU]. Sept. 16.16: S Her b and d AAVSO charts used [SPR]. Sept. 16.87: thin cirrus clouds elsewhere in the sky, but comet and comparison stars fields were apparently clear; comet was a very easy object [VIT01]. Sept. 16.87: higher surface brightness than on Sept. 12, but no change in m_1 ; estimate using S Her AAVSO (1930) sequence yields similar m_1 ; cirrus elsewhere in the sky [PER01]. Sept. 17.49: GUIDE ver. 6.0 software was used [YOS02]. Sept. 18.03: at $70\times$, the coma consists of a fairly bright central region surrounded by an extensive but very faint outer halo; at $170\times$, there is a pseudo-nucleus of mag ~ 12.5 and < 0'1 in dia. [BOR]. Sept. 20.09: central cond. of dia. $\approx 2''$ and mag 14.0; diffuse and featureless tail was of similar intensity in R, V, and B [ROQ]. Sept. 22.78: disk-like and bright central region surrounded by faint outer halo [MEY]. Sept. 23.81: star of mag 11.7 in coma [BOU]. Sept. 24.02: Lumicon Swan-band comet filter seems to enhance comet very little, if at all; w/ 114×, there is a tiny, bright, central knot of mag ~ 13.0 and not more than 0'.1 in dia., contained within a bright central mass [BOR]. Sept. 24.80: w/ 20-cm L ($70\times$), star-like central cond., $m_2 = 12.9$ (Ref: HS) [BAR06]. Sept. 25.10: central cond. of dia. $\approx 3''$ and mag 14.8; diffuse tail with a faint central core that was barely visible in R [ROQ].

Oct. 8.41, 9.42, 18.40, 25.41: HOC2.exe software was used [NAG08]. Oct. 8.43: GUIDE ver. 6 software was used [YOS02]. Oct. 8.82: 12th-mag, nearly starlike central cond. strongly offset towards WNW; intermediate 1'.5 circular-shaped brightness plateau in inner coma, also strongly offset towards WNW [PER01]. Oct. 9.87: 12th-mag, nearly-starlike central cond. strongly offset towards NW [PER01]. Oct. 10.09: central cond. of dia. > 2" and mag 14.0; tab. tail length was limited by the field-of-view, with the tail probably extending another 20% in length [ROQ]. Oct. 10.50: moderately condensed coma with central cond. appearing almost starlike at low power, cond. contributing most of the brightness,

w/ a faint outer coma [PEA]. Oct. 13.78: comet 27° over horizon [OKS]. Oct. 14.99: at 114×, there is a virtually stellar nucleus of mag 13.1 surrounded by a bright central mass [BOR]. Oct. 16.74: stellar knot of mag 12.3 in center [KAR02]. Oct. 16.75: comet in the 'finger' of Milky Way in Oph; GSC 434.1336 (star of mag 9.9, HS) in coma [OKS]. Oct. 18.28: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (169×), central cond. of mag 14.4 [BIV]. Oct. 18.35: possible 2' extension (tail?) glimpsed at p.a. 65° [RAE]. Oct. 19.50: very pronounced central cond. with starlike false nucleus, very faint outer coma [PEA]. Oct. 20.00: coma distinctly elongated in p.a. 65°-245° w/ area of greatest cond. obviously offset sunward; diffuse column of bright material seen flowing tailward (p.a. 65°) from nucleus of mag 13.0 [BOR]. Oct. 20.08: central cond. of dia. > 5" and mag 13.6; tail appeared generally faint and diffuse w/ some jet-like activity within and adjacent to the "tail head" in R and B [ROQ]. Oct. 20.28: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (169×), central cond. of mag 13.5 [BIV]. Oct. 20.81: w/ 20-cm T (161×), tiny bright knot of material w/ false nucleus of mag 13.5, just glimpsed [KAM01]. Oct. 20.99: at 70×, coma is seen to be elogated in a ratio of 3:2 in p.a. 75°-255°; weak tail suspected in the former p.a.'s direction; center of coma strongly condensed but lacks any definite nucleus tonight [BOR]. Oct. 22.74: comet distinctly brighter and more condensed than on Oct. 16.75 [OKS]. Oct. 22.99: comet obvious with 20×80 B and quite large; 40.6-cm L at 114× shows a not-quite-stellar nucleus of mag 13.0 displaying an obvious outflow of bright material tailward (p.a. 70°) for several arcmin [BOR]. Oct. 23.28: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (169×), central cond. of mag 12.7, substantial brightening in a few days [BIV]. Oct. 23.35: "appears strikingly different from last obs.; larger, more diffuse coma, stubby fan-like tail?" [RAE]. Oct. 23.74: very high humidity [OKS]. Oct. 24.04: tail very narrow but easily visible [CRE01]. Oct. 24.29: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (169×), central cond. of mag 13.1 [BIV]. Oct. 24.79: pseudo-nucleus of mag 11.9 [KAR02]. Oct. 25.35: moonlight interference [RAE]. Oct. 25.99: Moon 4.5 days old, 20° from comet's position, somewhat hindering obs. [BOR]. Oct. 26.49: "seemed to be elongated 1'.5-2' in p.a. 260° (tail?)" [BIV]. Oct. 26.72: hurried obs. after rain between clouds; 6-day-old Moon ~ 19° from comet [OKS]. Nov. 4.07: central cond. of dia. > 3" and mag 13.2; tail appeared diffuse with no apparent substructure [ROQ].

- ♦ Comet 23P/Brorsen-Metcalf (O.S. 19890 = 1989 X) ⇒ 1989 July 30.02: weak central cond.; appearance like galaxy M51 in CVn [LEH]. Aug. 6.01: strong central cond.; tail ~ 7' long at p.a. 335° [LEH]. Aug. 7.04: strong central cond.; tail ~ 5' long in p.a. 303° [LEH]. Aug. 10.05: strong central cond.; tail ~ 6' long in p.a. 296° [LEH].
 - ♦ Comet 45P/Honda-Mrkos-Pajdušáková ⇒ 1995 Dec. 27.70 and 29.70: comet only 8° above horizon [LEH].
- ♦ Comet 46P/Wirtanen ⇒ 1997 Feb. 2.73: small, weak central cond. [LEH]. Mar. 10.77, 11.78, 12.77: strong central cond. [LEH]. May 2.83: weak central cond. [LEH].
- ♦ Comet 52P/Harrington-Abell ⇒ 1998 July 25.03, Aug. 1.02: small, weak central cond. [LEH]. July 25.45: another image yields $m_1 = 12.8$ [SCO01]. July 29.12: significant change in appearance of comet from July 21; unfiltered CCD images w/ 90-cm D show $m_1 = 10.9$, $m_2 = 15.2$; tail 5' long [Alain Maury, Observatorie de la Côte d'Azur, Caussols, France]. Sept. 2.62: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (169×), central cond. elongated 1' in p.a. 270° [BIV]. Sept. 16.156: obs. 152.4-cm f/8 telescope + Loral 2000×2000 CCD at Bologna-Loiano Astronomical Observatory; image processed with ESO-MIDAS (Munich Image Data Analysis System); m_1 determined using MIDAS [COZ]. Sept. 19.33: "central cond. of dia. 3" and mag 15.1; well-defined tail showing some structure near tail head" [ROQ]. Sept. 20.58: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (169×), coma elongated 2' in p.a. 270°; m_1 affected by nearby stars [BIV]. Sept. 22.57: w/ 25.6-cm f/5 L (169×), coma elongated or tail 1'5 in p.a. 265° [BIV]. Oct. 16.94: obs. during a power failure in the neighborhood [KAR02]. Oct. 18.28: central cond. of dia. > 4" and mag 14.8; well-defined, fan-shaped tail [ROQ].
 - ♦ Comet 55P/Tempel-Tuttle ⇒ 1998 Jan. 17.72, 25.77, 26.74: small, weak central cond. [LEH].
 - \diamond Comet 59P/Kearns-Kwee \Longrightarrow 1998 Aug. 30.35: another image yields $m_1 = 20.7$ [SCO01].
 - ♦ Comet 62P/Tsuchinshan 1 ⇒ 1998 Mar. 26.80: small, strong central cond. [LEH].
 - ♦ Comet 65P/Gunn ⇒ 1997 Oct. 28.81: weak central cond. [LEH]. Oct. 31.91: small, strong central cond. [LEH].
 - ♦ Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko ⇒ 1996 Jan. 21.77, 22.77: small, strong central cond. [LEH].
 - ♦ Comet 68P/Klemomla ⇒ 1998 July 25.01: small, strong central cond. [LEH].
 - ♦ Comet 69P/Taylor ⇒ 1998 Mar. 23.84, 29.85, Apr. 30.83, June 21.90: small, strong central cond. [LEH].
 - ♦ Comet 73P/Schwassmann-Wachmann 3 ⇒ 1995 Dec. 29.75 and 30.71: small, strong central cond. [LEH].
- \diamond Comet 78P/Gehrels 2 \Longrightarrow 1997 Nov. 1.95, 1998 Jan. 17.85, Mar. 23.85, 25.83, 26.84: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. Dec. 21.85: small, weak central cond. [LEH].
- ♦ Comet 81P/Wild 2 ⇒ 1997 Feb. 2.78, 3.74, 7.82: small, weak central cond. [LEH]. Feb. 22.81, 23.77, Mar. 2.79, 5.79-12.81, May 24.88, 31.89, June 3.92: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. 1998 July 15.36: obs. by Tom Gehrels; measured (as usual) by Scotti [SCO01].
 - ⋄ Comet 82P/Gehrels 3 \implies 1998 July 27: hunted without success to limiting mag $V \approx 21.5$ [SCO01].
- \diamond Comet 88P/Howell \Longrightarrow 1998 Apr. 20.92, 23.95, May 31.91: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. June 24.17: obs. by Jeff Larsen; another image yields $m_1 = 15.7$ [SCO01]. Aug. 22.88: w/ Swan Band filter disk-like cond. w/ dia. 6' and DC = 4/ [MAR02]. Sept. 13.44: comet appeared brighter through Swan Band filter [SEA]. Sept. 16.41: comet close to star [SEA]. Oct. 13.65: observing from Makes, Réunion [LAN02].
 - ⋄ Comet 91P/Russell 3 ⇒ 1998 July 27.26: another image yields $m_1 = 18.6$ [SCO01].

- \diamond Comet 93P/Lovas 1 \Longrightarrow 1998 June 24.46: obs. by Jeff Larsen; measured (as usual) by Scotti [SCO01]. July 24.031: CCD exposures of length 500, 800, and 600 sec taken w/ the 60-/90-/180-cm Schmidt telescope of the Konkoly Observatory at Piszkesteto with I filter yield $m_1 \sim 17$ (comet image is trailed due to tracking at the sidereal rate) [Imre Toth]. July 27.46: another image yields $m_1 = 16.8$ [SCO01]. Aug. 18.06: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. Oct. 16.90: comet next to a star of mag 12.3; obs. during a power failure in the neighborhood [KAR02].
- ♦ Comet 103P/Hartley 2 ⇒ 1997 Sept. 20.81: very strong pointlike central cond. [LEH]. Sept. 21.80, 28.79, Dec. 21.72, 25.70, 26.86: strong central cond. [LEH]. Oct. 19.76: small, strong central cond.; looks like C/1997 J2 [LEH]. Oct. 21.75, 31.84, Nov. 1.80, 4.74, 10.73, Dec. 14.74, 16.72: small, strong central cond. [LEH]. Oct. 26.74, 1998 Mar. 20.84, 25.81: small, weak central cond. [LEH]. 1998 Jan. 26.72: small, weak central cond. [LEH].
 - ♦ Comet 104P/Kowal 2 ⇒ 1997 Oct. 19.78, 21.77, 24.77: small, strong central cond. [LEH].
- \diamond Comet 118P/Shoemaker-Levy 4 \Longrightarrow 1996 Dec. 28.81, 30.78, 1997 Jan. 26.80, 31.78, Feb. 1.76-Mar. 7.81: strong central cond. [LEH]. 1998 June 23.17: stellar appearance [SCO01]. June 24.166 and 24.178: separate images yielded m_1 = 18.1; comet image is stellar; obs. by Jeff Larsen [SCO01]. July 26.17: another image yields m_1 = 19.9 [SCO01].
- \diamond Comet 124P/Mrkos \Longrightarrow 1998 Aug. 30: hunted for this comet "without obvious success; I did a short scan that covered \pm 1 day" [SCO01].
 - ♦ Comet 126P/IRAS ⇒ 1996 Dec. 26.72-30.74: strong central cond. [LEH].
 - ♦ Comet 129P/Shoemaker-Levy 3 ⇒ 1998 Jan. 26.81: strong central cond. [LEH].
- ♦ Comet 132P/Helin-Roman-Alu 2 ⇒ 1997 Oct. 31.95, Nov. 1.90: small, with weak central cond. [LEH]. 1998 Jan. 1.75: strong central cond. [LEH].
- \diamond Comet P/1988 V1 (Ge-Wang) \Longrightarrow 1998 July 26: "hunted for this comet, but nothing obvious; I also scanned over it on June 18, covering at least \pm 4 days on both dates to our usual limit, which I'll conservatively call about V=21; I feel comfortable w/ those limits, especially in last nights scan and review" [SCO01].
- \diamond Comet P/1991 V2 (Shoemaker-Levy 7) \Longrightarrow 1998 July 25.46: separate image yields $m_1 = 20.7$ [SCO01]. July 26.459: separate image yields $m_1 = 20.3$; faint tail [SCO01].
 - \diamond Comet P/1996 A1 (Jedicke) \Longrightarrow 1998 July 27: hunted without success to limiting mag $V \approx 21.5$ [SCO01].
- \diamond Comet P/1998 QP₅₄ (LONEOS-Tucker) \Longrightarrow 1998 Sept. 18.18: central cond. of dia. 2" and mag 16.4; diffuse, faint tail devoid of apparent substructure [ROQ]. Sept. 28.18: central cond. of size > 2" and mag 16.2; tail was very faint and diffuse, without well-defined form [ROQ]. Oct. 11.13: central cond. of dia. < 2" and mag 16.9; coma was strongly asymmetrical toward p.a. 153°; no readily-apparent tail [ROQ]. Oct. 17.13: central cond. of dia. < 3" and mag 17.3; coma appeared highly asymmetrical toward p.a. 151°; no recognizable tail [ROQ].
- \diamond Comet P/1998 U2 (Mueller) \Longrightarrow 1998 Oct. 23.30: "three CCD exposures taken in excellent seeing with the 0.9-m Spacewatch telescope on Kitt Peak during the course of scanning; effective exposure times were \approx 150 sec; the comet has a strong central cond., w/ coma dia. subtending 6"-7"; an amorphous dust tail centered on p.a. 130° is broad, fan-shaped, and bright, of length 15"; a remarkably sharp and straight ion tail in p.a. 250° stretches for 67" from the nucleus, and has a width of 4"; the overall appearance is as of a miniature or distant comet C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp)" [Joe Montani].

0 0 0

TABULATED DATA

The headings for the tabulated data are as follows: "DATE (UT)" = Date and time to hundredths of a day in Universal Time; "N" = notes [* = correction to observation published in earlier issue of the ICQ; an exclamation mark (!) in this same location indicates that the observer has corrected his estimate in some manner for atmospheric extinction (prior to September 1992, this was the standard symbol for noting extinction correction, but following publication of the extinction paper — July 1992 ICQ — this symbol is only to be used to denote corrections made using procedures different from that outlined by Green 1992, ICQ 14, 55-59, and in Appendix E of the ICQ Guide to Observing Comets — and then only for situations where the observed comet is at altitude > 10°); '&' = comet observed at altitude 20° or less with no atmospheric extinction correction applied; '\$' = comet observed at altitude 10° or lower, observations corrected by the observer using procedure of Green (ibid.); for a correction applied by the observer using Tables Ia, Ib, or Ic of Green (ibid.), the letters 'a', 'w', or 's', respectively, should be used].

"MM" = the method employed for estimating the total (visual) magnitude; see article on page 186 of the Oct. 1996 issue [B = VBM method, M = Morris method, S = VSS or In-Out method, I = in-focus, C = unfiltered CCD, c = same as 'C', but for 'nuclear' magnitudes, V = electronic observations — usually CCD — with Johnson V filter, etc.]. "MAG." = total (visual) magnitude estimate; a colon indicates that the observation is only approximate, due to bad weather conditions, etc.; a left bracket ([) indicates that the comet was not seen, with an estimated limiting magnitude given (if the comet IS seen, and it is simply estimated to be fainter than a certain magnitude, a "greater-than" sign (>) must be used, not a bracket). "RF" = reference for total magnitude estimates (see pages 98-100 of the October 1992 issue, and Appendix C of the ICQ Guide to Observing Comets, for all of the 1- and 2-letter codes). "AP." = aperture in centimeters of the instrument used for the observations, usually given to tenths. "T" = type of instrument used for

the observation (R = refractor, L = Newtonian reflector, B = binoculars, C = Cassegrain reflector, A = camera, T = Schmidt-Cassegrain reflector, S = Schmidt-Newtonian reflector, E = naked eye, etc.). "F/" and "PWR" are the focal ratio and power or magnification, respectively, of the instrument used for the observation — given to nearest whole integer (round even); note that for CCD observations, in place of magnification is given the exposure time in seconds

(see page 11 of the January 1997 issue).

"COMA" = estimated coma diameter in minutes of arc; an ampersand (&) indicates an approximate estimate; an exclamation mark (!) precedes a coma diameter when the comet was not seen (i.e., was too faint) and where a limiting magnitude estimate is provided based on an "assumed" coma diameter (a default size of 1' or 30" is recommended; cf. ICQ 9, 100); a plus mark (+) precedes a coma diameter when a diaphragm was used electronically, thereby specifying the diaphragm size (i.e., the coma is almost always larger than such a specified diaphragm size). "DC" = degree of condensation on a scale where 9 = stellar and 0 = diffuse (preceded by lower- and upper-case letters S and D to indicate the presence of stellar and disklike central condensations; cf. July 1995 issue, p. 90); a slash (/) indicates a value midway between the given number and the next-higher integer. "TAIL" = estimated tail length in degrees, to 0.01 degree if appropriate; again, an ampersand indicates a rough estimate. Lower-case letters between the tail length and the p.a. indicate that the tail was measured in arcmin ("m") or arcsec ("s"), in which cases the decimal point is shifted one column to the right. "PA" = estimated measured position angle of the tail to nearest whole integer in degrees (north = 0°, east = 90°). "OBS" = the observer who made the observation (given as a 3-letter, 2-digit code).

A complete list of the Keys to abbrevations used in the ICQ is available from the Editor for \$4.00 postpaid (available free of charge via e-mail); these Keys are also now available in the new Guide to Observing Comets and via the ICQ's World Wide Web site. Please note that data in archival form, and thus the data to be sent in machine-readable form, use a format that is different from that of the Tabulated data in the printed pages of the ICQ; see pages 59-61 of the July 1992 issue, p. 10 of the January 1995 issue, and p. 100 of the April 1996 issue for further information [note correction on page 140 of the October 1993 issue]. Further guidelines concerning reporting of data may be found on pages 59-60 of

the April 1993 issue, and in the ICQ Guide to Observing Comets.

000

Key to observers with observations published in this issue, with 2-digit numbers between Observer Code and Observer's Name indicating source [07 = Comet Section, British Astronomical Assn.; 16 = Japanese observers (c/o Akimasa Nakamura, Kuma, Japan); 23 = Czech group (c/o P. Pravec and V. Znojil); 32 = Hungarian group (c/o K. Sarneczky); 37 = Ukrainian Comet Section (c/o A. R. Baransky and K. I. Churyumov); 42 = Belarus observers, c/o V. S. Nevski, Vitebsk; etc.]. Those with asterisks (*) preceding the 5-character code are new additions to the Observer Key:

AMO01 35	Alexandre Amorim, Brazil	KER 3	32	Akos Kereszturi, Hungary
BAR06 37	Alexandr R. Baransky, Ukraine	KOS		Attila Kósa-Kiss, Romania
BIV	Nicolas Biver, France	KRY02		Washington Kryzanowski, Uruguay
. BOR	John E. Bortle, NY, U.S.A.	LANO2	32	Zsolt Lantos, Budapest, Hungary
BOU	Reinder J. Bouma, The Netherlands	LEH		Martin Lehky, Czech Republic
CHE03 33	Kazimieras T. Cernis, Lithuania	L0001		Frans R. van Loo, Belgium
COM 11	Georg Comello, The Netherlands	LOU :	35	Romualdo Lourencon, Brazil
C0002	Tim P. Cooper, South Africa	LUE		Hartwig Luethen, Germany
COZ	Elia Cozzi, Mozzate, Italy	MARO2	13	Jose Carvajal Martinez, Spain
CREO1	Phillip J. Creed, OH, U.S.A.	80TAM		Michael Mattiazzo, S. Australia
DEA	Vicente F. de Assis Neto, Brazil	MEY		Maik Meyer, Germany
DES01	Jose G. de S. Aguiar, Brazil	MIK		Herman Mikuz, Slovenia
DID	Richard Robert Didick, MA, U.S.A	MIL02		Giannantonio Milani, Italy
DIE02	Alfons Diepvens, Belgium	MIYO1	16	Osamu Miyazaki, Tsukuba, Japan
DIO	Massimo Dionisi, Italy	MOE		Michael Moeller, Germany
*DREO1	Colin E. Drescher, Qld., Australia	NAG08	16	Yoshimi Nagai, Kofu, Japan
FRE01 45	Jose Rodriguez Freitas, Uruguay	NAK01	16	Akimasa Nakamura, Kuma, Japan
FUK02 16	Hideo Fukushima, Mitaka, Japan	NEK		Andrey N. Nekrasov, Belarus
GAS01 33	Darius Gasiunas, Lithuania	NES :	37	Yurij V. Nesterov, Russia
GEY 14	M. J. Geyser, South Africa	NEV	42	Vitali S. Nevski, Belarus
GIL01 11	G. Gilein, Noordwijk, Netherlands	OKS	07	Gabriel Oksa, Slovak Republic
HASO2	Werner Hasubick, Germany	PEA		Andrew R. Pearce, Australia
HASO8 16	Yuji Hashimoto, Hiroshima, Japan	PER01		Alfredo J. S. Pereira, Portugal
HAV	Roberto Haver, Italy	PLS	23	Martin Plšek, Czech Republic
HORO2 23	Kamil Hornoch, Czech Republic	RAE		Stuart T. Rae, New Zealand
*JAN05 33	Rimas Janulis, Moletai, Lithuania	RES		Maciej Reszelski, Poland
JON	Albert F. Jones, New Zealand	ROQ		Paul Roques, AZ, U.S.A.
*KAK02 33	Gunaras Kakaras, Kaldiniai, Lithuania	SAL01	42	Mihail Saltanov, Minsk, Belarus
KAMO1	Andreas Kammerer, Germany	SAN04	38	Juan Manuel San Juan, Spain
KARO2	Timo Karhula, Sweden	SAN07	32	Gábor Sánta, Hungary

SEA David A. J. Seargent, Australia SEA01 John Seach, Australia SHA02 07 Jonathan D. Shanklin, England SHU 42 Sergey E. Shurpakov, Baran, Belarus SPR Christopher E. Spratt, BC, Canada	TOTO3 32 Zoltán Tóth, Hungary *TRO02 35 Victor Trombotto, Almafuerte, Argentina TSU02 16 Mitsunori Tsumura, Wakayama, Japan TUR 15 Cliff Turk, Pinelands, Cape Town VIT01 40 Catarina Vitorino, Portugal WIL02 Peter F. Williams, Australia YOSO2 16 Katsumi Yoshimoto, Hirao, Japan YOSO4 16 Seiichi Yoshida, Ibaraki, Japan ZNO 23 Vladimír Znojil, Czech Republic
*	♦ ♦
Comet C/1984 N1 (Austin)	
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. RF AP. T F/ PWR 1984 09 03.13 S 6.8 AA 8.0 B 15	COMA DC TAIL PA OBS. 2.5 HAV
Comet C/1984 V1 (Levy-Rudenko)	
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. RF AP. T F/ PWR 1984 12 10.72 S 8.7 AA 8.0 B 15 1984 12 11.73 S 8.5 AA 8.0 B 15 1984 12 14.73 S 8.5 AA 8.0 B 15 1984 12 15.73 S 8.2 AA 8.0 B 15 1984 12 24.76 S 8.0 AA 8.0 B 15 1984 12 25.74 S 7.9 AA 8.0 B 15 1984 12 26.73 S 7.9 AA 8.0 B 15 1985 01 18.16 S 7.6 AA 8.0 B 15 1985 01 23.20 S 7.6 AA 8.0 B 15 1985 01 25.17 S 7.7 AA 8.0 B 15 1985 01 30.16 S 7.7 AA 8.0 B 15 1985 01 31.16 S 7.9 AA 8.0 B 15 1985 02 20.19 S 8.6 AA 8.0 B 15 1985 02 20.81 S 8.6 AA 8.0 B 15	COMA DC TAIL PA OBS. 3
Comet C/1991 B1 (Shoemaker-Levy)	
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. RF AP. T F/ PWR 1993 10 08.56 C[18.5: GA 60.0 Y 6 a120	COMA DC TAIL PA OBS. ! 0.2 NAKO1
Comet C/1993 Q1 (Mueller)	
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. RF AP. T F/ PWR 1994 06 01.47 C[18.5: GA 60.0 Y 6 a120	COMA DC TAIL PA OBS. ! 0.2 NAKO1
Comet C/1995 01 (Hale-Bopp)	
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. RF AP. T F/ PWR 1996 06 07.95 M 7.3 S 10 B 4 25 1996 08 09.84 M 6.2 S 8.0 B 10 1996 08 10.84 M 6.2 S 8.0 B 10 1996 08 15.83 M 6.0 S 8.0 B 10 1996 08 19.84 M 6.0 S 8.0 B 10 1996 08 29.83 M 5.5 S 8.0 B 10 1996 09 30.84 M 5.5 S 8.0 B 10 1996 09 19.82 M 5.1 S 8.0 B 10 1996 09 27.80 M 5.0 S 5.0 B 10 1996 09 30.82 M 5.0 S 5.0 B 10 1996 10 03.77 M 5.3 S 8.0 B 10 1996 10 11.42 S 6.2 AC 5.0 B 10 1996 10 12.40 S 6.0 AC 5.0 B 10 1996 10 12.74 M 5.2 S 8.0 B 10	COMA DC TAIL PA OBS. 4 5/ 15 5 320 LEH 15 5/ 320 LEH 17 6/ 0.07 320 LEH 18 6 LEH 18 6/ LEH 18 6/ LEH 20 6/ LEH 20 5 LEH 20 5 LEH 21 5/ 1 75 LEH 4 2.5 WILO2 20 5/ 0.8 80 LEH 4 WILO2 14 5/ 0.5 80 LEH

Comet C/1995 01 (Hale-Bopp) [cont.]

DATE (UT)	N MM MAG. RF	AP. T F/	PWR	COMA	DC	TAIL	PΑ	OBS.
1996 10 13.39 1996 10 14.82	S 6.2 AC M 5.2 S	5.0 B 8.0 B	10 10	14	4 6			WILO2 LEH
1996 10 25.76 1996 12 21.69	M 5.2 S M 3.6 S	8.0 B 0.8 E	10 1	14 20	6 3			LEH LEH
1996 12 22.69 1996 12 26.69	M 4.0 S M 3.5 S	8.0 B 0.8 E	10 1	20 30	5 4			LEH LEH
1996 12 28.69 1996 12 30.69	M 3.5 S M 3.8 S	0.8 E 8.0 B	1 10	30 25	4 5			LEH LEH
1997 02 01.19 1997 02 02.18	M 2.5 TI M 2.6 TI	0.8 E 0.8 E	1 1	30 20	7/ 8			LEH LEH
1997 02 03.18	M 2.5 TI	0.8 E	1	20	8	2.5	245	LEH
1997 02 15.19 1997 02 23.18	M 1.9 TI M 0.8 TI	0.8 E 0.8 E	1	20 20	8 8	2.5 1	315 300	LEH LEH
1997 03 02.17 1997 03 02.71	M 0.7 BC M 0.6 BC	0.8 E 0.8 E	1 1	20 15	8	3 2		LEH LEH
1997 03 03.75 1997 03 04.75	M 0.6 BC M 0.1 BC	0.8 E 0.8 E	1 1	15 15	7/ 7/	3 3	310	LEH LEH
1997 03 05.76 1997 03 07.77	M -0.1 BC M -0.3 BC	0.8 E 0.8 E	1 1	15 15	8 7/	3 10	320	LEH LEH
1997 03 08.17 1997 03 08.76	M -0.1 BC M -0.1 BC	0.8 E 0.8 E	1	20 20	7/	10 4	320 320	LEH LEH
1997 03 09.05	B 1.0: HD	0.0 E	1 7	2.5	8 8	7	020	NES NES
1997 03 09.05 1997 03 10.69	B 1.3 HD	5.0 B 5 R	20	4 3.5	8	1		NES
1997 03 10.71 1997 03 10.75	B 1.3: HD M -0.3 BC	5.0 B 0.8 E	7	3 20	8 7/	1.5 10	320	NES LEH
1997 03 10.97 1997 03 11.08	B 0.8 HD B 1.3: HD	11 B 5.0 B	20 7	4 4	8 8	1 1		NES NES
1997 03 11.10 1997 03 11.16	B 1.3 HD M -0.1 BC	5 R 0.8 E	20 1	3.5 25	8 7/	1 11	320	NES LEH
1997 03 11.76 1997 03 11.99	M -0.3 BC B 1.0 HD	0.8 E 0.0 E	1 1	20 4	7/ 8	9 12	320	LEH NES
1997 03 12.00 1997 03 12.01	B 0.9 HD B 1.1 HD	5 R 5.0 B	20 7	3.5 3.5	8 8	10 10		NES NES
1997 03 12.17 1997 03 12.76	M -0.2 BC M -0.5 BC	0.8 E 0.8 E	1 1	25 20	7/ 7/	11 9	320 320	LEH LEH
1997 03 13.01	B 1.0 HD	0.0 E 5.0 B	1 7	4 3.5	8 8	6 6	020	NES NES
1997 03 13.03 1997 03 13.04	B 0.9 HD B 0.8 HD	11 B	20	3	8	8		NES
1997 03 17.07 1997 03 17.09	B 0.7 HD B 0.7 HD	11 B 5.0 B	20 7	3 3.5	8 8	12 12		NES NES
1997 03 17.76 1997 03 18.05	M -0.5 BC B 0.7 HD	0.8 E 5.0 B	1 7	20 4	8 8	5 15		LEH NES
1997 03 18.07 1997 03 18.09	B 0.7: HD B 1.0: HD	11 B 0.0 E	20 1	3 3	8 8	10 17		nes Nes
1997 03 19.06 1997 03 19.07	B 0.6 HD B 0.6 HD	0.0 E 5.0 B	1 7	3 2.5	9 9	12 5		NES NES
1997 03 19.09 1997 03 21.70	B 0.5 HD B 0.4 HD	11 B 5.0 B	20 7	1.5 3	8 9	8 3		NES NES
1997 03 21.71 1997 03 21.74	B 0.4 HD B 0.4 HD	0.0 E 11 B	1 20	3 3	9 9	5		NES NES
1997 03 22.09	B 0.6 HD	11 B	20	3	9 7/	5 10		NES LEH
1997 03 22.78 1997 03 23.77	M -0.9 BC M -0.9 BC	0.8 E 0.8 E	1	25 25	7	15		LEH
1997 03 24.05 1997 03 24.05	B 0.5 HD B 0.5 HD	0.0 E 5.0 B	1 7	3 3.5	8 8	5		NES NES
1997 03 24.08 1997 03 26.05	B 0.5 HD B 0.4 HD	11 B 5.0 B	20 7	2.5 3.5	8 8	5 5		NES NES
1997 03 26.07 1997 03 26.08	B 0.4 HD B 0.4 HD	0.0 E 11 B	1 20	4 3.5	8 8	5 7		NES NES
1997 03 27.76 1997 03 29.76	B 0.4 HD B 0.3 HD	0.0 E 5.0 B	1 7	2.5 3	8 8	7		NES NES
1997 03 30.79 1997 03 30.94	M -1.0 BC B 0.1 HD	0.8 E 0.0 E	1	30 3.5	7 8	22 5	340	LEH NES
1997 03 30.96	B 0.1 HD	5.0 B	7	2.5	9	5		NES

Comet C/1995 01 (Hale-Bopp)	[cont.]
-----------------------------	---------

DATE (IPP)	N WW WAA DE	40	D.L.D.	40 1/4				
DATE (UT) 1997 03 31.08	N MM MAG. RF B 0.2 HD	AP. T F/ 11 B	PWR 20	COMA 2.5	DC 8	TAIL	PA	OBS. NES
1997 03 31.76	B 0.4 HD	11 B	20	2.5	8	8		NES
1997 03 31.76	M -1.0 BC	0.8 E	1	30	7	22	340	LEH
1997 03 31.78	B 0.3 HD	5.0 B	7	3	8	12		NES
1997 04 01.79 1997 04 02.78	M -1.0 BC M -0.7 BC	0.8 E 0.8 E		30 30	7 7	15 10	340 340	LEH LEH
1997 04 07.82	M -0.9 BC	0.8 E		30 30	7	17	345	LEH
1997 04 08.81	M -0.9 BC	0.8 E		30	7	<u>1</u> 7	345	LEH
1997 04 12.83	M -0.5 BC	0.8 E		25	7	15	350	LEH
1997 04 13.80 1997 04 20.82	M -0.5 BC M -0.1 BC	0.8 E 0.8 E		25	7	15	350	LEH
1997 04 20.82	B 1.6: HD	0.0 E	1 1	25 6	7 8	5		LEH NES
1997 05 02.75	B 1.7 HD	0.0 E	<u>1</u>	6.5	8	5	52	NES
1997 05 02.82	M 0.5 BC	0.8 E		20	7	5		LEH
1997 05 04.75 1997 05 04.77	B 1.3 HD B 1.3 HD	0.0 E 5 R	1	5	8	2	49	NES
1997 05 04.77	B 1.3 HD	8 R	20 28	4.5 4	8 8	3.2 3	47 47	NES NES
1997 05 06.76	B 1.7 HD	0.0 E	1	5	8	1.5	43	NES
1997 05 06.77	B 1.7 HD	5 R	20	6.5	7			NES
1997 05 06.78 1997 05 08.76	B 1.7 HD B 1.9 HD	8 R 0.0 E	28	6	8			NES
1997 05 08.77	B 1.9 HD	5 R	1 20	5 4.5	7 7			NES NES
1997 05 10.76	B 2.1 HD	8 R	28	4.6	8			NES
1997 05 12.76	B 2.1 HD	8 R	28	4	8			NES
1997 05 25.34 1998 01 25.55	I 1.4 AC S 7.8 VN	0.0 E 5.0 B	1		9			WIL02
1998 01 27.45	S 7.8 VN S 7.8 VN	5.0 B 5.0 B	10 10		6 6			WILO2 WILO2
1998 02 01.05	M 8.2 HS	7 R 4	25	5.6	3/			LEH
1998 02 01.47	S 7.9 VN	5.0 B	10		4			WIL02
1998 02 05.01 1998 02 09.06	M 8.2 HS M 8.2 HS	7 R 4 7 R 4	25 25	5.6 5.9	3/			LEH
1998 02 14.01	M 8.3 HS	7 R 4	25 25	5.9 5.9	4 4/	0.40	61	LEH LEH
1998 02 15.99	M 8.1 HS	7 R 4	25	6.0	4			LEH
1998 02 16.02 1998 02 16.99	S 8.0 VN M 8.1 HS	5.0 B	10	F 7	1			WIL02
1998 02 17.96	M 8.1 HS M 8.3 HS	7 R 4 7 R 4	25 25	5.7 6.1	4 4/	0.38	65	LEH LEH
1998 02 20.99	M 8.4 HS	7 R 4	25	5.5	4	0.18	70	LEH
1998 02 21.99	M 8.3 HS	7 R 4	25	5.5	3	0.16	72	LEH
1998 02 22.99 1998 02 24.02	M 8.4 HS M 8.4 HS	7 R 4 7 R 4	25 25	5.0 5.5	2/ 3/	0.18	75	LEH LEH
1998 02 24.99	M 8.4 HS	7 R 4	25 25	5.8	3/	0.18	76	LEH
1998 02 26.01	M 8.4 HS	7 R 4	25	5.3	3/	0.16	79	LEH
1998 02 27.00	M 8.4 HS	7 R 4	25	5.3	3/	0.16	79	LEH
1998 06 27.31 1998 07 02.76	S 10.6 TT S 9.6 AA	31.7 L 5 8.0 B	64 15	1 2.5	2/ 3			JON SEA01
1998 07 03.75	S 10.1 TT	31.7 L 5	64	1	4			JON
1998 07 05.74	S 9.3 AA	8.0 B	15	2.6	3			SEA01
1998 07 14.78	S 9.9 AA	25.4 L 6	61	1.5	3			SEA01
1998 07 15.78 1998 07 16.78	S 10.2 AA S 10.0 AA	25.4 L 6 25.4 L 6	61 61	1.8 1.5	3 4			SEA01 SEA01
1998 07 20.78	S 9.9 AA	25.4 L 6	61	1.6	4			SEA01
1998 07 21.73	S 9.9 AA	25.4 L 6	61	1.5	4			SEA01
1998 07 22.79 1998 07 26.73	S 9.8 AA	25.4 L 6	61	1.8	4			SEA01
1998 07 28.70	S 10.0 TT S 10.2 AA	31.7 L 5 25.4 L 6	64 61	1.8 2	2 5			JON SEA01
1998 07 29.71	S 10.2 AA	25.4 L 6	61	1.5	5			SEA01
1998 07 30.70	S 9.8 AA	8.0 B	15	2	2			SEA01
1998 07 31.70 1998 08 02.75	S 9.9 AA S 10.7 TT	8.0 B 31.7 L 5	15 64	1.9	2			SEA01
1998 08 05.76	S 10.7 11 S 10.5 TT	31.7 L 5 31.7 L 5	64 64	1 2	1 1			JON JON
1998 08 06.80	S 10.1 AA	25.4 L	61	1.2	2/			SEA01
1998 08 11.78	S 10.3 VN	25.4 L	61	1	6			SEA01
1998 08 13.78 1998 08 17.76	S 10.3 VN S 10.2 VN	25.4 L 6 25.4 L 6	61 61	1.3 2	6 6			SEA01 SEA01
1998 08 18.80	S 9.6 AA	5.0 B	10	2.5	2			SEA01

Comet C/1995 01 (Hale-Bopp) [cont.]

1998 08 22.79 1998 08 24.78 1998 08 24.78 1998 08 26.77 1998 08 29.76 1998 09 01.70 1998 09 01.72 1998 09 15.67 1998 09 16.62 1998 09 17.72 1998 09 18.67 1998 09 20.76 1998 09 22.67 1998 09 22.67 1998 09 27.60 1998 09 27.60 1998 09 27.66 1998 09 27.66 1998 09 27.66 1998 09 27.66 1998 09 27.66 1998 09 27.66 1998 09 27.66 1998 09 27.66 1998 09 27.66 1998 10 01.69 1998 10 02.75 1998 10 13.53 1998 10 13.53 1998 10 13.62 1998 10 13.56 1998 10 13.94 1998 10 15.56 1998 10 19.80 1998 10 19.80	10.3 AA 9.3 AA 9.5 AA 9.6 AA 9.9 AA 9.7 AA 9.7 VN 10.2 TI 9.9 VN 9.4 AA 9.9 VN 10.1 VN 10.1 VN 10.2 VN 10.2 VN 10.2 VN 10.2 VN 10.2 VN 10.3 AA 10.1 VN 10.2 VN 10.2 VN 10.2 VN	AP. T F/ 25.4 L 6 5.0 B 8.0 B 10.0 B 10.0 B 10.0 B 25.4 L 6 25.4 L 6 7 25.4 L 6 7 25.4 L 1 10.0 B 25.4 L 25.4 L 1 10.0 B 25.4 L 25.4 L 26 25.6 B 20 L 7 11 10.0 B 21 L 24 20 L 7	45 61 10 61 61 61 61 61 61 150 25 90	COMA 1.5 2.2 2.1.5 2.0 1.5 1.4 2.0 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.5 2.5 2.6 1.8 1.8 2.6	4 3 3	TAIL 5 m	PA 170	OBS. SEA01 SEA01 SEA SEA SEA SEA SEA01 MATO8 SEA01
Comet C/1995 Q1 (Brad	ifield)				;			
DATE (UT) N MM N 1996 02 19.49 C[MAG. RF 18.5: GA	AP. T F/ 60.0 Y 6	PWR a240	COMA ! 0.3	DC	TAIL	PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet C/1995 Q2 (Hart	tley-Drin	kwater)						
DATE (UT) N MM N 1995 10 17.43 C[: 1995 10 20.42 C[: 1995 10 25.41 C[:	MAG. RF 18.5: GA 18.5: GA 18.5: GA	AP. T F/ 60.0 Y 6 60.0 Y 6 60.0 Y 6		COMA ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2	DC	TAIL	PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet C/1996 B2 (Hyal	kutake)							
DATE (UT) 1996 03 12.04 1996 03 12.98 1996 03 14.99 1996 03 15.01 1996 03 15.01 1996 03 17.11 1996 03 17.11 1996 03 18.01 1996 03 18.05 1996 03 18.05 1996 03 19.02 1996 03 19.02 1996 03 19.02 1996 03 20.02 1996 03 20.02 1996 03 20.03 1996 03 20.94 1996 03 20.96 1996 03 20.96 1996 03 20.96 1996 03 20.98 1996 03 21.96 1996 03 21.96 1996 03 21.96 1996 03 21.99	MAG. RF 4.7 HD 4.5 HD 4.3 HD 4.3 HD 3.8 HD 3.5 HD 3.5 HD 3.5 HD 3.5 HD 3.5 HD 2.5 HD 2.1 HD 2.1 HD 2.1 HD 2.1 HD 1.6 HD 1.6 HD	AP. T F/ 5 R 11 B 5 R 11 B 5 R 5.0 B 5 R 11 B 0.0 E 0.0 E 5 R 11 B 0.0 E 5.0 B 0.0 E 5.0 B 11 B	PWR 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	COMA 6 4.5 7 5 7 8 8 8 8 10 12 20 15 12 20 15 15 30 30 38 45 28	DC 55555644455546666676445	7AIL 0.5 0.7 0.5 1.0 2.0 3.5 5.7 1.5 4 5.7 7 2.5 7 14 14 15	PA	OBS. NES

Comet C/1996 B2	(Hyakutake)	[cont.]			
1996 03 22.94 1996 03 22.95 1996 03 22.99 1996 03 23.01 1996 03 23.94 1996 03 23.94 1996 03 24.01 1996 03 24.76 1996 03 24.76 1996 03 25.08 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.09 1996 03 25.75 1996 03 26.01 1996 03 26.02 1996 03 26.05 1996 03 27.00	N MM MAG. RF B 0.7 HD B 0.8 HD B 0.8 HD B 0.5 HD B 0.5 HD B 0.5 HD B 0.4 HD B 0.3 HD B 0.4 HD B 0.3 HD B 0.4 HD B 0.1 HD	AP. T F/ 0.0 E 5.0 B 11 B 5 R 0.0 E 5.0 B 5 R 11 B 0.0 E 5.0 B	PWR COMA 1 30 7 60 20 60 20 48 1 60 7 72 20 60 20 102 1 60 20 60 7 90 1 42 20 72 7 60 7 60 28 60 1 42 7 48 20 48 20 72 20 48 20 90	DC TAIL 6 15 6 15 6 15 7 17.5 6 25 6 30 6 35 5 > 45 6 25 6 45 6 30 6 > 30 6 > 30 6 > 30 6 > 30 7 50 7 50 7 50 7 50 7 40	PA OBS. NES NES NES NES NES NES NES NES NES NE
Comet C/1996 E1		4D 777/	DUD GOVA	D.G	74 67
	N MM MAG. RF C[18.5: GA	AP. T F/ 60.0 Y 6 a	PWR COMA a240 ! 0.3	DC TAIL	PA OBS. NAKO1
Comet C/1997 D1	(Mueller)				
1997 03 05.87 1997 11 01.00 1997 11 01.97 1997 12 21.83 1997 12 30.82 1997 12 31.76 1998 01 01.77 1998 01 17.82 1998 01 25.79 1998 01 26.76	M MM MAG. RF B 13.5 HS M 11.7 HS M 11.6 HS M 12.1 TI B 13.4 HS B 13.8 HS B 13.8 HS B 14.2 HS B 14.1 HS B 13.8 HS C 17.6 GA	AP. T F/ 20 R 17 42 L 5	PWR COMA 140 1.5 81 2.1 81 1.7 81 2.4 162 1.5 162 1.3 162 1.6 162 1.1 263 1.3 162 1.2 240 0.5	DC TAIL 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2	PA OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LE
Comet C/1997 J1	(Mueller)				
DATE (UT) 1997 05 31.92 1997 06 03.90 1997 06 04.91 1997 07 11.93 1997 07 12.90 1997 07 13.90	MM MAG. RF B 13.5 HS B 12.9 HS B 12.3 HS B 12.5 HS M 13.8 HS B 13.3 HS B 13.3 HS	AP. T F/ 42 L 5	PWR COMA 81 1.3 81 1.4 81 1.5 162 1.1 162 1.4 162 1.9 162 1.5	DC TAIL 4 4 3/ 3 2/ 3	PA OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH
Comet C/1997 J2	(Meunier-Dupor	uy)			
DATE (UT) 1997 05 31.94 1997 06 03.94 1997 06 04.92 1997 06 10.93 1997 07 08.90 1997 07 11.94 1997 07 12.91 1997 07 13.91	MM MAG. RF M 12.4 HS B 13.1 HS B 12.6 HS B 13.3 HS M 12.0 HS M 12.3 HS M 12.5 HS M 12.0 HS	AP. T F/ 42 L 5	PWR COMA 81 2.2 81 2 81 1.8 162 0.8 81 1.6 81 1.2 81 2.0 81 2.0	DC TAIL 3 3 3 2/ 3 3 3 3 3	PA OBS. LEH

DATE (UT) 1997 08 10.87	N MM MAG. M 11.4	RF HS	AP. T F/ 42 L 5	PWR 81	COMA 2.9	DC 4	TAIL	PA	OBS. LEH
1997 08 12.86 1997 09 12.82	M 11.5 M 11.3	HS HS	42 L 5 42 L 5	81 81	2.1 2.3	4			LEH LEH
1997 09 14.78 1997 09 15.77	M 11.3 M 11.2	HS HS	42 L 5 42 L 5	81 81	2.5 2.5	4			LEH LEH
1997 09 16.75 1997 09 20.79	M 11.2 M 11.4	HS TI	42 L 5 42 L 5	81 81	2.6 2.4	4 3/			LEH LEH LEH
1997 09 21.78 1997 09 27.84 1997 09 28.77	M 11.4 S 11.3 M 11.6	TI GA TI	42 L 5 15.2 L 5 42 L 5	81 30 81	2.5 2.5 2.5	3/ 3 4			HAV LEH
1997 10 19.74 1997 10 21.73		HS HS	42 L 5 42 L 5	81 81	2.6 2.6	4 4			LEH LEH
1997 10 24.74 1997 10 25.78	M 11.2 S 10.9	TI	42 L 5 15.2 L 5	81 30	3.2 2	3/ 2			LEH HAV
1997 10 26.72 1997 10 28.73	M 11.0 M 11.2	TI	42 L 5 42 L 5	81 81	2.6 3.3	4			LEH LEH
1997 10 31.85 1997 11 01.81	M 11.6 M 11.5 M 11.2	HS TI	42 L 5 42 L 5 42 L 5	81 81	2.3 3.3 2.6	4 4 3/			LEH LEH LEH
1997 11 04.73 1997 11 10.72 1997 11 20.73	M 11.2 M 11.0 M 11.4	TI TI TI	42 L 5 42 L 5 42 L 5	81 81 81	2.6 2.6 2.9	3/ 3/			LEH LEH
1997 12 14.72 1997 12 16.71		TI TI	10 B 4 10 B 4	25 25	3.0 2.6	3/ 2/			LEH LEH
1997 12 21.71 1997 12 30.71	M 10.6 M 11.0	TI TI	10 B 4 10 B 4	25 25	2.3 2.1	3 3			LEH LEH
1997 12 31.70 1998 01 01.71	M 10.6	TI TI	10 B 4 10 B 4	25 25	2.1 2.1	3 3			LEH LEH
1998 01 17.74 1998 01 25.74 1998 01 26.71		TI TI TI	10 B 4 10 B 4 10 B 4	25 25 25	2.2 2.1 2.3	3 3 3			LEH LEH LEH
1998 04 24.05 1998 04 30.02	M 11.2	TĪ VF	42 L 5 20 L 5	81 70	3.7 2	4/ 1/			LEH BARO6
1998 05 01.02 1998 05 02.03	S 11.6 M 10.8	GA TI	20 L 5 42 L 5	70 81	2 2.2	1 3			BARO6 LEH
1998 05 20.01 1998 05 23.98		TI GA	42 L 5 20 L 5	81 70	2.0 2.3	4 2 2			LEH BARO6 BARO6
1998 05 29.98 1998 05 30.03 1998 05 30.98	M 11.3	GA TI GA	20 L 5 10 B 4 20 L 5	70 25 70	1.6 1.9 1.7	3 1/			LEH BARO6
1998 05 31.96 1998 05 31.98	M 11.3	TI GA	42 L 5 20 L 5	81 70	2.0 1.8	3/			LEH BARO6
1998 06 02.08 1998 06 02.95	S 11.1 M 11.3	GA TI	20 T 10 42 L 5	80 81	1.5 2.2	2 3 3			DIO LEH
1998 06 13.89 1998 06 19.91	S 11.1	GA GA TI	20 L 5 20 L 5 42 L 5	70 70 81	$1.4 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.4$	3 3 4			BARO6 BARO6 LEH
1998 06 20.97 1998 06 21.94 1998 06 21.99	S 11.3	GA TI	20 L 5 10 B 4	70 25	1.7 3.2	2 3			BARO6 LEH
1998 06 22.08 1998 06 22.91	S 11.1 S 11.1	GA GA	15 L 6 20 L 5	100 70	2 2.0	2 3 2 2 3 3			DIO BARO6
1998 06 25.99 1998 06 26.93	S 11.4:		10 B 4 20 L 5 10 B 4	25 70 25	3.1 1.5 3.0	3 3 3	•		LEH BARO6 LEH
1998 06 27.92 1998 06 28.90 1998 06 30.95	M 10.7	TI TI HS	10 B 4 10 B 4 20 L 5	25 70	3.0 2.3	3 1			LEH BARO6
1998 07 01.94 1998 07 18.17	S 11.4 B 11.5	HS HS	20 L 5 31 T 10	70 . 55	2.4 2.2	1 4			BARO6 DEA
1998 07 18.90 1998 07 18.92	M 10.9 S 11.3	TI HS	10 B 4 20 L 5	25 70	2.6 2.3	3 2 6 2/			LEH BARO6
1998 07 19.16 1998 07 19.94	x S 11.4	AC HV TI	40.6 L 5 25.4 L 5 10 B 4	114 65 25	1.5 2.3 2.6	6 2/ 3			BOR MEY LEH
1998 07 19.95 1998 07 19.99 1998 07 20.90	S 11.3	HS TI	20 L 5 10 B 4	70 25	2.5 2.4	3 3			BARO6 LEH
1998 07 20.94		ĦV	25.4 L 5	65	2.2	2			MEY

Comet	C/1997	J2	(Meunier-Dupouy)	[cont.]
-------	--------	----	------------------	---------

1998 07 22.01 S 11.2 HS 20 L 5 70 2.2 2 BAR 1998 07 22.98 S 11.3 HS 20 L 5 70 2.2 2 C C M 1998 07 22.98 S 11.3 HS 20 L 5 70 2.2 2 BAR 1998 07 23.87 M 10.8 TI 10 B 4 25 2.6 3 LEH 1998 07 24.99 M 10.9 TI 10 B 4 25 2.6 3 LEH 1998 07 24.98 S 11.4 AC 30.5 T 10 78 & 2 2 1 BAR 1998 07 24.98 S 11.4 AC 30.5 T 10 78 & 2 2 1 BAR 1998 07 24.98 S 11.4 AC 30.5 T 10 78 & 2 2 3 C C M 1998 07 25.00 S 11.0 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 .5 d5 SAR 1998 07 25.00 S 11.0 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 .5 d5 SAR 1998 07 25.94 X S 11.2 HV 25.4 L 5 65 2.1 2/ METY 1998 07 25.94 X S 11.2 HV 25.4 L 5 65 2.1 2/ METY 1998 07 25.99 S 11.5 GA 15 L 6 75 2 2 D D D D 1998 07 25.99 S 11.5 HS 20 L 5 70 1.5 3 BAR 1998 07 27.91 S 11.5 HS 20 L 5 70 1.5 3 BAR 1998 07 28.86 M 10.9 TI 10 B 4 25 2.8 3 LEH 1998 07 28.86 M 10.9 TI 10 B 4 25 2.7 3 LEH 1998 07 30.02 S 10.8 HS 44.5 L 4 82 3.5 SAR 1998 07 30.02 S 10.8 HS 44.5 L 4 82 3.5 SAR 1998 07 30.02 S 10.8 HS 44.5 L 4 82 3.5 SAR 1998 07 30.02 S 10.8 HS 44.5 L 4 82 3.5 SAR 1998 07 30.02 S 10.8 HS 44.5 L 4 82 3.5 SAR 1998 07 30.02 S 10.8 HS 44.5 L 4 82 3.5 SAR 1998 07 30.57 S 11.5 HS 20 L 5 70 1.5 3 LEH 1998 07 30.02 S 10.8 HS 44.5 L 4 82 3.5 SAR 1998 07 30.57 S 11.5 HS 25.6 L 5 42 1.8 4 BAR 1998 07 30.57 S 11.5 HS 25.6 L 5 42 1.8 4 BAR 1998 07 30.57 S 11.5 HS 25.6 L 5 42 1.8 4 BAR 1998 07 30.57 S 11.5 HS 25.6 L 5 42 1.8 4 BAR 1998 07 30.57 S 11.5 HS 25.6 L 5 42 1.8 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 3.5 SAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 3.5 SAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 4 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 2 BAR 1998 07 31.00 S 11.4 HS 44.5 L 4 82 2 2 BAR 1998 08 01.20 S 10.8 HS 40.6 T 6 6 0 0.6 BAR 1998 08 01.20		- ([0010.]		•	
1998 08 11.85 M 11.0 TI 10 B 4 25 2.2 3 LEH 1998 08 11.99 C 11.8 HS 40.6 T 6 30 1.2 1998 08 11.99 c 11.8 HS 40.6 T 6 30 1.2 > 1.5m 330 JANO 1998 08 12.00 C 11.9 HS 40.6 T 6 60 1.2 1.5m 330 CHEO 1998 08 12.83 S 10.9 HS 20 L 5 70 2.8 3 1998 08 13.03 c 12.8 HS 40.6 T 6 20 0.4 1998 08 13.30 S 11.4 TJ 25.6 L 5 42 2.0 5 BIV	1998 07 21.99 1998 07 22.98 1998 07 22.98 1998 07 23.87 1998 07 24.89 1998 07 24.91 1998 07 24.98 1998 07 25.00 1998 07 25.00 1998 07 25.94 1998 07 25.99 1998 07 26.93 1998 07 27.91 1998 07 28.86 1998 07 30.02 1998 07 30.02 1998 07 30.02 1998 07 30.02 1998 07 30.88 1998 07 30.88 1998 07 30.88 1998 07 31.00 1998 07 31.88 1998 07 31.95 1998 08 01.05 1998 08 01.05 1998 08 02.02 1998 08 01.05 1998 08 02.02 1998 08 02.02 1998 08 02.03 1998 08 02.02 1998 08 02.03 1998 08 02.03 1998 08 02.03 1998 08 02.93 1998 08 02.93 1998 08 02.93 1998 08 03.29 1998 08 03.29 1998 08 03.29 1998 08 03.29 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 03.96 1998 08 10.81 1998 08 10.87 1998 08 10.81 1998 08 11.82 1998 08 11.82 1998 08 13.30 1998 08 13.30 1998 08 13.30 1998 08 13.88 1998 08 13.88 1998 08 13.88 1998 08 13.88 1998 08 13.88 1998 08 13.88 1998 08 13.88	S 11.2 HS S 11.4 HS M 10.9 TI M 10.9 S 11.2 AC S 11.5 S 11.5 S 11.5 S 11.5 S 11.5 S 11.5 HS S 11.2 S 11.5 HS S 11.5 S 11.6 HS S 11.7 AC S 11.7 AC S 11.1 S S 11.6 S 11.7 AC S 11.7 AC S 11.1 S S 11.6 S 11.7 C 13.0 BS S 11.7 S 11.0 S 11.0 S 11.1 S 11.0 S	20 L 5 70 30.5 T 10 78 20 L 5 70 10 B 4 25 10 B 4 25 10 B 4 25 20 L 5 65 11 4 82 44.5 L 4 82 32.0 L 5 65 15 B 4 5 70 10 B 6 6 65 10 B 7 6 65 11 6 6 120 11 6 7 6 60 12 6 7 6 60 13.5 R 4 8 2 10 6 T 6 60 12 6 12 6 60 13.5 R 20 185 20 L 5 70 25.6 L 6 61 25.6 L 6 60 20 6 T 6 60 30 6 T 60	2.2 2.66 2 2.55 1 8.5 7 7 5.5 8.6 3.2 3.2 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3	2 2 2 3 3 3 1 3 5 / 1.5 5 5 2 / 2 2 3 3 3 3 1 4 4 3 3 4 4 1.8 m 330 2 5 5 3 2 / 5 2 2.0 m 330 2 / 3 3 3 3 1 4 4 3 3 4 4 1.5 m 330 2 / 3 3 3 3 1 4 4 3 3 4 4 1.5 m 330 2 / 3 3 3 3 1 4 4 3 3 4 4 1.5 m 330 2 / 3 3 3 3 1 4 4 3 3 4 4 1.5 m 330 2 / 3 3 3 4 4 4 1.5 m 330 2 / 3 3 3 4 4 4 1.5 m 330 2 / 3 3 4 4 1.5 m 330 3 1 / 3 3 4 4 1.5 m 330 3 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1.5 m 330 3 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 4 1 / 3 4 1 /	BARO6 COM BARO6 LEH BARO6 LEH BARO7 SARO BOR MEY DIO LEH BARO6 SANO7 SARO7 SAR

DATE (UT) 1998 08 15.34 1998 08 15.57 1998 08 15.66 1998 08 15.87 1998 08 15.87 1998 08 15.87 1998 08 15.88 1998 08 16.34 1998 08 16.86 1998 08 16.89 1998 08 16.89 1998 08 17.86 1998 08 17.86 1998 08 17.86 1998 08 17.97 1998 08 17.97 1998 08 17.97 1998 08 17.97 1998 08 17.99 1998 08 17.99 1998 08 18.01 1998 08 18.01 1998 08 18.01 1998 08 18.96 1998 08 18.96 1998 08 18.96 1998 08 19.34 1998 08 19.86 1998 08 19.86 1998 08 19.87 1998 08 19.87 1998 08 19.87 1998 08 19.87 1998 08 19.97 1998 08 19.97 1998 08 19.97 1998 08 19.97 1998 08 19.97 1998 08 19.97 1998 08 20.01 1998 08 20.01 1998 08 20.01 1998 08 20.01 1998 08 20.01 1998 08 20.01 1998 08 20.01 1998 08 20.85 1998 08 20.88 1998 08 20.88 1998 08 20.88 1998 08 20.88 1998 08 22.94 1998 08 23.85 1998 08 23.85	N MM MAG. RI S 11.4 TI S 11.5 HS S 11.4 HS S 11.3 HS S 11.3 HS S 11.3 HS S 11.4 TI S 11.0 CI S 11.4 NI S 11.5 S 11.4 NI S 11.5 S 11.4 NI S 11.3 HS S 11.4 NI S 11.3 TI S 11.4 NI S 11.5 S 11.4 NI S 11.5 S 11	25.6 L 5 7 6 4 5 6 6 10 8 4 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 8 4 5 5 5 6 6 5 5 7 5 6 5 6	42 45 a120 25 70 100 42 66 70 400 660 651 660 651 660 675 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7	COMA DC 2.0 S 2 3 1/3 4 1 2 3/3 2 2 3 3 3 4 2 2 3/3 3 3 4 2 2 3/3 3 3 4 2 2 3/3 3 3 4 2 2 3/3 3 5 2/3 2 2 1.7 2.2 2.1 3 3 6 3 3/2 2 2 1.7 2.2 2.4 8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	TAIL PA > 5.1m 342	OBS. BIV MATO8 NAK01 LEH BAR06 BOU BIV LEH BAR06 BOU LEV MEY RES HOR02 NEK SAN04 MAR02 BAR06 MEY SAN04 MAR02 BOU RES BIV HOR02 ZNO LEH MEY RES SHU NEK SAN04 MAR02 BOU RES BIV HOR02 ZNO LEH MEY RES SHU NEK SAN04 HOR02 ZNO LEH MAR02 BOU RES SHU NEK SAN04 BIV TSU02 LEH NEV BAR06 BAR06 RES MAR02 MATO8 MATO8 MIL002 MIL002
1998 08 23.85	M 10.8 TT	13 L 8 20.0 L 4 20.0 L 6 30 L 5 30 L 5 36.0 T 7 25.6 L 5 42 L 5 25.0 L 6 33 L 5 32 L 5 60.0 Y 6 10 B 35 L 5 20.0 L 4	69 61 100 70 a120 + 42 66 61 75 75 a120 25 92	2.8 2	& 6 m 335	HORO2

		•	-	-					
DATE (UT) 1998 08 25		10.8 HS	AP. T 11 L	F/ PWR 7 50	COMA 2.7	DC 2/	TAIL	P▲	OBS. BARO6
	.81 M :	11.2 CD 11.1 TI	30 L	5 100	2.6	4			NEV
1998 08 26 1998 08 26		11.1 TI 10.9 HS	10 B 20.3 T	25 10 93	2.2 1.1	3 3			ZNO HASO2
1998 08 27	.17 J 1	10.2 SC	25.4 T	5 a 60	2.69	s4			ROQ
1998 08 27		10.9 TI	42 L	5 66	2.6	4			LEH
1998 08 27 1998 08 28		11.2 AC	25.0 L	6 61	2.0	2/			RES
1998 08 28		11.0 AC 11.0 HS	40.6 L 25.6 L	5 114 5 42	1.2 2.0	5 6			BOR BIV
1998 08 28	.83 M 1	11.2 TI	3 5 L	5 92	2.2	2/			HORO2
1998 08 28	.96 S 1	11.1 NP	32 L	5 75	2	2/			MAR02
1998 08 29 1998 08 29		l1.4: TI l0.9 TI	35 L 42 L	5 92 5 81	2 2.2	2/ 3/			HORO2 LEH
1998 08 29		10.9 AC	25.0 L	6 61	2.0	3			RES
1998 08 29		L1.1 HS	20.3 T	10 77	1.4	3			KAM01
1998 08 30 1998 08 30		11.2 HS	25.6 L 42 L	5 42 5 81	2.0 2.4	4			BIV
1998 08 30		11.0 TI	35 L	5 81 5 92	2.4	4 2/			LEH HORO2
1998 08 30	.94 x M 1	11.4 TT	25.4 J	6 88	1.7	4			BOU
1998 08 31 1998 08 31		1.0 AC	25.0 L	6 61	1.9	2/			RES
1998 08 31		11.4 TT	25.4 J 11 L	6 88 7 50	1.9 2.5	3 3			BOU BARO6
1998 08 31	.98 S 1	1.0 HS	20 L	5 70	2.7	s 3			BARO6
1998 09 01	.01 S 1	1.0 TI	13 L	8 69	1.9	2/			HORO2
1998 09 01. 1998 09 01.		1.3 HV .0.9 AC	25.4 L 25.0 L	5 65 6 61	2.0 1.9	3 2/			MEY RES
1998 09 02.	.57 S 1	.1.3 TJ	25.6 L	5 42	1.6	5			BIV
1998 09 03.		.0.9 AC	25.0 L	6 61	2.0	2			RES
1998 09 04. 1998 09 08.		.0.9 AC	25.0 L 25.0 L	6 61 6 61	2.2 1.8	2 2/			RES RES
1998 09 09.	.51 C 1	.1.9 GA	60.0 Y	6 a120	2.2	2/			NAKO1
1998 09 09.		1.2 TI	35 L	5 92	2.3	2/			HORO2
1998 09 09. 1998 09 10.		1.0: HS 1.6 HS	20 L 20 L	5 70 7 45	& 2.8 1	3			BARO6 MATO8
1998 09 10.		1.6 HS	20 L	7 45	1	2 2 2			MATO8
1998 09 10.		1.2 HS	20 L	5 70	2.1	2			BAR06
1998 09 10. 1998 09 11.		1.6 TT	25.4 J 25.4 T	6 88 5 a 60	1.8 2.69	3 s5			BOU
1998 09 11.	.30 S 1	1.7 HS	25.4 I	5 42	1.5	4			ROQ BIV
1998 09 11.		1.3 HS	20 L	5 70	1.9	1/			BAR06
1998 09 11. 1998 09 12.		1.7 TT 1.5 NP	25.4 J 10 R	6 88 5 27	1.6 1.5	3/			BOU
1998 09 12.		1.6 NP	10 R	5 27	2	1/ 1			MARO2 DESO1
1998 09 13.	31 S 1	1.7 HS	25.6 L	5 42	1.7	4			BIV
1998 09 13. 1998 09 13.	79 a S 1 79 a S 1	2.1 CD 2.1 CD	30 L 30 L	5 100 5 100	2 2	2			NEV
1998 09 13.	85 x S 1		25.4 J	6 88	2.0	2 2/			NEV BOU
1998 09 13.	89 S 1	1.4 AC	30.5 T	10 117	& 3	1/			COM
1998 09 15. 1998 09 15.		1.6 HS 1.3 NP	25.6 L	5 42	1.6	5			BIV
1998 09 15.		1.6 GA	32 L 25.3 L	5 75 6 58	1.5 & 2	2 3			MARO2 PERO1
1998 09 15.	99 S 1	1.8 GA	25.3 L	6 58	& 3	2/			VIT01
1998 09 16. 1998 09 16.		1.5 HS 1.4 HS	20 L	7 45	2	2			80TAM
1998 09 17.		1.4 HS 1.9 GA	20 L 60.0 Y	5 70 6 a120	$\frac{2.1}{2.4}$	2	> 5.3m	348	BARO6 NAKO1
1998 09 18.	04 S 1	1.8 AC	40.6 L	5 90	1.5	3	, 0.0m	010	BOR
1998 09 18.		1.8 HS	25.6 L	5 42	1.4	3			BIV
1998 09 18. 1998 09 19.	95 B 13	2.1 HS 1.7 HS	40.6 T 25.6 L	10 65 5 42	1.5 1.3	1/ 3			CHEO3 BIV
1998 09 19.	45 S 1	1.9 HS	20 L	7 158	2	2			BOTAM 8OTAM
1998 09 19.		1.2: TI	35 L	5 92	2	2/			HORO2
1998 09 19. 1998 09 19.		1.3 HS 2.3 HS	25.0 L 20.3 T	6 61 10 77	1.6 1.1	2/ 3			RES KAMO1
1998 09 20.	16 S 1	2.3 HS	31 T	10 125	1.23	3			DEA
1998 09 20.	19 J 1:	1.0 SC	25.4 T	5 a 60	2.17	d 3	?		ROQ

DATE (UT) 1998 09 20.33 1998 09 20.49 1998 09 20.50 1998 09 20.80 1998 09 20.82 1998 09 21.77 1998 09 21.83 1998 09 21.83 1998 09 21.83 1998 09 22.47 1998 09 22.47 1998 09 22.80 1998 09 22.80 1998 09 22.80 1998 09 22.80 1998 09 22.83 1998 09 22.84 1998 09 23.80 1998 09 23.80 1998 09 24.82 1998 09 24.82 1998 09 24.82 1998 09 24.82 1998 09 24.82 1998 09 24.83 1998 09 24.83 1998 09 25.96 1998 09 25.96 1998 09 25.96 1998 09 26.51 1998 09 26.51 1998 09 26.51 1998 09 26.80 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 11.55 1998 10 13.87 1998 10 13.87	N MM MAG. RF B 11.8 HS S 13.6 HS S 13.3 HS S 11.3 TI A S 12.3 CD S 11.1 TI S 11.4 SE B 12.2 HS S 11.6 HS S 11.9 AC S 11.9 AT S 11.8 TT S 11.8 S 11.9 TT S 11.9 S 11.10 GA S 11.9 GA S 11.9 GA S 11.9 HS S 11.1 HS S 11.1 HS S 11.2 HS S 11.3 HS	AP. T F/ PWR 25.6 L 5 42 31.7 L 6 152 25.0 L 6 61 35 L 5 92 30 L 5 100 35 L 5 92 25.0 L 6 61 25 L 4 64 40.6 T 10 65 25.6 L 5 42 25.4 L 5 92 20 L 5 70 25.4 J 6 100 35 L 5 92 20 L 5 70 25.4 J 6 100 35 L 5 92 20 L 5 70 25.4 J 6 100 35 L 5 92 20 L 5 70 25.4 J 6 100 25.4 J 6 88 25.4 L 6 104 20 L 5 70 30 L 5 100 25.3 L 6 58 25.4 L 6 104 20 L 5 70 30 L 5 61 25.4 L 6 104 20 L 5 70 30 L 5 88 25.4 T 5 a 60 25.6 L 5 42 35 L 5 92 25.0 L 6 61 25.4 L 6 104 25.6 L 5 84 41 L 4 200 25.6 L 5 84 41 L 5 70 30 L 5 100 25.4 L 6 104 25.6 L 5 84 41 L 4 200 25.6 L 5 84 41 L 5 70 30 L 5 70 30 L 5 70 30 L 5 70	& 1.5 3 & 1.7 2 2.25 s5 1.5 3 1.8 2/ 1.5 2/ 1.6 0 1.9 1 1.9 3 1.5 4 1.0 4 1.2 3/ 1.2 3/ 1.2 3 1.0 3 2.47 s5 0.6 1 2 0.5 2/ 1.1 2 1 1.3 2	TAIL PA	OBS. BIV MIY01 Y0S04 RES H0R02 NEV H0R02 RES SHU H0R02 BAR06 BOU H0R02 BAR06 BOU H0R02 BAR06 BOU HOR02 BAR06 BOU HOR02 BAR06 BOU RES BAR06 BOU PER01 VIT01 ROQ BIV HOR02 RES SAR02 BAR06 BIV HOR02 RES HOR02 RES HOR02 RES BAR06 BIV PER01 VIT01 ROQ BIV HOR02 RES HOR02 RES HOR02 RES BAR06 BIV PEA BIV PEA BIV PEA BIV PEA BIV PEA BIV PEA BIV BEA BIV PEA BIV BOU BAR06
1998 10 14.80 1998 10 14.84 1998 10 15.46 1998 10 16.76 1998 10 16.79	a S 12.3 GA S 12.1 HS S 12.5 HS S 12.5 HS S 12.3 HS	25.4 J 6 100 20 L 5 70 20 L 7 158 44.5 L 5 100 20 L 5 70	1.3 2 1.3 3 1 2 0.9 3 1.3 2		BOU BARO6 MATO8 KARO2 BARO6
1998 10 16.82 1998 10 17.43 1998 10 17.80 1998 10 18.11 1998 10 18.13	S 12.1 AC S 12.8 GA a S 12.5 GA c 17.7 FA C 13.3 FA	25.0 L 6 61 25.4 L 4 71 25.4 J 6 100 91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5	1.3 2 1.4 1 2.05	19.9m 344	RES SEA BOU SCOO1 SCOO1
1998 10 18.36 1998 10 18.78 1998 10 18.80 1998 10 18.83 1998 10 19.52 1998 10 20.29	S 12.5 HS a S 12.5 GA S 12.8: HS S 12.5 VN S 12.8 HS	25.6 L 5 84 25.4 J 6 100 25.0 L 6 61 33 L 5 100 41 L 4 200 25.6 L 5 84	1.0 3 1.4 1/ 1.2 2/ 1.0 2 0.9 3 0.8 4	\ A 7- 050	BIV BOU RES SHAO2 PEA BIV
1998 10 21.45	C 12.9 GA	60.0 Y 6 a120	2.1	> 4.7m 352	NAKO1

Comet C/1997 J2 (Meunier-Dupo	uy) [cont.]		
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. RF 1998 10 21.51 x S 12.7: HS 1998 10 21.74 S 11.8 HS 1998 10 23.39 S 12.8 HS 1998 10 24.31 S 12.7 HS 1998 10 24.77 S 12.6 HS 1998 10 25.47 [12.8 HS 1998 10 25.47 [12.8 HS 1998 10 25.77 S 12.5 HS 1998 10 26.39 S 12.7 HS 1998 10 26.83 S 12.4 AC 1998 11 02.10 J 13.5 SC Comet C/1997 L1 (Zhu-Balam)	35 L 5 92 1 25.6 L 5 84 1 25.6 L 5 84 0 44.5 L 5 100 1 31.7 L 6 152 ! 1 44.0 L 5 156 0 25.6 L 5 84 1 25.0 L 6 61 1	MA DC TAIL .5 1 .5 2 .0 3 .9 3 .3 2 .5 3 .0 3 .2 2 .69 s4/	PA OBS. YOSO2 HORO2 BIV BIV KARO2 YOSO4 HASO2 BIV RES ROQ
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. RF	AP. TF/ PWR COI	MA DC TAIL	PA OBS.
1998 07 27.19 C 20.7 FA 1998 07 27.19 C 22.5 FA			186 SC001 SC001
1998 08 30.16 C 20.9 FA	91.4 L 5	21.6s	
Comet C/1997 P2 (Spacewatch)			
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. RF 1997 10 29.45 C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR COM 60.0 Y 6 a240 ! 0		PA OBS. NAKO1
Comet C/1997 T1 (Utsunomiya)			
DATE (UT) 1997 10 19.80 1997 10 21.78 1997 10 24.78 1997 10 25.76 1997 10 25.76 1997 10 28.77 1997 10 31.87 1997 11 01.82 1997 11 01.82 1997 11 02.72 1997 11 04.81 1997 11 10.75 1997 11 10.75 1997 11 20.72 1997 12 14.70 1997 12 14.70 1997 12 16.69 1997 12 21.70 1997 12 31.69 1997 12 31.69 1997 12 31.69 1998 04 22.03 1998 04 23.98 1998 05 19.96 1998 05 19.96 1998 05 31.92 N MM MAG. RF M 9.9 TI M 10.1 TI H 10.1 H 10.1 TI H 10.1 TI H 10.1 H 10.1 TI H 10.1 H 10.1 TI H 10.1 H	AP. T F/ PWR CON 10 B 4 25 3. 10 B 4 25 10 B 4	.8 3 .5 3 .3 3/ .9 3 .5 3 .9 3/ .6 3/ .6 3/ .1 3 .3 3 .5 3/ .2 3 .0 3 .0 3 .0 3 .0 3 .0 3	PA OBS. LEH LEH HAV LEH
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. RF 1998 04 28.02 S 10.7 VF 1998 04 28.02 S 11.0 VF 1998 04 28.98 S 10.6 VF 1998 04 29.02 S 10.3 VF 1998 04 29.95 S 10.4 VF 1998 04 30.85 M 11.1 TI 1998 04 30.92 S 11.6 HS 1998 04 30.94 S 10.5 VF 1998 04 30.94 S 10.5 VF 1998 04 30.94 S 10.7 VF 1998 04 30.96 S 10.6 VF 1998 05 01.00 S 11.8 HS 1998 05 01.90 M 10.8 TI	AP. T F/ PWR COM 11 L 7 50 4 20 L 5 70 4. 15 L 6 32 7 11 B 20 10 20 L 5 40 10 42 L 5 81 3. 27 L 6 83 3 20 L 5 40 8 20 L 5 70 7 11 L 7 50 7 35 L 70 2. 10 B 4 25 6.	5 2/ 3 2 1/ 2 2 3 2/ 2/ 5 2	PA OBS. BARO6 BARO6 BARO6 BARO6 LEH TOTO3 BARO6 BARO6 BARO6 BARO6 SZA LEH

Comet	C/1998	H1	(Stonehouse)	[cont.]
-------	--------	----	--------------	---------

DATE (UT) 1998 05 01.94 1998 05 04.89 1998 05 12.83 1998 05 13.88 1998 05 13.88 1998 05 14.86 1998 05 16.90 1998 05 17.94 1998 05 19.11 1998 05 19.98 1998 05 23.12 1998 05 23.92 1998 05 27.91 1998 05 27.91 1998 05 29.94 1998 05 30.95 1998 05 31.91 1998 05 31.91 1998 05 31.91 1998 06 22.994 1998 06 22.994 1998 06 22.994 1998 06 22.994 1998 06 22.994 1998 06 23.92 1998 06 21.93 1998 06 27.91 1998 06 27.91 1998 07 18.88 1998 07 19.88 1998 07 20.88 1998 07 23.86 1998 07 24.86 1998 07 31.86 1998 08 10.84 1998 08 15.82	N MM MAG. S 10.4 M 10.6 S 11.0 S 11.1 S 11.5 S 11.3 M 11.9 S 11.5 S 11.3 M 12.0 M 12.1 S 12.3 M 12.1 M 12.5 S 11.4 S 11.5 S 11.3 M 12.6 B 14.6 B 14.6	RFAIIAAA GESASASASASASASSASSASSASSASSASSASSASSASSA	AP. T F/20 10 B 45 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	PWR 70 25 70 53 32 83 70 70 81 70 81 70 81 70 81 162 162 162 162 162 162 162 162 162 16	COMA 7 6.55 5.5.7 0.15.1.5.5.8.4.9.3.3.9.0.3.2.2.2.2.1.1.3.1.2.2.2.8.5.1.0.0.5.1.3.2.2.2.8.5.1.3.2.2.2.8.5.1.3.2.2.2.8.5.1.3.2.2.2.8.5.1.3.2.2.2.8.5.1.3.3.2.2.2.2.8.5.1.3.3.2.2.2.2.8.5.1.3.3.2.2.2.2.8.5.1.3.3.2.2.2.2.8.5.2.2.2.3.3.2.2.2.2.8.5.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	DC 22/3332/22131233 1333333333333333333333	TAIL	PA	OBS. BARO6 LEH BARO6 BARO6 BARO6 BARO6 BOR LEH BOR BARO6 LEH TOTO3 BARO6 LEH
DATE (UT) 1998 05 16.44 1998 05 17.44 1998 05 22.44 1998 05 24.36 1998 05 24.36 1998 05 24.44 1998 05 29.44 1998 06 06.46 1998 06 14.45 1998 06 16.68 1998 06 16.68 1998 06 20.30 1998 06 21.44 1998 06 21.68 1998 06 23.44 1998 06 24.46 1998 06 25.68 1998 06 27.32 1998 06 27.32 1998 06 27.69 1998 06 29.31 1998 06 30.69 1998 07 01.36 1998 07 02.34	1 (SOHO) N MM MAG. S 3.3 S 4.5 S 4.6 I 4.1 S 4.5 I 4.7 S 5.4 S 7.0 S 7.0 S 7.4 S 7.7 S 6.8 S 7.8 S 7.8 S 8.0 S 8.0 S 8.2	RFAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	AP. T F/ 8.0 B 8.0 B 8.0 B 8.0 B 0.0 E 8.0 B 0.0 E 8.0 B 8.0 B 11.2 L 8 11.2 L 8 11.2 L 8 7.8 R 8 8.0 B 11.2 L 8 7.8 R 8 20.0 L 8 7.8 R 8 20.0 L 8 7.8 R 8 20.0 L 8 8.0 B 8.0 B	PWR 20 20 20 1 20 20 50 50 50 83 30 83 15 15	COMA 3 2.5 3 2.7 3 3.8 6.3 2.5 4 6.8 6.5 7 2.1 4 22 4.5 2.8	DC 9988 7 765555 434/5332322222	TAIL 2 4 3 2.7 1.5	PA 110 100 105 106 110	OBS. PEA PEA PEA WILO2 PEA WILO2 PEA PEA CO002 CO002 JON PEA CO002 PEA CO002 JON CO002 JON CO002 JON CO002 SEA01 SEA01

Comet C/1998 J1	(SOHO) [com	t.]			
DATE (UT) 1998 07 03.30 1998 07 05.35 1998 07 05.68 1998 07 06.34 1998 07 10.69 1998 07 14.35 1998 07 15.35 1998 07 19.31 1998 07 19.35 1998 07 20.35 1998 07 22.35 1998 08 10.42 1998 08 12.40 1998 08 15.42 Comet C/1998 K1	N MM MAG. RF S 9.5 TT S 8.3 AA S 9.0 S S 8.8 AA S[9.2 S S 10.0 VN S 10.3 TT S 10.5 VN S 10.6 VN S 10.8 VN S 10.8 VN S 12.3 HS S 12.5 HS S [12.5:	31.7 L 5 64 8.0 B 15 20.0 L 8 83 25.4 L 6 61 20.0 L 8 83 25.4 L 6 61 25.4 L 6 61 31.7 L 5 64 25.4 L 6 61 25.4 L 6 61	1.5 3/	TAIL PA	OBS. JON SEA01 COU02 SEA01 COU02 SEA01 SEA01 JON SEA01 SEA01 SEA01 MAT08 MAT08 MAT08
	N MM MAG. RF B 14.8 HS B 14.8 HS B 14.7 HS B 14.8 HS B 14.9 HS B 14.8 HS B 14.6 HS B 14.7 HS	AP. T F/ PWR 42 L 5 162 42 L 5 263 42 L 5 263 42 L 5 263 42 L 5 263 42 L 5 162 42 L 5 162 42 L 5 162	COMA DC 0.4 4 0.5 4 0.5 4 0.7 4 0.8 4 1.0 4 0.9 4 0.8 4	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH
1998 07 26.17 1998 07 26.18	N MM MAG. RF C 18.8 FA c 20.6 FA	AP. T F/ PWR 91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5	COMA DC 0.12	TAIL PA 20.4s 102	0BS. SC001 SC001
1998 05 31.95 1998 06 02.93 1998 06 20.98 1998 06 21.97 1998 06 25.97 1998 07 01.02 1998 07 19.97 1998 07 20.97 1998 07 20.97 1998 07 24.94 1998 07 25.02 1998 07 26.00 1998 07 26.98 1998 07 30.56 1998 07 31.07 1998 07 31.96 1998 08 01.02 1998 08 01.06 1998 08 02.02	N MM MAG. RF B 14.6 HS B 14.3 HS B 13.5 HS B 13.2 HS S 12.7 HS M 12.6 HS I 12.5 AC M 12.5 HS I 12.7 AC M 12.5 HS S 12.8 AC I 13.1 AC M 12.6 HS S 12.6 HS S 12.6 HS S 13.1 AC S 13.1 AC S 13.1 AC	AP. T F/ PWR 42 L 5 162 42 L 5 81 27 L 6 167 42 L 5 81 25.4 L 5 162 25.4 L 5 65 42 L 5 81 30.5 T 10 78 25.4 L 5 104 42 L 5 81 25.6 L 5 169 44.5 L 4 230 42 L 5 81 25.0 L 6 121 44.5 L 4 230 25.0 L 6 121	COMA DC 0.4 5 0.4 6/ 0.4 8 0.5 7/ 0.2 8 0.0 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 <0.1 9 0.3 8 0.2 8 0.3 8 0.3 8 0.3 8 0.3 8	0.3m 270	OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH TOTO3 LEH MEY LEH MEY LEH BIV SARO2 LEH RES SANO7 RES
1998 08 02.43 1998 08 02.55 1998 08 03.01 1998 08 03.99 1998 08 04.63 1998 08 04.76 1998 08 06.08 1998 08 15.94 1998 08 15.99	J 12.2 SC B 12.4 HS S 12.7 HS S 12.9 AC B 12.7 HS C 12.4 GA S 12.6 HS I 12.7 AC M 13.0 HS	25.4 T 5 a 40 25.6 L 5 169 30 R 20 185 25.0 L 6 61 25.6 L 5 169 60.0 Y 6 a120 30 R 20 185 25.4 J 6 100 42 L 5 162	0.38 s5 0.1 8/ < 0.1 9 0.1 9 0.45 8 < 0.1 9 0.2 8	0.9m 280 1.1m 280	ROQ BIV SHAO2 RES BIV NAKO1 SHAO2 BOU LEH

Comet C/1998 K5 (LINEAR) [cont.]

DATE (UT) 1998 08 16.45 1998 08 16.96 1998 08 17.96 1998 08 18.00 1998 08 18.02 1998 08 18.98 1998 08 19.04 1998 08 19.96 1998 08 19.97 1998 08 19.99 1998 08 20.04 1998 08 20.09	N MM MAG. RF B 12.9 HS I 12.6 HS I 12.7 AC M 13.1 HS S 13.0 AC I 12.6 HS B 13.0 AC M 12.8 HS M 11.9 HS B 12.3 TI B 13.3 AC B 12.1 NP	AP. T F/ PWI 25.6 L 5 16: 25.4 J 6 10: 25.4 L 5 16: 25.0 L 6 12: 25.4 J 6 10: 25.0 L 6 12: 25.0 L 6 12: 35 L 5 9: 25.0 L 6 12: 35 L 5 9: 25.0 L 6 12: 35 L 5 9: 25.0 L 6 12:	9 0.1 0 4 < 0.1 2 0.2 1 0.2 1 0.2 5 0.4 2 0.15 1 0.5	DC 8/ 9 9 8 9 9 8 7/ 8/ 9	TAIL PA 0.3m 270	OBS. BIV BOU MEY LEH RES BOU RES LEH PLS HORO2 RES MARO2
1998 08 20.09 1998 08 20.52 1998 08 22.11 1998 08 22.11 1998 08 23.03 1998 08 23.11 1998 08 23.97 1998 08 23.99	S 11.8 NP B 12.8 HS B 12.0 NP S 12.1 NP S 13.1 VB B 11.9 NP ! V 12.6 YF I 12.8 HS	32 L 5 75 25.6 L 5 165 32 L 5 75 32 L 5 75 30 R 20 105 32 L 5 75 36.0 T 7 a126 20 L 5 76	9 0.05 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	6 9 8 9 9 8 8 8 8	0.4m 270	SANO4 BIV MARO2 SANO4 SHAO2 MARO2 MIK BARO6
1998 08 24.43 1998 08 25.80 1998 08 25.98 1998 08 26.91 1998 08 27.94 1998 08 28.03 1998 08 28.47 1998 08 29.92	B 12.6 HS C 13.0 GA I 12.9 HS S 12.1 HS M 12.8 HS S 12.9 AC B 12.8 HS M 12.5 HS	25.6 L 5 169 60.0 Y 6 a120 20 L 5 70 44.0 L 5 150 42 L 5 169 25.0 L 6 69 25.6 L 5 169 35 L 5 20	0 0.4 0 0.3 6 0.0 2 0.2 1 9 0.1	8/ 8 S8 9 8 9 8/ 8	0.4m 270 1.5m 275 0.3m 265 0.01 285	BIV NAKO1 BARO6 HASO2 LEH RES BIV HORO2
1998 08 30.57 1998 08 30.93 1998 08 31.00 1998 08 31.99 1998 09 01.00 1998 09 01.03 1998 09 01.04 1998 09 01.06	B 12.6 HS M 12.3 HS I 12.7 HS S 13.0 AC M 12.3: HS I 12.9: HS I 12.8 HS I 12.5 AC	25.6 L 5 163 35 L 5 20 25.4 J 6 100 25.0 L 6 63 13 L 8 63 20 L 5 70 20.3 T 10 93 25.4 L 5 100	9 0.1 7 0.3 0 1 0.3 9 0.3 0 0.3 3 0.0	8/ 8 9 8 8 58 9	0.4m 270 0.01 285	BIV HORO2 BOU RES HORO2 BARO6 HASO2 MEY
1998 09 01.99 1998 09 02.60 1998 09 02.76 1998 09 03.04 1998 09 04.05 1998 09 05.50 1998 09 08.47	S 12.9 AC B 12.7 HS C 12.9 GA S 12.8 AC S 12.8 AC B 12.8 HS B 12.9 HS	25.0 L 6 12 25.6 L 5 16 60.0 Y 6 a12 25.0 L 6 12 25.0 L 6 12 25.6 L 5 16 25.6 L 5 16	1 0.1 9 0.1 0 0.5 1 0.1 1 0.1 9 0.15 9 0.2	8/ 8/ 8 7/ 8 8 7/	0.3m 300 0.5m 265 1.6m 273 0.4m 300 0.5m 270 0.5m 270	RES BIV NAKO1 RES RES BIV BIV
1998 09 11.46 1998 09 13.41 1998 09 15.41 1998 09 16.14 1998 09 16.98 1998 09 18.44 1998 09 18.95 1998 09 19.05	B 12.7 HS B 12.7 HS B 12.7 HS ! V 12.2 HV I 13.0 HS B 13.0 HS S 12.7 HS I 13.0 HS	25.6 L 5 16 25.6 L 5 16 25.6 L 5 16 152.4 L 8 a60 20 L 5 7 25.6 L 5 16 44.5 L 4 23 20 L 5 7	9 0.3 9 0.25 0 0.25 0 0.2 9 0.25 0 0.3 0 0.2	7 7 8 8 7/ S7 S8	0.5m 270 1.0m 270 0.7m 270 1.5m 270 1.0m 260	BIV BIV COZ BARO6 BIV SARO2 BARO6
1998 09 19.43 1998 09 19.89 1998 09 20.00 1998 09 20.05 1998 09 20.43 1998 09 21.00 1998 09 22.02 1998 09 22.08	B 12.7 HS M 12.5 HS S 13.1 AC S 12.2 AC B 12.5 HS S 13.1 AC S 12.4 AC M 12.3 HS	25.6 L 5 16 35 L 5 20 25.0 L 6 12 25.4 L 5 10 25.6 L 5 16 25.0 L 6 12 25.4 L 5 10 35 L 5 20	7 0.25 1 0.1 4 < 0.1 9 0.3 1 0.1 4 < 0.1	7/ 8 7/ 8 7/ 7/ 8	0.8m 260 0.01 245 265 1.0m 260 260 0.01 260	BIV HORO2 RES MEY BIV RES MEY HORO2
1998 09 22.13 1998 09 22.42 1998 09 23.09 1998 09 23.96 1998 09 24.98 1998 09 24.98	S 13.1 AC B 12.4 HS M 12.5 HS I 12.9 HS I 13.0 HS M 12.6 HS	25.0 L 6 12 25.6 L 5 16 35 L 5 20 20 L 5 7 20 L 5 7 25.4 L 6 10	1 0.2 9 0.2 7 0.2 0 0.2 0 0.2	7/ 8 8 8 58 58 57	0.9m 260 0.01 260	RES BIV HORO2 BARO6 BARO6 SARO2

DATE (UT)	Comet C/1998 K5 (LINEAR)	[cont.]			
1998 10 29.67	DATE (UT) 1998 09 25.06 1998 09 26.49 1998 09 26.98 1998 09 28.63 1998 09 30.02 1998 09 30.51 1998 10 02.73 1998 10 02.76 1998 10 11.92 1998 10 12.91 1998 10 14.98 1998 10 14.98 1998 10 18.05 1998 10 18.05 1998 10 18.05 1998 10 18.06 1998 10 18.61 1998 10 18.61 1998 10 18.61 1998 10 18.61 1998 10 19.81 1998 10 19.81 1998 10 20.43 1998 10 20.43 1998 10 21.01 1998 10 21.01 1998 10 22.00 1998 10 22.00 1998 10 22.00 1998 10 22.00 1998 10 22.00 1998 10 22.00 1998 10 22.00 1998 10 22.00 1998 10 22.00 1998 10 24.44 1998 10 24.68 1998 10 24.68 1998 10 24.68 1998 10 25.68 1998 10 25.68 1998 10 25.79 1998 10 26.44 1998 10 25.68 1998 10 26.44 1998 10 25.68 113.8	RF AP. T F/ PWR AC 25.0 L 6 61 HS 25.6 L 5 169 HS 25.6 L 5 169 HS 25.6 L 5 169 HS 20 L 5 70 HS 25.6 L 5 169 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 LA 50.0 C 12 a180 HS 25.6 L 5 169 HS 30 R 20 185 GA 25.4 J 6 150 HS 35 L 5 207 GA 25.4 J 6 100 HS 20 L 5 70 HS 20 L 5 6 L 5 169 LA 50.0 C 12 a180 GA 25.4 J 6 115 VN 41 L 4 200 HS 25.6 L 5 169 VN 41 L 4 200 HS 25.6 L 5 169 VN 41 L 4 200 HS 35 L 5 207 HS 30 R 20 240 HS 35 L 5 207 HS 30 R 20 240 HS 35 L 5 207 HS 25.6 L 5 169 HS 25.6 L 5 169 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 AC 25.0 L 6 121 LA 50.0 C 12 a180 HS 44.0 L 5 226 HS 25.6 L 5 169 AC 25.0 L 6 121	<pre> < 0.1</pre>	1.0m 265 0.9m 270 1.0m 270 1.7m 266 2.2m 266 0.7m 270 0.01 265 0.9m 270 1.8m 264 0.6m 270 0.6m 260 0.5m 260 1.7m 263 1.8m 263	RES BIV SARO2 BIV BARO6 BIV SHAO2 BOU HORO2 BOU BARO6 BARO6 SHAO2 BIV FUKO2 BOU PEA HORO2 SHAO2 HORO2 HORO2 HORO2 HORO2 HORO2 BIV RARO2 BIV RARO2 BIV PEA HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO2 BIV RARO3 HORO3 BIV RARO3 HORO3 BIV RARO3 HORO3
Comet C/1998 M1 (LINEAR) DATE (UT)	1998 10 29.67 C 14.2	GA 60.0 Y 6 a120	0.35 8/	1.6m 264	NAK01
1998 07 25.17					DHAVE
DATE (UT)	1998 07 25.17 c 19.2 1998 07 25.18 C 15.5 1998 07 29.87 S[13.5 1998 09 16.44 a C 16.1	FA 91.4 L 5 FA 91.4 L 5 HS 44.5 L 4 230	0.38 ! 1	3.2m 249	SCO01 SCO01 SARO2
1998 06 21.95 B 14.8 HS 42 L 5 162 0.7 3/ LEH 1998 06 25.94 B 14.7 HS 42 L 5 162 0.6 4 LEH 1998 07 19.91 B 14.0 HS 42 L 5 162 1.4 4 LEH 1998 07 20.92 B 13.9 HS 42 L 5 162 1.4 4 LEH 1998 07 24.90 B 13.9 HS 42 L 5 162 1.1 4 LEH 1998 07 25.20 C 14.7 FA 91.4 L 5 0.68 7.5m 194 SC001 1998 07 25.20 c 17.8 FA 91.4 L 5 SC001 1998 07 25.20 c 17.8 FA 91.4 L 5 SC001 1998 07 26.90 B 14.0 HS 42 L 5 162 1.2 4 LEH 1998 07 29.96 S 14.3: HS 44.5 L 4 230 1 0 SAN07 1998 07 29.96 S 14.5 HS 44.5 L 4 230 0.8 4 SAR02 1998 07 30.59 C 14.4: GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 0.85 145 NAK01 1998 07 30.92 S 14.0: HS 44.5 L 4 230 1 1 SAR02 1998 07 30.92 S 14.0: HS 44.5 L 4 230 1 1 SAR07 1998 07 30.92 S 14.0: HS 44.5 L 4 230 1 1 SAR07 1998 07 30.92 S 14.0: HS 44.5 L 4 230 1 1 SAR07 1998 07 31.91 B 14.0 HS 42 L 5 162 0.9 4		PE 12 E - /			
1998 US 10.87 B 14.2 HS 42 L 5 140 0.9 4 TRE	1998 06 21.95 B 14.8 1998 06 25.94 B 14.7 1998 07 19.91 B 14.0 1998 07 20.92 B 13.9 1998 07 24.90 B 13.9 1998 07 25.20 C 14.7 1998 07 25.20 C 17.8 1998 07 26.90 B 14.0 1998 07 29.96 S 14.3: 1998 07 30.59 C 14.4: 1998 07 30.92 S 14.0: 1998 07 31.91 B 14.0	HS 42 L 5 162 FA 91.4 L 5 FA 91.4 L 5 HS 42 L 5 162 HS 44.5 L 4 230 HS 44.5 L 5 162	0.7 3/ 0.6 4 1.4 4 1.1 4 0.68 1.2 4 1 0 0.8 4 0.85 1.2 2 1 1 0.9 4	7.5m 194	LEH LEH LEH LEH SCOO1 SCOO1 LEH SANO7 SARO2 NAKO1 SARO2 SARO2 SANO7 LEH

Comet C/1998 M2 (LINEAR) [cont.]

Comet C/1998 M2 (LINEAR)	FCC	nt.]						
DATE (UT) 1998 08 11.86 1998 08 14.85 1998 08 15.85 1998 08 15.85 1998 08 16.84 1998 08 17.91 1998 08 17.91 1998 08 17.99 1998 08 17.99 1998 08 17.99 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 19.86 1998 08 19.89 1998 08 19.99 1998 08 20.83 1998 08 20.83 1998 08 22.02 1998 08 22.02 1998 08 22.02 1998 08 22.93 1998 08 24.82 1998 08 24.91 1998 08 24.94 1998 08 25.84 1998 08 25.84 1998 08 25.84 1998 08 27.83 1998 08 29.87 1998 0	HSSSHER HSCPPSCSHER HSSCPSCSSA AND HSSSHER HSSCSSA HSCSSA HSSSHER HSSS	32 L 5 35 L 13 44.0 L 5 42 25.0 L 5 32 L 5 35 L 5 42 25.0 L 5 35 L 6 42 35 L 6 42 35 L 6 42 35 L 6 42 35 L 6 42 5.0 L 6 42 5.0 L 6 42 5.0 L 5 42 5.0 L 6 42 5.0 L 6 43 5 L 6 44 5 5 6 45 6 6 6 46 6 6 6 6 47 5 6 6 48 5 6 6 4	121 159 a120 207 100 a120	CDMA 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	DC 44444211242142112431223431// 342322 2222232/2232 2 4	TAIL	130 130	DBS. LEH
DATE (UT) N MM MAG 1998 07 25.19 C 17.5		AP. T F/ 91.4 L 5	PWR	COMA 0.28	DC	TAIL 1.5m	PA 166	OBS. SCOO1
1998 07 25.19 c 20.3 1998 08 26.16 c 20.3	FA	91.4 L 5		V.20		2.02		SC001 SC001
1998 08 26.17 C 18.	. FA	91.4 L 5		0.15		110.4s	154	
Comet C/1998 M4 (LINEAR				40. **	2.5	m. 4	ъ.	on c
DATE (UT) N MM MAG 1998 07 29.99 S 14.	B HS	44.5 L 4	82	COMA 1	DC 3	TAIL	PA	OBS. SARO2
1998 07 29.99 S 15.): HS	44.5 L 4	230	0.5	0			SAN07

Comet C/1998 M5 (LINEAR)

•	•				
DATE (UT) 1998 07 17.96 1998 07 19.92 1998 07 19.96 1998 07 20.95 1998 07 20.96 1998 07 22.00 1998 07 22.00 1998 07 23.90 1998 07 24.92 1998 07 24.96 1998 07 24.97 1998 07 25.96 1998 07 25.96 1998 07 27.93 1998 07 29.01 1998 07 29.01 1998 07 29.01 1998 07 30.60 1998 07 30.60 1998 07 30.60 1998 07 30.89 1998 07 31.00 1998 07 31.93 1998 07 31.93 1998 08 01.20 1998 08 01.20 1998 08 02.04 1998 08 02.07 1998 08 02.07 1998 08 02.07 1998 08 02.07 1998 08 02.07 1998 08 02.07 1998 08 02.76 1998 08 02.95 1998 08 03.94 1998 08 03.94 1998 08 04.61 1998 08 07.97 1998 08 07.97 1998 08 07.97 1998 08 07.97 1998 08 07.97 1998 08 10.89 1998 08 10.87 1998 08 10.89 1998 08 10.89 1998 08 10.89 1998 08 10.89 1998 08 10.89 1998 08 11.88 1998 08 12.00 1998 08 12.00 1998 08 12.00 1998 08 12.00 1998 08 12.00 1998 08 12.00 1998 08 12.00	N MM MAG. RF S 12.1 HS M 12.0 HS M 12.3 HS S 12.2 AC M 12.1 HS S 11.9 AC S 12.3 HS M 12.0 HS S 12.1 AC S 12.2 M 12.0 NP S 12.1 HS S 12.3 S 12.2 HS S 12.3 S 12.2 HS S 12.3 S 12.4 HS S 12.3 S 12.4 HS S 12.3 S 12.4 S 12.4 S 12.5 S 12.6 NP S 12.3 S 12.6 NP S 11.4 S 12.6 NP S 11.5 S 12.6 NP S 11.4 S 12.6 S 12.6 S 11.4 S 12.6 S 12.7 C 12.8 S 11.2 S 12.7 C 12.8 S 11.2 S 12.3 C 12.8 S 11.2 S 12.5 C 12.8 S 11.2 S 12.5 C 12.6 M 11.1 TILL S 13.0 C 12.5 S 13.5 G 12.5 S 13.5 S	AP. T F/ PWR 27 L 6 83 42 L 5 81 42 L 5 81 25.4 L 5 65 42 L 5 65 30.5 T 10 78 27 L 6 214 42 L 5 81 30.5 T 10 78 44.5 L 4 82 30 L 5 65 42 L 5 81 20 L 5 70 31.7 L 6 83 44.5 L 4 82 44.5 L 5 61 30 L 5 70 44.5 L 4 82 42 L 5 81 25.0 L 6 61 40.6 L 5 114 30 L 5 100 25.0 L 6 61 40.6 T 6 60	COMA DC 3/1.5 4/1.3 5 4/1.3 8 4/1.3 8 4/1.5 8 0.5 2.2 2 3 3 5 3 4/1.5 2.5 1.0 2 3 4/1.5 2.5 1.0 2 3 3/1.5 2.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 2.5 1.1 5 2.5 1.1 5 2.5 1.1	2.4m 194 2.0m 194 1.2m 180 1.2m 190 1.2m 180	OBS. TOTO3 LEH LEH MEY LEH MEY LEH MEY COM TOTO3 LEH COM SARO2 NEV MEY LEH BARO6 MIY01 TOTO3 SARO2 NEV BARO6 SARO2 NEV BARO6 SARO2 LEH RES BOR NES SARO2 LEH RES BOR NES SARO2 LEH RES LEH RES LEH RES CHEO3 CHEO3 CHEO3 CHEO3 CHEO3 CHEO3
1998 08 11.88 1998 08 11.90 1998 08 12.00 1998 08 12.01 1998 08 12.02 1998 08 13.05 1998 08 13.07 1998 08 13.16 1998 08 13.35 1998 08 13.87 1998 08 13.90 1998 08 14.89 1998 08 14.95	M 11.1 TI S 13.0 HS C 12.3 HS C 12.5 HS C 12.4 HS C 13.5 HS C 12.6 HS M 11.3 NP S 11.8 HS S 10.9 AC M 11.9 AC M 11.2 TI S 11.3 HS	42 L 5 66 30 R 20 185 40.6 T 6 60 40.6 T 6 60 40.6 T 6 30 40.6 T 6 30 20 L 4 74 25.6 L 5 84 25.0 L 6 61 25.4 J 6 100 42 L 5 66 20.3 T 10 77	2.3 4 0.6 4 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.2 0.5 1.9 4 1.3 5 1.9 1 1.2 6 2.3 4 1.2 3/	1.2m 190	LEH SHA02 CHE03 JAN05 CHE03 CHE03 CHE03 CRE01 BIV RES BOU LEH KAM01
1998 08 14.98 1998 08 15.37 1998 08 15.61 1998 08 15.67 1998 08 15.84 1998 08 15.89	S 10.9 AC B 11.7 HS S 11.8 HS C 12.7 GA S 11.2 HS M 10.9 TI	25.0 L 6 61 25.6 L 5 84 20 L 7 158 60.0 Y 6 a120 20 L 5 70 10 B 4 25	1.9 3 1.2 5 2 2 1.2 2.5 4 2.5 3/	2.4m 179	RES BIV MATO8 NAKO1 BARO6 LEH

Comet C/1998 M5 (LINEAR) [cont.]

.,		_			
DATE (UT) 1998 08 15.89 1998 08 16.37 1998 08 16.85 1998 08 16.85 1998 08 16.95 1998 08 17.84 1998 08 17.87 1998 08 17.88 1998 08 17.88 1998 08 17.93 1998 08 17.95 1998 08 17.97 1998 08 17.97 1998 08 18.90 1998 08 18.98 1998 08 18.98 1998 08 18.98 1998 08 18.98 1998 08 19.27 1998 08 19.27 1998 08 19.36 1998 08 19.36 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 19.85 1998 08 20.00 1998 08 20.00 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.02 1998 08 20.036 1998 08 20.83 1998 08 20.83 1998 08 20.88 1998 08 20.88 1998 08 22.97 1998 08 22.97 1998 08 22.97 1998 08 23.85 1998 08 23.85 1998 08 23.85 1998 08 23.87 1998 08 23.88 1998 08 23.88 1998 08 24.34 1998 08 24.34 1998 08 24.34 1998 08 24.34	N MM MAG. RF M 11.7 AC S 11.4 HS S 11.2: HS M 10.9 TI M 11.7 GA S 11.5 CD S 11.4 HS S 11.5 SE S 11.6 SE S 11.7 NP S 11.3 HV M 10.8 TI S 11.6 SE S 11.7 NP S 11.7 NP S 11.6 SE S 11.7 NP S	AP. T F/ PWR 25.4 J 6 58 25.6 L 5 70 42 L 5 66 25.4 J 6 72 30 L 5 70 42 L 5 66 25.4 L 5 65 25.0 L 6 65 25.4 L 5 65 25.0 L 6 61 13 L 5 65 25.4 L 5 65 32 L 5 75 32 L 5 75 32 L 5 75 32 L 5 65 32 L 5 75 32 L 5 65 32 L 5 66 25.4 L 5 65 25.0 L 6 61 25.4 L 5 65 25.0 L 6 61 25.4 L 5 66 25.6 L 5 42 25.0 L 6 61 32 L 5 75 25.0 L 6 61 32 L 5 75 33 L 5 75 34 L 5 75 35 L 5 75 36 L 5 75 36 L 5 75 37 L 6 61	COMA DC 1.8 1.2 2.4 6 3 1.8 5 2 4 6 3 3 4 4 4 2 2 4 6 3 3 4 4 4 2 2 2 8 4 3 3 4 4 2 2 2 1 4 2 2 2 1 .8 6 1.7 7 2.4 2.9 2.5 3 4 3 4 2 2 1 4 2 2 2 3 5 3 4 4 2 3 4 4 4 2 3 4 4 4 2 3 4 4 4 2 3 4 4 4 4	2.9m 174 1.6m 180 3.0m 167	OBS. BIVO6 BIVO6 BERNO6
1998 08 22.95 1998 08 23.65 1998 08 23.85 1998 08 23.87 1998 08 23.88 1998 08 23.88 1998 08 24.34 1998 08 24.84	M 12.2 NP S 11.9 HS M 10.8 TT S 10.7 AC M 11.0 CD S 11.4 HS S 11.4 HS M 11.2 TI	32 L 5 75 20 L 7 158 13 L 8 69 25.0 L 6 61 30 L 5 100 20 L 5 70 25.6 L 5 84 42 L 5 66	0.5 4 2 2 2.5 3 2.0 4 2 4/ 1.7 s3 1.4 6 2.6 5		MATO8 HORO2 RES NEV BARO6 BIV LEH

Comet C/1998 M5 (LINEAR) [cont.]

DATE (UT) 1998 08 29.83 1998 08 29.87 1998 08 29.97 1998 08 29.97 1998 08 30.55 1998 08 30.90 1998 08 30.90 1998 08 30.96 1998 08 31.96 1998 09 01.00 1998 09 01.01 1998 09 01.02 1998 09 01.03 1998 09 01.96 1998 09 01.96 1998 09 03.02 1998 09 03.02 1998 09 03.02 1998 09 03.64 1998 09 08.64 1998 09 08.64 1998 09 08.85 1998 09 09.80 1998 09 09.80 1998 09 10.83	N MM MAG. RF M 11.4 TI M 11.0 TI S 10.8 AC S 11.2 HS S 11.6 HS M 10.9 TI M 11.0 TT X M 11.0 TT S 10.7 AC X M 11.1 TT S 11.2 HS X S 11.2 HV S 11.1 TT S 10.8 AC S 11.2 TJ S 10.8 AC S 11.1 HS S 10.6 AC C 12.5 GA S 11.1 HS S 10.6 AC C 12.1 GA M 10.9 TI S 10.9 HS S 11.2 AA S 10.8 HS	35 L 5 92 42 L 5 81 25.0 L 6 61 20.3 T 10 77 25.6 L 5 84 42 L 5 81 35 L 5 92 25.4 J 6 72 25.0 L 6 61 25.4 J 6 72 20 L 5 70 25.4 L 5 65 13 L 8 69 25.0 L 6 61 25.6 L 5 84 25.0 L 6 61 25.6 L 5 84 25.0 L 6 61 25.0 L 70 25.0 L 70 25.0 L 70 25.0 L 70 25.0 L 5 70	COMA DO 1.6 34 2.0 4 1.3 5 1.0 4 1.3 5 1.7 4 2.4 4 2.2 4 4 2.1 4/1.7 3 1.8 3/1.7 2.0 3/1.5 6 1.9 3/2.0 3 0.7 1.8 4 1.8 3 1.7 2.2 3/1.8 3/1.5 3/1	3.7m 143	OBS. HORO2 LEH RES KAMO1 BIV LEH HORO2 BOU RES BOU BARO6 MEY HORO2 RES SUZO2 BARO6 RES NAKO1 HORO2 BARO6 DIEO2 BARO6
1998 09 10.84 1998 09 11.32	x M 10.8 TT S 11.4 HS	25.4 J 6 72	2.1 6	A	BOU
1998 09 11.32	S 11.4 HS S 11 : AC	25.6 L 5 42 30.5 T 10 78	1.4 5 & 2.5 4	2.5m 150	BIV
1998 09 11.85	x M 10.9 TT	25.4 J 6 72	2.1 6		COM BOU
1998 09 11.86	S 10.9 HS	20 L 5 70	1.6 3		BAR06
1998 09 12.12 1998 09 12.63	J 10.7 SC C 12.6 GA	25.4 T 5 a 60 20.3 T 9 a 60	2.77 s5	3.5m 152	ROQ
1998 09 12.87	S 11.0 LC	25.3 L 6 58	0.7 & 1.6 3		SUZO2 VITO1
1998 09 12.87	S 11.2 LC	25.3 L 6 58	& 1.7 4		PERO1
1998 09 13.34 1998 09 13.78	S 11.1 TJ M 12.0 CD	25.6 L 5 42 30 L 5 100	2.0 5	2.5m 140	BIV
1998 09 13.78	M 12.0 CD	30 L 5 100	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1.2 & 4 \\ 1.2 & 4 \end{array}$		NEV NEV
1998 09 13.85	S 11.1 AC	30.5 T 10 78	& 2.5 3		COM
1998 09 13.86 1998 09 13.87	x M 10.9 TT S 11.1 HS	25.4 J 6 72 20.3 T 10 64	2.2 6		BOU
1998 09 13.87	S 11.1 HS	20.3 T 10 64 25 L 4 64	1.7 2 1 2/		KARO2 Shu
1998 09 15.31	S 11.3 HS	25.6 L 5 42	1.4 6		BIV
1998 09 15.94 1998 09 16.02	M 11.1 NP S 11.4 LC	32 L 5 75	2 3		MARO2
1998 09 16.02	S 11.4 LC	25.3 L 6 58 25.3 L 6 58	& 1.7 3/ & 1.6 1/		PERO1 VITO1
1998 09 16.46	S 11.3 HS	20 L 7 45	1.5 2		ROTAM
1998 09 16.53 1998 09 16.88	C 11.9 GA S 11.6 LC	60.0 Y 6 a120 25.3 L 6 58	1.8	4.0m 134	NAKO1
1998 09 16.89	S 11.0 LC	25.3 L 6 58 25.3 L 6 58	& 1.5 2/ & 1.8 4		PERO1 VITO1
1998 09 16.90	S 11.2 HS	20 L 5 70	2.0 s4		BARO6
1998 09 18.35 1998 09 18.92	S 11.2 TJ B 11.8 HS	25.6 L 5 42 40.6 T 10 65	1.5 4/		BIV
1998 09 18.96	S 11.0 HS	40.6 T 10 65 44.5 L 4 82	1.0 2 3 5/	0.13 130	CHEO3 SARO2
1998 09 19.03	S 10.9 HS	20 L 5 70	2 s4	7.10	BAR06
1998 09 19.04 1998 09 19.61	S 11.5 AC H 11.9 LA	40.6 L 5 70 50.0 C 12 a180	1.0 6	2 0- 405	BOR
1998 09 19.77	M 10.5 TT	35 L 5 92	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1.00 & 5 \\ 2.3 & 4 \end{array}$	3.9m 135	FUK02 HOR02
1998 09 19.79	S 10.9 HS	20 L 5 70	$2.5 ext{ s4}$		BAR06
1998 09 19.81 1998 09 19.82	S 10.9 HS S 11.3 HS	25.0 L 6 61 25.0 C 5 39	2.0 3		RES
1998 09 19.94	S 11.1 TJ	20.3 T 10 77	1.5 1 1.2 4/		CHEO3 KAMO1
1998 09 20.01	S 11.0 HS	20 L 5 70	2.0 3		BAR06
1998 09 20.03 1998 09 20.31	S 11.5 HS S 11.3 TJ	31 T 10 125 25.6 L 5 42	0.96 4 1.5 4/		DEA
1998 09 20.49	S 10.5: HS	25.4 T 6 62	1.2 5		BIV YOSO4

Comet C/1998 M5 (LINEAR) [cont.]

						•
DATE (UT)	N MM MAG. R	F AP. TF/	PWR COMA	DC T	AIL PA	OBS.
1998 09 20.51	S 12.0 H		152 0.5	3		MIYO1
1998 09 20.78	M 10.7 T		92 2 .	· 4	•	HORO2
1998 09 20.81	S 10.9 H		61 2.0	3		RES
1998 09 20.83	S 11.0 A		141 1.5	2		DIE02
1998 09 21.11	J 10.7 S		60 1.82	s3/	0.6m 142	ROQ
1998 09 21.78	M 11.5 C		100 1.5	4	•	NEV
1998 09 21.80	S 10.8 T		92 2	4		HORO2
1998 09 21.83	S 10.8 H		61 2.1	4	•	RES
1998 09 21.85	B 11.5: H	•	55	1 2 2		CHE03
1998 09 21.85	S 11.3 N		64 1	2		SHU
1998 09 21.90	В 11.5 Н		65 1.1	2		CHE03
1998 09 21.96	x S 11.4 H		65 2.0	D4		MEY
1998 09 22.45	S 11.2 H		42 1.5	4/		BIV
1998 09 22.78	M 10.8 T		92 2.0	4		HORO2
1998 09 22.81 1998 09 22.85	x S 11.4 H		65 2.0	D4	•	MEY
1998 09 23.84	x M 10.9 T S 11.4: A		72 1.8	5		BOU
1998 09 23.87	S 11.4: AC x M 11.0 T		117 & 2 72 1.8	4	-	COM
1998 09 23.92	S 10.8 T.			5		BOU
1998 09 24.00	S 11.4 HS		77 1.7 70 1.7	4 3/		KAMO1
1998 09 24.80	M 10.6 T	35 L 5	92 2.2	3/ 3/		BARO6 HORO2
1998 09 24.80	M 10.9 T		25 2.0	4		ZNO
1998 09 24.81	S 10.7 A		61 2.0	4		RES
1998 09 24.82	S 11.6 A		117 & 2.0	3		COM
1998 09 24.88	S 11.7: HS		70 1.3	4		BAR06
1998 09 24.90	S 11.0 H		104 1.7	3	•	SAR02
1998 09 25.93	S 11.3 LO		58 & 1.8	2/		PERO1
1998 09 25.93	S 11.6 LO		58 < 1.7	4/		VIT01
1998 09 26.38	S 11.3 HS		42 1.5	4/		BIV
1998 09 26.79	M 10.7: T		92 2.0	3/		HORO2
1998 09 26.81	M 11.0 HS		104 1.7		0.1 130	SAR02
1998 09 26.82	S 10.6 AC		61 2.0	3/		RES
1998 09 27.10	J 10.2 SC	25.4 T 5 a	60 3.73	s3/	2.1m 133	ROQ
1998 09 30.05	S 11.5 LO		58 & 1.6	3/		PERO1
1998 09 30.05	S 11.8 LO		58 & 1.4	.3		VIT01
1998 09 30.47	S 11.2 T		84 1.3	4		BIV .
1998 10 02.57	C 12.5 GA		60 0.9			SUZ02
1998 10 08.28	S 11.4: HS		42 1.3	4		BIV
1998 10 08.83	S 11.0 LC		58 & 2.5	3/		PER01
1998 10 09.31	S 11.3 HS		42 1.4	4		BIV
1998 10 09.44	C 12.0: GA		120 1.6		3.2m 115	NAKO1
1998 10 09.46 1998 10 10.13	S 11.3 HS		63 1	3		MIY01
	J 10.4 SC		60 3.03	s4	3.7m 113	ROQ
1998 10 10.85 1998 10 11.10	S 12.0: VE S 11.2 NF		100 0.7 38 2.6	4		SHA02
1998 10 11.50	C 12.1 GA		60 1.0	2		CRE01 SUZ02
1998 10 11.56	S 11.4 HS		152 1	4		MIY01
1998 10 11.86	S 12.5 VE		105 0.6	4		SHA02
1998 10 12.89	x M 10.8 TT		72 1.8	4/		BOU
1998 10 13.82	S 10.6 AC		61 2.4	$\frac{1}{4}$		RES
1998 10 13.86	M 10.4 TI		92 2.8	4	•	HORO2
1998 10 13.95	S 11.3 TJ		58 & 2.3	3		PERO1
1998 10 14.42	S 11 : GA		L14	_		SEA
1998 10 14.76	M 10.7 CD		60 4	4		NEV
1998 10 14.83	x M 10.8 TI		72 2.0	4/		BOU
1998 10 14.84	S 11.1 AC	30.5 T 10 1	L17 & 2	4		COM
1998 10 14.88	S 10.7 HS	20 L 5	70 2.5	3		BAR06
1998 10 15.00	S 11.3 AC	40.6 L 5	70 1.3	5		BOR
1998 10 15.85	S 10.8 GA		50 0.7	5		GAS01
1998 10 16.40	S 10.8 GA		71			SEA
1998 10 16.79	M 10.8 CD		60 3	4		NEV
1998 10 16.82	S 10.7 AC		61 2.0	3/		RES
1998 10 16.82	S 10.7 HS		70 3.0	3		BAR06
1998 10 16.84	S 11.0 HS		2.0	3		KAR02
1998 10 16.89	M 10.5 SE	25 L 4	64 5	5		SHU

Comet C/1998 M5 (LINEAR)	[cont.]			
DATE (UT) 1998 10 16.89 1998 10 17.41 1998 10 17.77 1998 10 17.77 1998 10 17.78 1998 10 17.78 1998 10 17.79 1998 10 17.81 1998 10 18.30 S 11.2	GA 25.4 L 4 7: TI 10 B 2! TT 10 B 2! HS 20 L 5 70: AC 30.5 T 10 11: TT 25.4 J 6 7:	3 4 1 5 2.5 3 5 2.2 3 0 2.8 3 7 & 2 3 2 2.2 4/	TAIL PA	OBS. NEK SEA ZNO HORO2 BARO6 COM BOU
1998 10 18.52 V 12.0 1998 10 18.80 S 10.3 1998 10 18.80 S 10.8 1998 10 18.81 S 11.2 1998 10 18.82 S 11.2 1998 10 18.94 S 10.9 1998 10 18.94 S 11.0 1998 10 18.95 X M 10.8 1998 10 19.71 M 10.9 1998 10 19.80 X M 10.8 1998 10 19.83 S 10.9 1998 10 19.83 S 11.1 1998 10 19.88 S 10.9 1998 10 20.31 S 10.9 1998 10 20.31 S 10.9 1998 10 20.35 S 10.7 1998 10 20.80 S 11.1	LA 50.0 C 12 a180 TT 20.3 T 67 AC 25.0 L 6 61 : AC 30.5 T 10 117 VB 33 L 5 75 AC 30.5 L 5 58 TJ 25.3 L 6 58 TJ 25.3 L 6 58 TT 25.4 J 6 72 CD 30 L 5 60 TT 25.4 J 6 72 GA 19.5 L 5 50 : AC 30.5 T 10 117 TJ 25.3 L 6 58 TJ 25.4 L 6 58 TJ 25.4 L 6 58 TJ 25.5 L 5 50 TJ 25.5 L 5 42 AC 30.5 L 5 42 AC 30.5 L 5 72	1.27 4 5 3 2.3 4 2.5 3/ 2.1 4 3 & 2.0 4/ 3 & 1.8 3 2.0 5 1.8 \$5 2.0 5 1.8 \$5 2.0 5 0.6 4 8 2 3/ 8 2.0 5 1.8 \$5 2.0 5 2.1 8 \$5 2.0 5 3 & 2.0 5 3 & 2.0 5 4 & 2.0 5 3 & 2.0 5 4 & 2.0 5 3 & 2.0 5 4 & 2.0 5 5 &	3.2m 117	BIV FUKO2 LUE RES COM SHAO2 GILO1 VITO1 PERO1 BOU GASO1 COM VITO1 PERO1 BIV MOE GILO1
1998 10 20.83 S 10.5 1998 10 21.05 S 11.0 1998 10 21.45 C 11.6 1998 10 21.49 X S 11.2 1998 10 21.74 M 10.9 1998 10 21.81 M 11.4 1998 10 21.83 S 10.5 1998 10 21.95 S 11.1 1998 10 22.76 S 11.8 1998 10 22.76 S 11.8 1998 10 23.37 B 11.1: 1998 10 23.37 B 11.1: 1998 10 23.37 S 10.7 1998 10 23.99 S 10.7 1998 10 24.08 M 10.5 1998 10 24.08 M 10.5 1998 10 24.24 S 10.8 1998 10 25.45 S 10.3 1998 10 25.45 S 10.3 1998 10 25.77 S 10.9 1998 10 25.85 S 11.4 1998 10 26.83 S 10.7 1998 11 02.06 J 11.1	TJ 20.3 T 10 77 AC 40.6 L 5 70 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 TT 25.4 L 4 113 TT 35 L 5 92 SE 25 L 4 64 NP 10 R 5 27 VB 20 R 14 110 HS 44.0 L 5 156 TT 35 L 5 92 TJ 25.6 L 5 42 TI 8 R 11 67 AC 40.6 L 5 70 NP 20 L 4 73 TJ 25.6 L 5 42 TJ 31.7 L 6 63 CD 30 L 5 60 HS 44.0 L 5 156 VB 30 R 20 105 TJ 25.6 L 5 42 AC 25.0 L 6 61 SC 25.4 T 5 a 60	2.0 5 2.2 1.2 4 2.3 3 1.5 2/ 3 2 1.3 3 0.3 3 1.5 5 1.5 2 2.7 3 3.7 3 2.0 5 1 2 3.4 3/ 1.5 3 0.6 4 1.4 4 1.5 4 2.5 4	4.5m 108 2.1m 99	KAM01 BOR NAK01 YOS02 HOR02 SHU MAR02 SHA02 HOR02 BIV OKS BOR CRE01 BIV SHU YOS04 NEV HAS02 SHA02 BIV RES ROQ
Comet C/1998 M6 (Montani) DATE (UT) N MM MAG.	RF AP. TF/ PWR	COMA DC	TAIL PA	ODC
1998 07 15.35 c 22.0 1998 07 15.37 C 19.0 1998 08 02.67 C 18.7 1998 08 15.62 C 18.6 1998 08 25.61 C 18.6 1998 09 16.50 C 19.0: 1998 10 21.43 C 19.3:	FA 91.4 L 5 FA 91.4 L 5 GA 60.0 Y 6 a240 GA 60.0 Y 6 a240 GA 60.0 Y 6 a240 GA 60.0 Y 6 a240 GA 60.0 Y 6 a240	0.23 0.25	0.3m 183	OBS. SCOO1 SCOO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet C/1998 P1 (Williams)				
DATE (UT) N MM MAG. 1998 08 10.52 S 9.5	RF AP. T F/ PWR AA 10.0 B 25	COMA DC 3 4	TAIL PA	OBS. SEA

Comet C/1998 P1 (Williams) [cont.]

DATE (UT) 1998 08 12.42 1998 08 12.43 1998 08 12.69 1998 08 12.98 1998 08 13.42 1998 08 13.47 1998 08 13.66 1998 08 13.72 1998 08 14.34 1998 08 14.51 1998 08 14.51 1998 08 14.67 1998 08 14.70 1998 08 14.70 1998 08 14.72 1998 08 15.32 1998 08 15.41 1998 08 15.81 1998 08 15.81 1998 08 16.30 1998 08 16.30 1998 08 16.72 1998 08 16.72 1998 08 16.72 1998 08 16.72 1998 08 17.36 1998 08 17.47 1998 08 17.36 1998 08 17.71 1998 08 18.32 1998 08 18.36 1998 08 18.36	N MM MAG. RF M 8.4 TI M 8.7 TT S 8.8 S S 8.3 S M 8.6 TI M 8.6 TT S 8.9 AA S 8.6 S S 8.4 S M 8.6 TI S 8.8 S S 8.7 S S 8.5 S M 8.6 TI S 8.8 S S 8.7 S S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.8 S S 8.7 S S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.9 AA S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.9 O M 8.6 TI S 8.6 TI S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.6 TI S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.6 TI S 8.6 TI S 8.6 TI S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.6 TI S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.6 TI S 8.6 TI S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI S 8.6 TI S 8.7 S M 8.6 TI	AP. T F/20 L 7 25.4 L 6 20.0 L 8 7.0 B 20 L 7 25.4 L 6 8.0 B 20.0 L 8 11 L 4 20 L 7 8.0 B 30.7 L 9 20.0 L 8 11 L 4 20 L 7 8.0 B 20.0 L 8 11 L 4 20 L 7 8.0 B 20.0 L 8 11 L 4 20 L 7 8.0 B 20.0 L 8 11 L 4 20 L 7 8.0 B 20.0 L 8 11 L 4 20 L 7	PWR COMA 45 4 39 4 83 10 7.5 45 4 39 4 20 9.5 110 7.0 16 4 4.5 20 5.5 64 16 4 45 20 8 83 64 10 12 16 83 16 4.5 4 5 4 5 61 2 45 4	55245633453334554255 42664545	TAIL PA	OBS. MATO8 DREO1 COUO2 DEA MATO8 DREO1 PEA COUO2 RAE MATO8 PEA GEY COUO2 DEA RAE MATO8 PEA TUR RAE MATO8
1998 08 13.72 1998 08 14.34	S 8.6 S S 8.4 S	20.0 L 8 11 L 4	83 3.0 16 4	3		COOO2 RAE
1998 08 14.67	S 8.8 AA	8.0 B	20 9.5	3		PEA
1998 08 14.70	S 8.8 S	30.7 L 9	110	3		GEY
1998 08 14.97	S 8.3 S	7.0 B	10 7.0	4		DEA
1998 08 15.41	M 8.6 TI	20 L 7	45 4.5	5		MATO8
1998 08 15.64	S 8.8 AA	8.0 B	20 5.5	4		PEA
1998 08 16.30	S 8.7 S	11 L 4	16 4	5		RAE
1998 08 16.41	M 8.7 TI	20 L 7	45 4	5		MATO8
1998 08 16.72	S 8.7 S	20.0 L 8	83	4 2		C0002
1998 08 17.47	M 8.3 S	11 L 4	16 5	6 6		RAE
1998 08 18.32	S 8.5 S	11 L 4	16 4.5	5		RAE
1998 08 18.36	S 9.0 VN	25.4 L 6	61 2	4		SEA01
1998 08 18.94	S 8.3 S	7.0 B	10 6.4	4		DEA
1998 08 19.38	S 7.5 AA	8.0 B	15 12	6		SEA01
1998 08 19.96	S 8.2 S	7.0 B	10 5.1	4		DEA
1998 08 20.25	S 8.9: TJ	25.6 L 5	42 3.0	5		BIV
1998 08 20.31	S 8.2: TT	11 L 4	16 4	5		RAE
1998 08 20.92	S 9.5 AA	25.0 L	46 4	1		KRYO2
1998 08 21.00	S 9.3 AA	21.0 L	80 5	2		FREO1
1998 08 21.31	M 8.0 S	11 L 4	16 4	5		RAE
1998 08 21.46	M 7.8 S	5.0 B	10 7	4		RAE
1998 08 21.71	S 8.2 S	20.0 L 8	83	5		COOO2
1998 08 22.32	M 7.8 S	5.0 B	10 8.5	4		RAE
1998 08 22.36	S 7.3 TT	5.0 B	10 10	6		SEA01
1998 08 22.48	S 7.8 VN	8.0 B	20	4		WIL02
1998 08 23.41	S 7.8 VN	8.0 B	20	4		WILO2
1998 08 23.43	M 7.8 AA	10.0 B	25 4	6		SEA
1998 08 23.71	S 7.7 S	20.0 L 8	83 2.0	5		COOO2
1998 08 24.35	S 7.3 TT	5.0 B	10 8	4		SEA01
1998 08 24.40	S 7.8 VN	8.0 B	20	4		WIL02
1998 08 24.54	S 8.2 AA	8.0 B	20 7	4		PEA
1998 08 24.95	S 8.7 AA	21.0 L	80 4	3		FRE01
1998 08 24.97	S 8.9 AA	25.0 L	46 3	2		KRY02
1998 08 25.36	S 7.4 TT	5.0 B	10 7	4		SEA01
1998 08 25.50	M 7.8 TI	20 L 7	45 5	5		MATO8
1998 08 25.72	S 7.8 S	20.0 L 8	83 2.3	5		COOO2
1998 08 25.95	S 8.8 AA	21.0 L	80 3	3		FREO1
1998 08 25.96	S 9.3 AA	20.0 L 6	170 4	3		TR002
1998 08 25.98	S 9.2 AA	25.0 L	46 3	2		KRY02
1998 08 26.38 1998 08 26.52 1998 08 26.96	S 7.6 VN M 8.0 TI S 8.2 AA	8.0 B	20 45 6 20 4	3 5 5		WILO2 MATO8 LOU
1998 08 27.35	S 7.4 TT	5.0 B	10 3.5	2		SEA01
1998 08 28.05	S 9.5: AC	5.0 R 12	50 & 2	0/		AMO01
1998 08 28.35	M 8.4 TT	11 L 4	16 5.5	5		RAE
1998 08 28.70	S 7.8 S	20.0 L 8	83	5		COOO2
1998 08 29.35	S 7.5 TT	8.0 B	15 3.8	2		SEAO1
1998 08 29.39	M 7.9 AA	10.0 B	25 4	4		SEA

Comet C/1998 P1 (Williams	s) [cont.]	
DATE (UT) 1998 08 29.39 1998 08 29.97 1998 08 30.32 1998 08 30.95 1998 08 30.99 1998 08 31.33 1998 08 31.71 1998 08 31.71 1998 08 31.98 1998 09 02.72 1998 09 04.33 1998 09 06.95 1998 09 06.95 1998 09 06.97 1998 09 06.97 1998 09 08.37 1998 09 08.37 1998 09 09.34 1998 09 09.34 1998 09 09.34 1998 09 09.34 1998 09 10.33 1998 09 10.33 1998 09 10.43 1998 09 10.43 1998 09 11.33 1998 09 10.43 1998 09 11.33 1998 09 12.31 1998 09 14.31 1998 09 15.40 1998 09 15.40 1998 09 15.41 1998 09 15.40 1998 09 15.41 1998 09 15.40 1998 09 15.41 1998 09 15.40 1998 09 15.41 1998 09 15.41 1998 09 16.42 1998 09 17.37 1998 09 17.37 1998 09 19.36 1998 09 19.36 1998 09 19.36 1998 09 19.36 1998 09 20.38 1998 09 22.38 1998 09 22.38 1998 09 22.38 1998 09 22.38 1998 09 23.37 1998 09 23.37 1998 09 23.37 1998 09 23.37 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38 1998 09 27.38	TT 11 L 4 16 5 S 20.0 L 8 83 1.8 AA 20.0 L 6 100 3 S 20.0 L 8 83 TT 11 L 4 16 5 AA 21.0 L 80 AA 25.0 L 46 5 AA 10.0 B 25 AA 10.0 B 25 TT 11 L 4 16 4 AA 10.0 B 25 AA 25.0 L 46 5 TT 11 L 4 16 4 TI 20 L 7 45 3.5 TT 11 L 4 16 4 TT 11 L 4 16 5 AA 25.0 L 46 5 AA 25.4 L 6 61 2.2 AA 25.4 L 6 61 2.2 TI 20 L 7 45 3.5 AA 25.4 L 6 61 2.2 AA 25.4 L 6 61 2.1 TI 20 L 7 45 3.5	DC TAIL PA OBS. 4 SEA 0 KRY02 5/ RAE FRE01 0/ AM001 5 RAE 4 C0002 2 TR002 4 C0002 5 RAE 0 FRE01 0 KRY02 SEA SEA 4 RAE SEA 0 KRY02 5 RAE 6 MAT08 6 MAT08 6 MAT08 5 RAE 5 RAE 5 RAE 5 RAE 6 MAT08 5 RAE 5 RAE 6 MAT08 6 MAT08 5 RAE 5 RAE 5 RAE 6 MAT08 6 MAT08 5 RAE 5 RAE 5 RAE 5 RAE 6 MAT08 6 MAT08 7 RAE 7 RAE 7 RAE 8 RAE 8 RAE 8 RAE 9 SEA01
Comet C/1998 Q1 (LINEAR) DATE (UT) N MM MAG. 1998 08 25.91 ! V 15.5 1998 08 26.90 S 14.6 1998 08 29.89 S 14.9 1998 08 29.95 S 14.0 1998 08 31.64 C 15.6: 1998 09 02.70 C 15.7 1998 09 16.56 C 16.0 1998 09 17.57 C 16.2	RF AP. T F/ PWR COMA YF 36.0 T 7 a120 + 0.6 HS 44.0 L 5 222 0.3 HS 35 L 5 207 0.8 AC 25.0 L 6 200 0.2 GA 60.0 Y 6 a240 0.6 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 0.6 GA 60.0 Y 6 a240 0.65 GA 60.0 Y 6 a240 0.65	DC TAIL PA OBS. 6 MIK 4 HASO2 3 HORO2 3 RES NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet C/1998 T1 (LINEAR)		
DATE (UT) 1998 10 13.85 1998 10 13.90 1998 10 14.63 1998 10 15.89 1998 10 21.58 1998 10 21.58 1998 10 24.57 1998 10 24.99 1998 10 26.91 1998 10 29.59 N MM MAG. S 14.3 14.3 1998 10 15.89 1 V 16.6 1 16.1	RF AP. T F/ PWR COMA HS 35 L 5 207 0.8 YF 36.0 T 7 a180 + 0.3 GA 60.0 Y 6 a240 0.45 YF 36.0 T 7 a180 + 0.3 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 0.4 HS 35 L 5 207 0.9 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 0.4 HS 25.0 L 6 121 0.7 HS 25.0 L 6 121 0.7 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 0.5	DC TAIL PA OBS. 2/ 8 HORO2 8 MIK NAK01 8 MIK NAK01 10002 0.5m 59 NAK01 2/ 2 RES 0.6m 57 NAK01

October 1998			207	INTERNATI	IONAL COMET
Comet C/1998 U1	1 (LINEAR)				
DATE (UT) 1998 10 24.66 1998 10 29.70			PWR COMA a240 0.25 a240 0.25	DC TAIL	PA OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet C/1998 U5	5 (LINEAR)				
DATE (UT) 1998 11 01.18		RF AP. T F/ VB 30 R 20	PWR COMA 105 1.3	DC TAIL 4	PA OBS. SHAO2
Comet 2P/Encke					
DATE (UT) 1994 09 08.51 1994 10 02.44 1995 10 17.44 1995 12 03.49	N MM MAG. R C[19.0: G C[19.0: G C[19.0: G C[19.0: G	GA 60.0 Y 6 GA 60.0 Y 6 GA 60.0 Y 6	PWR COMA a240 ! 0.2 a240 ! 0.2 a240 ! 0.2 a240 ! 0.2	DC TAIL	PA OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 4P/Faye					
1991 11 10.85 1991 11 24.76 1991 12 02.80 1991 12 08.91 1991 12 09.81 1991 12 11.83	M 10.8 H M 10.8 T M 11.9 T M 12.1 H M 12.0 T M 11.6 T	RF AP. T F/ HS 20 R 17 FI 20 R 17 FI 20 R 17 HS 20 R 17 FI 20 R 17 FI 20 R 17 FI 20 R 17	PWR COMA 87 1.7 87 1 87 1.5 87 1 87 1 87 1.5	DC TAIL 5 5 3 2 2 2 3	PA OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH
1998 07 27.24 1998 07 27.25 1998 07 30.63 1998 08 15.60 1998 08 25.59 1998 09 09.47 1998 09 16.47 1998 10 09.46	C 17.3 F C 17.0: G C 16.9 G C 16.5 G C 16.4 G C 16.1 G	GA 60.0 Y 6 GA 60.0 Y 6 GA 60.0 Y 6 GA 60.0 Y 6	0.23 a240 0.3 a240 0.3 a240 0.35 a120 0.35 a120 0.35 a120 0.45	0.9m	SC001 231 SC001 NAK01 NAK01 NAK01 NAK01 NAK01 NAK01 NAK01
1998 10 15.10 1998 10 15.11 1998 10 21.42	c 19.0 F C 15.6 F	FA 91.4 L 5 FA 91.4 L 5	0.25 a120 0.45	36.6s	SC001 81 SC001 NAK01

Comet 6P/d'Arrest

DATE (UT)	N MM MAG.	RF	AP.	T F/	PWR	COMA	DC	TAIL	PA	OBS.
1995 04 07.78	C[19.0:	GA	60.0	Y 6	a240	! 0.2				NAKO1

Comet 7P/Pons-Winnecke

DATE (UT)	N MM MAG. RF	AP. TF/ PWR	COMA	DC TAIL	PA	OBS.
1995 03 20.55	C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6 a240	! 0.2			NAKO1
1995 04 03.53	C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6 a240	! 0.2			NAKO1
1995 04 20.55	C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6 a240	! 0.2			NAKO1
1995 05 18.52	C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6 a240	! 0.2			NAKO1
1995 06 20.49	C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6 a240	! 0.2			NAKO1

Comet 8P/Tuttle

DATE	(UT)	N MM MAG.	RF	AP. TF	/ PWR	COMA	DC	TAIL	PΑ	OBS.
1993	11 03.43	C[18.5:	GA	60.0 Y	a120	! 0.2				NAKO1
1993	12 08.43	C[18.5:	GA	60.0 Y	a120	! 0.2				NAKO1
1994	01 05.44	C[18.5:	GA	60.0 Y	a120	! 0.2				NAKO1
1994	01 30.44	C[18.5:	GΑ	60.0 Y	a120	! 0.2				NAKO1
1994	02 02.43	C[18.5:	GA	60.0 Y	a120	! 0.2				NAK01

Comet 9P/Tempel 1

DATE (UT) 1983 05 12.89		AP. T F/ PWR 8.0 B 15	COMA DO	C TAIL PA	OBS. HAV
1983 05 12.89	S 10.0 AA	8.U B 15	2		HAV
1993 10 27.83	C[18.5: GA	60.0 Y 6 a120	! 0.2		NAKO1

Comet 9P/Tempe	el 1 [cont.]					
DATE (UT) 1993 11 14.82 1994 06 12.91 1995 08 07.76	N MM MAG. RF C[18.5: GA M 10.6 T] C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6	PWR COMA a120 ! 0.2 87 3.5 a240 ! 0.2	DC 3/	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 LEH NAKO1
Comet 10P/Temp	oel 2					
DATE (UT) 1995 02 24.44		AP. T F/ 60.0 Y 6	PWR COMA a240 ! 0.2	DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 15P/Finl	.ay					
DATE (UT) 1995 10 26.79 1995 10 27.80	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6	PWR COMA a240 ! 0.2 a240 ! 0.2	DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 16P/Broo	ks 2					
DATE (UT) 1994 05 06.79	N MM MAG. RF C[18.5: GA	AP. T F/ 60.0 Y 6	PWR COMA a120 ! 0.2	DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 19P/Borr	elly					
DATE (UT) 1994 09 10.06 1994 09 11.05	N MM MAG. RF M 11.2 TI M 11.1 TI	20 R 17	PWR COMA 140 2.5 140 3	DC 4 4/	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH LEH
Comet 21P/Giaco	obini-Zinner					
DATE (UT) 1998 05 31.93 1998 06 02.94 1998 06 20.94 1998 06 21.96 1998 07 19.12 1998 07 19.90 1998 07 20.91 1998 07 24.88 1998 07 24.95 1998 07 25.92 1998 07 26.88 1998 07 29.41 1998 07 30.45 1998 07 30.58 1998 07 30.90 1998 07 30.90 1998 07 31.90 1998 07 31.90 1998 08 01.97 1998 08 01.97 1998 08 01.97 1998 08 10.85 1998 08 11.84 1998 08 11.84	N MM MAG. RF B 14.9 HS B 14.8 HS B 14.7 HS B 14.5 HS B 14.6 HS S [13.7 AC B 14.2 HS B 14.3 HS B 14.1 HS S 13.7: AC S 13.0: AC B 14.1 HS S 13.6 HS C 14.1: GA S 13.8 HS S 13.8 HS S 13.8 HS S 13.8 HS S 13.3 HS B 13.8 HS S 13.1 AC S 13.3 HS S 13.3 HS S 13.3 HS S 13.4 AC S 13.3 HS S 13.5 VB M 13.3 HS N 13.0 HS S 13.5 VB	44.5 L 4 44.5 L 5 25.0 L 6 25.0 L 6 30 R 20 42 L 5 25.0 L 6 25.6 L 5 42 L 5	PWR COMA 162 0.6 162 0.8 162 0.8 162 0.8 162 0.8 162 1.3 162 1.3 162 1.4 78 & 1 104 0.7 162 1.4 169 0.6 120 1.3 230 1.5 230 1 162 1.4 121 0.9 121 0.8 185 0.6 140 1.6 121 1 169 1.0 140 1.8 185 0.8	DC 4 4 4 3 4 3 3 3 4 2 /	TAIL PA 1.3m 128	OBS. LEH
1998 08 12.30 1998 08 13.33 1998 08 13.87 1998 08 13.89 1998 08 14.83 1998 08 15.19 1998 08 15.30 1998 08 15.46 1998 08 15.84 1998 08 15.89	S 13.3 HS S 13.1 HS S 12.4 AC S 13.0 AC M 12.6 HS J 11.7 SC S 12.2 HS S [12.5: M 12.6 HS S 12.8 GA	25.6 L 5 25.6 L 5 25.0 L 6 25.4 J 6 42 L 5 25.4 T 5 a 25.6 L 5 20 L 7 42 L 5	169 1.0 169 1.0 61 1.2 100 1.1 140 2.0 60 2.20 84 1.5 158 81 2.3 100 1.5	5 5 2/ 1/ 4 s3 4	2.5m 118	SHAO2 BIV BIV RES BOU LEH ROQ BIV MATO8 LEH BOU

1998 08 17.98	COMOU LII / GI	CODINI	Dimer	[COHO.]					
1998 08 26.81	DATE (UT) 1998 08 16.3 1998 08 16.8 1998 08 16.9 1998 08 17.8 1998 08 17.8 1998 08 17.8 1998 08 17.9 1998 08 17.9 1998 08 18.9 1998 08 18.9 1998 08 19.8 1998 08 19.8 1998 08 19.8 1998 08 19.8 1998 08 19.8 1998 08 19.8 1998 08 19.8 1998 08 20.5 1998 08 20.5 1998 08 20.5 1998 08 20.8 1998 08 20.8 1998 08 20.8 1998 08 20.8 1998 08 21.9 1998 08 22.9 1998 08 22.9 1998 08 23.8 1998 08 24.8 1998 08 24.8 1998 08 24.8 1998 08 24.8 1998 08 24.8 1998 08 24.8 1998 08 24.8 1998 08 25.8 1998 08 25.8	N MB MS MS SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	MAG. RF 12.1 HS 12.5 HS 12.7 AC 12.4 HS 12.2 AC 12.2 NP 12.1 AC 11.5 NP 11.7 NP 12.5 AC 11.8 AC 11.7 NP 11.4 HS 12.4 HS 11.7 HS 11.7 HS 11.7 HS 11.7 HS 11.7 HS 11.6 TI 11.6 TI	AP. 6 L 5 5 6 L 5 5 6 25 . 4 L L 5 5 6 25 . 4 L L 5 5 6 25 . 4 L L 5 5 6 6 25 . 6 L L 5 5 6 25 . 6 L	84 81 100 81 85 65 65 65 75 75 65 75 75 84 81 92 65 75 75 84 120 81 75 75 84 120 185 75 84 185 75 84 81 75 85 86 86 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87	1.2 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	541422121244222315432223422533224	TAIL PA	BIV LEH BOU LEH MEY RES MARO2 SANO4 MEY SANO4 MARO2 BOU LEH HORO2 MEY RES MARO2 SANO4 BIV TSUO2 LEH BARO6 RES MARO2 SHAO2 SHAO2 SHAO2 RES HORO2 RES BARO6 BIV LEH SHAO2 RES SHAO2 SH
1998 08 30.15	1998 08 26.81 1998 08 26.83 1998 08 27.13 1998 08 27.82 1998 08 27.88 1998 08 28.06 1998 08 28.36 1998 08 29.84 1998 08 29.86 1998 08 29.86	S S J M S S S S M S M S M S	11.6 TI 12.2 HS 11.9 SC 11.1 TI 11.0 AC 11.6 AC 11.6 HS 11.0 TT 11.0 TT 11.1 TI 10.8 AC	10 B 20.3 T 10 25.4 T 5 42 L 5 25.0 L 6 40.6 L 5 25.6 L 5 35 L 5 35 L 5 42 L 5 25.0 L 6	25 93 a 60 81 61 90 42 92 92 81 37	2.2 1.1 3.73 2.6 2.3 1.4 2.0 1.9 1.6 2.3	4 3 53 4/ 2 3 3 2/ 4	1.9m 101	ZNO HASO2 ROQ LEH RES BOR BIV HORO2 HORO2 LEH RES
1998 09 10.47 S 11.4 HS 20 L 7 45 2 3 MAT08	1998 08 30.18 1998 08 30.80 1998 08 30.90 1998 08 31.92 1998 09 01.98 1998 09 08.28 1998 09 08.48 1998 09 08.78 1998 09 09.48 1998 09 09.48 1998 09 09.48 1998 09 10.47 1998 09 10.47 1998 09 10.47	CMSSSSSCSSHCMHSS	13.0 FA 10.9 TI 11.4 TI 11.5 AC 10.8 AC 10.7 AC 11.3 HS 12.2 GA 10.7 TI 10.5 AC 11.9 LA 12.0 GA 11.1 TI 12.0 LA 11.4 HS 11.4 HS	91.4 L 5 42 L 5 35 L 5 25.4 J 6 25.0 L 6 25.6 L 5 20.3 T 9 20 L 5 25.0 C 12 60.0 Y 6 35 L 5 50.0 C 12 20 L 7 20 L 7	81 92 88 61 61 42 a 60 70 61 a180 a120 92 a180 45 45	2.2 1.6 1.8 2.2 2.2 1.5 1.2 3 2.0 0.94 2.1 2.4 0.94 2	2 3 2 1/ 4 2/ 2 5 3/ 5 3	2.1m 93 2.8m 99	S SC001 LEH HOR02 BOU RES RES BIV SUZ02 BAR06 RES FUK02 NAK01 HOR02

		_			
DATE (UT)	N MM MAG. RE		COMA DC	TAIL PA	OBS.
1998 09 10.79	S 10.5 T		3.5 3		BAR06
1998 09 10.82 1998 09 10.83	S 11.5 AA		1.0 1		DIE02
1998 09 10.83 1998 09 10.91	x S 10.9 TT S 10.9 TJ		2.0 3/		BOU
1998 09 10.91	S 10.9 TJ		& 2 & 2 3/		PERO1 VITO1
1998 09 11.11	J 11.2 SC	25.4 T 5 a 60	1.55 s3/	1.8m 97	ROQ
1998 09 11.27	S 11.2 TJ	25.6 L 5 42	2.0 5	2.5m 90	BIV
1998 09 11.80	S 10.4 TI		3 2/		BAR06
1998 09 11.81	S 10.3 TI		4 3		BAR06
1998 09 11.83 1998 09 11.85	x M 10.9 TT S 11.3 AC		2.5 4		BOU
1998 09 12.86	S 11.3 AC S 10.8 TJ		& 3 2 & 2 3		COM
1998 09 12.86	S 10.9 TJ		& 2 3		PERO1 VITO1
1998 09 12.92	S 11.0 NP	10 R 5 27	3.5 2		MARO2
1998 09 12.92	S 11.0 NP	10 R 5 27	4 1		DES01
1998 09 13.28	B 11.0 TJ		2.0 6	2.5m 80	BIV
1998 09 13.77 1998 09 13.77	a M 10.9 CD a M 10.9 CD	30 L 5 60 30 L 5 60	3.5 s5		NEV
1998 09 13.84	S 11.1 AC	30 L 5 60 30.5 T 10 78	3.5 s5 & 2 2		NEV
1998 09 13.84	x M 10.8 TT	25.4 J 6 72	2.5 4		COM BOU
1998 09 14.03	S 10.8 TI	40.6 L 5 70	2.3 3		BOR
1998 09 15.18	S 10.8 AC	20.0 T 10 125	2.4 3		SPR
1998 09 15.33	S 11.1 TJ	25.6 L 5 42	1.8 6	2.0m 85	BIV
1998 09 16.16 1998 09 16.42	S 10.6 AC	20.0 T 10 102	2.6 3/		SPR
1998 09 16.42	H 11.7 LA S 11.3 HS	50.0 C 12 a180 20 L 7 45	1.12 4	2.2m 90	FUK02
1998 09 16.46	H 11.7 LA	50.0 C 12 a180	2 3 1.12 4	2.2m 90	MATO8 FUKO2
1998 09 16.86	S 10.6: TI	11 L 7 50	3 2/	2.211 90	BARO6
1998 09 16.87	S 10.8 TJ	25.3 L 6 58	& 1.6 3		PERO1
1998 09 16.87	S 10.8 TJ	25.3 L 6 58	& 1.7 3/		VIT01
1998 09 16.88	S 10.4 NP	32 L 5 75	2 2		MAR02
1998 09 17.44 1998 09 17.49	C 11.3 GA x S 11.1: TT	60.0 Y 6 a120	2.7	3.4m 95	NAK01
1998 09 18.03	S 10.4 TI	10.0 B 37 40.6 L 5 70	3 2.5 4/		YOSO2
1998 09 18.29	S 11.0 TJ	25.6 L 5 42	2.5 4/		BOR BIV
1998 09 18.80	S 10.7 HS	25.0 C 5 39	2 1		CHE03
1998 09 19.03	S 10.3 TI	40.6 L 5 70	2.0 4		BOR
1998 09 19.04 1998 09 19.32	M 10.7 NP	20 L 4 31	2.0 3		CRE01
1998 09 19.32 1998 09 19.38	B 10.9 TJ S 11.5 VN	25.6 L 5 42 25.4 L 6 61	2.5 5		BIV
1998 09 19.41	H 11.5 LA	50.0 C 12 a180	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1.3 & 1 \\ 1.73 & 4 \end{array}$	3.9m 88	SEA01 FUK02
1998 09 19.44	S 11.0 HS	20 L 7 45	2.5 3	J.JM 00	MAT08
1998 09 19.79	M 10.6 TT	35 L 5 92	2.5 3/		HORO2
1998 09 19.79	S 10.2 AC	25.0 L 6 61	2.7 2/		RES
1998 09 19.81 1998 09 20.09	S 10.4 HS J 10.3 SC	25.0 C 5 39	2 1		CHE03
1998 09 20.28	J 10.3 SC S 10.8 TJ	25.4 T 5 a 60 25.6 L 5 42	3.55 s5 2.5 6	1.8m 90	ROQ
1998 09 20.38	S 11.5 VN	25.4 L 6 61	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.5 & 6 \\ 1.2 & 1 \end{array}$	3.0m 85	BIV SEA01
1998 09 20.45	H 11.5 LA	50.0 C 12 a180	1.92 4	4.4m 88	FUK02
1998 09 20.48	S 11.1 HS	25.4 T 6 116	1.0 4		YOSO4
1998 09 20.48	S 11.4 HS	31.7 L 6 63	1.7 4		MIY01
1998 09 20.79 1998 09 20.79	M 10.6 TT	35 L 5 92	3.1 3/		HORO2
1998 09 21.76	S 10.2 AC a M 10.3 CD	25.0 L 6 61 30 L 5 60	2.7 2/ 3.5 d5		RES
1998 09 21.77	S 10.4 TT	35 L 5 92	2.9 3/		NEV HORO2
1998 09 21.80	S 10.3 TI	20 L 5 70	4 2/		BAR06
1998 09 21.81	B 10.5 HS	35.0 M 4 55	2 1		CHE03
1998 09 21.82	S 10.0 AC	25.0 L 6 61	2.5 2/		RES
1998 09 21.88 1998 09 21.89	M 10.0 SE B 10.6 HS	25 L 4 64	2.5 3/		SHU
1998 09 22.38	B 10.6 HS S 11.3 VN	40.6 T 10 65 25.4 L 6 61	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.5 & 2 \\ 1.2 & 2 \end{array}$		CHE03
1998 09 22.77	M 10.4 TT	35 L 5 92	2.7 3/		SEA01 HORO2
1998 09 22.78	x M 10.3 HV	25.4 L 5 65	2.4 D4/		MEY
1998 09 22.81	S 10.2 TI	11 L 7 50	3.5 2/		BAR06
1998 09 22.81	S 10.3 TI	20 L 5 70	2.6 3		BAR06

DATE (UT) 1998 09 22.81 1998 09 22.83 1998 09 23.18 1998 09 23.75 1998 09 23.80 1998 09 23.81 1998 09 23.81 1998 09 23.81 1998 09 24.02 1998 09 24.02 1998 09 24.78 1998 09 24.78 1998 09 24.79 1998 09 24.80 1998 09 24.80 1998 09 24.80 1998 09 24.81 1998 09 24.82 1998 09 24.82 1998 09 25.10	N MM MAG. RF x M 10.4 TT S 10.9 AC S 10.4 AC S 11.2 VN a M 10.3 CD M 10.4 TT S 10.1 TI x S 10.3 TT S 9.8 TJ S 10.2 TI M 10.6 NP S 10.4 TJ S 9.9 TI M 10.4 TT S 10.2 TI M 10.4 TT S 10.2 TI S 10.2 TI S 10.7 HS S 10.8 AC S 10.0 AC x M 10.2 TT J 10.9 SC	AP. T F/ PWR 25.4 J 6 58 30.5 T 10 117 20.0 T 10 102 25.4 L 6 61 30 L 5 60 35 L 5 92 11 L 7 50 25.4 J 6 72 20.3 T 10 77 40.6 L 5 70 20 L 4 31 25.6 L 5 42 10 B 25 35 L 5 92 20 L 5 70 25.4 L 6 104 30.5 T 10 117 25.0 L 6 61 25.4 J 6 72 25.4 T 5 a 60	COMA DO 2.8 3/2.5 3/2.7 3 1.4 3 3.5 4 3.0 3 2 2.8 3/1.9 2 2.4 5 2.8 3/4 2.8 3/4 2.8 3/4 1.8 4/2.5 3/4 2.5 2/2.6 44.59 \$6		P A 91	OBS. BOU COM SPR SEA01 NEV HORO2 BARO6 BOU KAMO1 BOR CRE01 BIV ZNO HORO2 BARO6 SARO2 COM RES BOU ROQ
1998 09 25.79 1998 09 25.79 1998 09 25.81 1998 09 25.82 1998 09 25.83 1998 09 25.92 1998 09 25.92 1998 09 26.78 1998 09 26.82 1998 09 27.27 1998 09 29.02 1998 09 30.02 1998 10 02.45 1998 10 07.43	M 10.3 HS a M 10.5 CD S 10.1 TI x S 10.2 HV a M 9.9 SE S 10.7 TJ S 10.8 TJ M 10.2 TT S 9.8 AC S 10.5 TJ S 9.9 TI S 9.8 TI V 11.3 LA S 10.2 TI	25.4 L 6 104 30 L 5 60 20 L 5 70 10.0 B 20 25 L 4 64 25.3 L 6 58 25.3 L 6 58 25.0 L 6 61 25.6 L 5 42 40.6 L 5 70 40.6 L 5 70 50.0 C 12 a180 20 L 7 45	2.5 5 3 s5 3.1 s4 4 3 2 3 & 2.2 3 2.9 3 2.4 2/ 3.0 4 2.2 4 2.1 5 2.39 4	> 8.7m	82	SARO2 NEV BARO6 MEY SHU VITO1 PERO1 HORO2 RES BIV BOR BOR FUKO2
1998 10 07.43 1998 10 07.50 1998 10 08.25	S 10.2 II S 10.2 VN S 10.2 TJ	20 L 7 45 41 L 4 90 25.6 L 5 42	2.5 4 2.2 4 3.5 5	0.05	80	MATO8 PEA BIV
1998 10 08.41 1998 10 08.41	V 11.0 LA x S 10.2 TJ	50.0 C 12 a180 10.0 B 20	2.54 4 2.8 5	> 8.3m	77	FUK02 NAG08
1998 10 08.43 1998 10 08.44 1998 10 08.82 1998 10 08.84	x M 9.9 TT S 10.3 HS S 10.1 TT S 9.8 TT	25.4 L 4 81 15.0 B 25 25.3 L 6 58 10.0 B 14	3.0 4 2.5 4 & 3 3 & 4 4	6 m	75	YOSO2 HASO8 PERO1 PERO1
1998 10 09.25 1998 10 09.42	S 10.0 TJ x S 10.0: TJ	25.6 L 5 42 10.0 B 20	4.0 5 & 3 5	0.1	80	BIV NAGO8
1998 10 09.43 1998 10 09.44 1998 10 09.44 1998 10 09.87 1998 10 09.87 1998 10 09.88 1998 10 09.88	C 10.9 GA S 10.2 HS S 10.2 TI S 10.0 TT S 10.2 TT S 9.8 TT S 9.9 TT	60.0 Y 6 a 60 31.7 L 6 63 20 L 7 45 25.3 L 6 58 25.3 L 6 58 10.0 B 14 10.0 B 14	2.5 1.7 4 2.5 4 & 3 4/ > 2 4 & 4 4/	5.1m	76	NAKO1 MIYO1 MATO8 PERO1 VITO1 PERO1
1998 10 10.09 1998 10 10.50 1998 10 10.82 1998 10 10.84 1998 10 11.04	J 9.2 SC S 9.9 VN S 10 : AC S 8.6: VT M 9.8 NP	25.4 T 5 a 60 41 L 4 90 20.3 T 10 79 33 L 5 60 20 L 4 38	& 3 2/ 4.59 s6 2.5 5 & 3 5 1.4 2 3.8 4	3.3m	83	VITO1 ROQ PEA COM SHAO2 CREO1
1998 10 11.41 1998 10 11.45 1998 10 11.80 1998 10 11.82 1998 10 11.82	V 10.6 LA C 10.8 GA S 9.5 VT S 9.6 TT S 9.8 TT	50.0 C 12 a180 20.3 T 9 a 60 33 L 5 60 10.0 B 14 10.0 B 14	2.59 4 1.5 1.8 5 & 5 5 & 3.5 3	> 9.5m >0.03	78 82	FUK02 SUZ02 SHA02 PER01 VIT01
1998 10 11.83 1998 10 12.01 1998 10 12.41 1998 10 12.77	S 9.8: AC S 9.6 TI S 9.8 AA x M 9.7 TT	30.5 T 10 117 40.6 L 5 70 10.0 B 25 25.4 J 6 58	& 3 5 2.7 6 2.7 5	0.1	60	COM BOR SEA BOU

•							
DATE (UT)	N MM MAG.	RF AP. T F/	PWR CO	MA DC	TAIL	PΑ	OBS.
1998 10 12.79	S 9.9	AC 20.3 T 10	79 & 3	5	****		COM.
1998 10 12.82	S 9.4	AC 6.0 B		.5 3			RES
1998 10 13.45	S 9.8	TI 20 L 7		5 4/			MAT08
1998 10 13.77	S 9.7	TT 10.0 B	25 4.	6 3			HAS02
1998 10 13.78	a S 9.6	NP 8.0 B	20 3.				OKS
1998 10 13.80	M 9.2	TT 35 L 5	92 4	4	0.12	85	HORO2
1998 10 13.81	S 9.3	AC 25.0 L 6	61 2.		0.2	7 5	RES
1998 10 13.81 1998 10 13.82	S 9.4 S 9.6	AC 6.0 B	20 2	_ 2			RES
1998 10 13.82	S 9.6 M 9.9	TT 10.0 B	14 & 4.	5 5			PERO1
1998 10 14.76	S 9.8	AC 30.5 T 10	25 117 & 3	5			SEA
1998 10 14.77	a M 9.7	CD 30 L 5	60 4.				COM NEV
1998 10 14.78	M 9.7	SE 25 L 4	64 4	s5			SHU
1998 10 14.78	x M 9.7	TT 25.4 J 6	58 2.				BOU
1998 10 14.80	S 9.6	TI 20 L 5	70 3.		0.1	75	BAR06
1998 10 14.85	S 9.5	TT 10.0 B	14 & 5	3		. •	PERO1
1998 10 14.85	S 9.6	TT 10.0 B	14 & 3.				VIT01
1998 10 14.99	S 9.6	TI 40.6 L 5	70 2.		& 0.05	60	BOR
1998 10 15.45	S 9.9	TI 20 L 7	45 2.				MAT08
1998 10 15.78 1998 10 15.81		AA 15.0 R 15	141 4.				DIE02
1998 10 15.83		AC 12.0 B AA 19.5 L 5	20 4. 50 2.				L0001
1998 10 16.11		AA 20.0 T 10	64 3.				GAS01
1998 10 16.74		HS 44.5 L 5	64 1.		0.07	80	SPR KARO2
1998 10 16.75		TI 20 L 5	70 3.		0.1	85	BARO6
1998 10 16.75		NP 8.0 B	20 3.		0.08	54	OKS
1998 10 16.75	a M 9.7	CD 30 L 5	60 4	s 6		•-	NEV
1998 10 16.77		SE 25 L 4	64 3	ຮ 5	5 m	70	SHU
1998 10 16.78		SE 19 L 5	60 2.				NEK
1998 10 16.82	S 9.2	AC 25.0 L 6	61 3.	•			RES
1998 10 16.85 1998 10 16.85		TT 10.0 B	14 & 4	$\frac{4}{2}$			VIT01
1998 10 17.72		TT 10.0 B AA 6.3 R 13	14 & 4 52 4	5/			PERO1
1998 10 17.75		TI 10 B	52 4 25 4.	5 5 2/	0.2	٥٨	KOS
1998 10 17.75		TT 10 B	25 4. 25 4.		0.2	80	ZNO HORO2
1998 10 17.75		TI 11 L 5	50 3.		0.1	85	BARO6
1998 10 17.77		TT 25.4 J 6	58 3.		0.1	00	BOU
1998 10 17.78		AC 20.3 T 10	80 & 3	5			COM
1998 10 17.79	S 10.3	VT 22 L 7	64 1.				TAY
1998 10 18.12	S 9.2	AA 10.0 R 5	27 3.				SPR
1998 10 18.27 1998 10 18.35		IJ 25.6 L 5	42 4.		0.1	80	BIV
1998 10 18.35		TT 11 L 4 TJ 10.0 B	16 2	5			RAE
1998 10 18.41		TJ 10.0 B LA 50.0 C 12 :	20 3	5	> 0 0	70	NAGO8
1998 10 18.76		IT 25.4 J 6	a180 2.5 72 2.5		> 9.9m	70	FUKO2 BOU
1998 10 18.77		TT 12.5 R 5	25 3	6			GIL01
1998 10 18.78		TT 20.3 T	67 3	7	0.1	60	LUE
1998 10 18.79	S 9.7	AC 30.5 T 10	56 & 3	5/			COM
1998 10 18.80	S 8.8	AC 6.0 B	20 4	2/			RES
1998 10 18.80	S 8.9	AC 25.0 L 6	61 3.	5 3/			RES
1998 10 18.80		VT 33 L 5	45 3.				SHA02
1998 10 18.80		AC 25.0 L 4	53 4.0				L0001
1998 10 19.50 1998 10 19.70		VN 41 L 4 CD 30 L 5	90 1.9	•	•		PEA
1998 10 19.76		CD 30 L 5 FT 25.4 J 6	60 3 58 3.0	ຣ6	6 m	82	NEV
1998 10 19.82	S 9.3	TT 10.0 B	14 & 5	0 5 4/			BOU PERO1
1998 10 19.82		TT 10.0 B	14 & 5	3			VITO1
1998 10 19.82	S 9.7	AC 30.5 T 10	56 3	5			COM
1998 10 19.90	B 9.8 I	AA 19.5 L 5	50 2.0) 4			GAS01
1998 10 20.00	S 9.5	TI 40.6 L 5	70 2.6	6	0.1	65	BOR
1998 10 20.08			a 60 4.4	12 s5/	4.2m	79	ROQ
1998 10 20.26		J 25.6 L 5	42 3.5		0.15	70	BIV
1998 10 20.74		TT 35 L 5	92 3.8		0.12	70	HORO2
1998 10 20.74 1998 10 20.77		AC 15.2 L 5 TT 12.5 R 5	42 3.8				MOE
1000 10 20.11	5 9.0: 1	11 12.0 R 5	42 & 3	4			GIL01

DATE (UT) 1998 10 20.81 1998 10 20.82 1998 10 20.99 1998 10 21.41 1998 10 21.45 1998 10 21.45 1998 10 21.73 1998 10 21.73 1998 10 21.76 1998 10 21.79 1998 10 21.82 1998 10 21.82 1998 10 22.74 1998 10 22.74 1998 10 22.74 1998 10 22.74 1998 10 22.74 1998 10 22.74 1998 10 22.74 1998 10 22.74 1998 10 22.74 1998 10 23.25 1998 10 23.25 1998 10 23.25 1998 10 23.73 1998 10 23.73 1998 10 23.73 1998 10 23.73 1998 10 24.28 1998 10 24.28 1998 10 24.29 1998 10 24.29 1998 10 24.79 1998 10 24.77 1998 10 24.77 1998 10 25.35 1998 10 25.41 1998 10 25.41 1998 10 25.41 1998 10 25.41 1998 10 25.41 1998 10 25.41 1998 10 25.99 1998 10 25.99 1998 10 25.99 1998 10 26.72 1998 10 26.72 1998 10 26.72 1998 10 26.75 1998 10 26.75 1998 10 26.75 1998 10 27.12 1998 10 26.75 1998 10 26.75	SSSCMSSMSMMSSSSSMSSSMSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	MAG. RF		10 5 6 4 5 13 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 10 5 F/	PWR	COMA: 217 5 5 28 70 7630 5 958 0 5200 1051 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	D446 4 53555554433665444266544564 556563434425 C	TAIL &0.05 > 6.5m 5 m 0.13 3 m 0.15 0.05 0.15 0.05 0.1 0.2 2 m >0.1 >0.10 6 m 4.2m	70 70 70 85 80 70 35 75 75	OBS. KAMO1 PERO1 BOR NAKO1 YOSO2 SEA YOSO2 HORO2 KOS SHU MARO2 PERO1 VIOS BOR BIV BIV RAE KOS OKS TAY CREO1 BIV RAE HASO8 SHU SUZO2 RAE SUZO2 NAGO8 YOSO4 NEV BOR BOR RES SARO2 SPR RES ROQ OBS.
1983 05 12.95	S	9.3 AA	8.0 B		15	4.5	DC	THTF	r A	HAV
Comet 23P/Bror			יי מא	E/	מוזמ	COMA	DC	ጥልፕ፣	D.A	ດກຕ
DATE (UT) 1989 07 30.02 1989 08 06.01	N MM I M M	MAG. RF 8.2 S 7.5 S	AP. T 10 B 10 B		PWR 25 25	COMA 9 4.5	2 5	TAIL 0.12	PA 335	OBS. LEH LEH
1989 08 07.04 1989 08 10.05	M M		10 B 10 B	4	25 25	3.5 4	5 6	0.08	303 296	LEH LEH
Comet 29P/Schw	assman	n-Wachmar	ın 1							
DATE (UT) 1998 04 20.91 1998 04 23.94		MAG. RF 13.7 HS 13.0 HS	AP. T 42 L 42 L		PWR 162 162	COMA 1.4 1.9	DC 4/ 3/	TAIL	P▲	OBS. LEH LEH

Comet 29P/Schw	vassmann-Wachma	nn 1 [cont.]						
DATE (UT) 1998 04 30.91 1998 05 01.88 1998 05 19.94 1998 05 29.89 1998 05 31.90 1998 08 15.43	M 13.5 HS B 13.8 HS B 13.7 HS B 14.0 HS B 13.9 HS	42 L 5 162 42 L 5 162 42 L 5 162	COMA DC 1.3 3/ 1.3 3/ 1.3 4 1.2 3/ 1.2 4	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH MATO8			
Comet 30P/Rein	muth 1							
1994 08 05.73	C[19.5: GA		COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1			
Comet 31P/Schw	assmann-Wachmar	n 2						
DATE (UT) 1995 07 26.52 1995 07 31.51	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1			
Comet 32P/Coma	s Solá							
DATE (UT) 1997 07 03.52	N MM MAG. RF C[18.5: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1			
Comet 36P/Whip	ple							
1995 09 20.79	C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1			
Comet 37P/Forbe	es							
DATE (UT) 1994 01 05.49	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1			
Comet 41P/Tutt]	le-Giacobini-Kr	esák						
1995 02 24.48	C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1			
Comet 42P/Neujn	nin 3							
DATE (UT) 1993 09 10.44		AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a120	COMA DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1			
Comet 43P/Wolf-	-Harrington							
DATE (UT) 1996 07 10.67 1996 07 16.66	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA		COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1			
Comet 45P/Honda	Comet 45P/Honda-Mrkos-Pajdušáková							
DATE (UT) 1995 10 25.40 1995 12 27.70 1995 12 29.70 1996 02 07.21 1996 02 26.11	N MM MAG. RF C[18.0: GA & M 7.0 S & M 6.9 S M 10.3 TI O[11.5 TI	10 B 4 25 20 R 17 87 20 R 17 140	COMA DC ! 0.2 5 3 5.5 4 12 3	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 LEH LEH LEH LEH			

Comet 46P/Wirtanen

COMEC TOP/WII	errerr				
DATE (UT) 1996 07 24.69 1996 12 26.70 1996 12 28.71 1996 12 30.72 1997 01 26.76 1997 01 31.76 1997 02 01.72 1997 02 02.73 1997 02 02.73 1997 02 11.73 1997 02 22.78 1997 02 23.76 1997 03 01.78 1997 03 01.78 1997 03 02.77 1997 03 03.80 1997 03 05.78 1997 03 07.78 1997 03 10.77 1997 03 10.77 1997 03 11.78 1997 03 12.77 1997 03 12.77 1997 03 30.82 1997 05 02.83 1997 05 14.87 1997 05 31.88	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA M 12.0 HS M 12.1 HS M 12.3 HS M 12.5 HS M 12.8 HS M 12.8 HS M 12.6 HS M 12.0 TI M 9.7 TI M 9.0 TI M 9.1 T	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 20 R 17 140 20 R 17 20 20 R 17 25 20 R 1	COMA DC 1 0.2 2.5 4 2.5 4 2 4 2 3/2 2.6 2.5 2 3 3/2 2.6 3 3 3.5 3 3 5.4 4 5 4 4 3 3.5 3 5.4 4 5 4 5 4 7 3 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 LEH
Comet 47P/Ashb	rook-Jackson				
DATE (UT) 1995 02 24.57	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 49P/Aren	d-Rigaux				
DATE (UT) 1997 07 05.74 1997 11 19.43	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 51P/Harr	ington				
	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 52P/Harr	ington-Abell				
DATE (UT) 1998 07 25.03 1998 07 25.04 1998 07 25.44	N MM MAG. RF M 12.0 HS S 11.4 HS c 18.0 FA	AP. T F/ PWR 42 L 5 162 44.5 L 4 82 91.4 L 5	COMA DC 2.1 2 2 2	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH SARO2 SCOO1
1998 07 25.47 1998 07 26.04 1998 07 28.99 1998 07 30.05 1998 07 30.58 1998 07 30.58 1998 07 30.99 1998 07 31.04 1998 08 01.02 1998 08 02.01 1998 08 02.01 1998 08 02.63 1998 08 04.60 1998 08 04.79 1998 08 06.09 1998 08 15.97	C 11.6 FA S 12.2: AC S 12.1 HS S 11.6 HS S 11.8 HS S 12.1 HS S 12.4 HS S [12.0 HS M 12.2 HS S 12.5: HS S 12.5: AC S 12.5: AC S 12.7 HS S 12.7 HS AC 13.4 GA S 12.5: HS M 12.8 HS	91.4 L 5 25.4 L 5 104 20 L 5 70 44.5 L 4 72 44.5 L 4 230 25.6 L 5 42 20 L 5 70 27 L 6 83 42 L 5 81 25.0 L 6 121 20 L 5 70 25.0 L 6 61 25.6 L 5 84 25.6 L 5 84 60.0 Y 6 a120 30 R 20 185 42 L 5 162	1.00 1.0 3 2.0 1 1.5 3 1.5 2 1.3 3 ! 1 2.0 2 1.5 2/ 1.0 1 1.2 2/ 1.0 3 1.0 2 0.8 0.7 3 1.9 3	4.3m 258 5.0m 259	SCOO1 MEY BARO6 SARO2 SANO7 BIV BARO6 TOTO3 LEH RES BARO6 RES BIV BIV NAKO1 SHAO2 LEH

Comet 52P/Harrington-Abell [cont.]

DATE (UT) 1998 08 18.03 1998 08 19.05 1998 08 19.99 1998 08 20.00 1998 08 20.01 1998 08 20.05 1998 08 20.55 1998 08 20.76 1998 08 22.13 1998 08 23.05	N MM MAG. RF AP. T F/ PWR M 12.9 HS 42 L 5 162 S 12.3 AC 25.0 L 6 61 S 12.5 AC 25.0 L 6 121 M 12.6 HS 42 L 5 81 S 12.3 HS 20 L 5 125 S 12.3 TI 35 L 5 92 S 12.7 AC 25.0 L 6 121 S 11.9 NP 32 L 5 75 S 12.2 HS 25.6 L 5 84 M 11.3: TT 25.0 L 6 62 S 12.2 NP 32 L 5 75 S 13.7: VB 30 R 20 185	COMA DC 1.4 3 1.1 2 1.3 2 1.9 2/ 1.2 2 1.5 1/ 1.1 2 1.5 1/ 1.5 3 1 1 0.5 3	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH RES RES LEH PLS HORO2 RES MARO2 BIV TSU02 MARO2
1998 08 23.13 1998 08 24.01 1998 08 24.55 1998 08 25.81 1998 08 26.00 1998 08 28.03 1998 08 28.51 1998 08 29.96 1998 08 30.60 1998 08 30.95 1998 08 31.01 1998 08 31.99	S 11.8 NP 32 L 5 75 S 12.4: HS 20 L 5 70 S 12.1: HS 25.6 L 5 84 C 13.5 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 S 12.6: HS 20 L 5 70 S 12.5 AC 25.0 L 6 61 S 12.4 HS 25.6 L 5 84 S 12.7 HS 35 L 5 207 S 12.8 HS 25.6 L 5 84 S 12.4 HS 35 L 5 207 S 12.8 HS 25.6 L 5 84 S 12.4 HS 35 L 5 207 S 12.8 GA 25.4 J 6 100 S 12.4 AC 25.0 L 6 61	<pre> 1</pre>	6.6m 262	SHA02 MAR02 BAR06 BIV NAK01 BAR06 RES BIV HOR02 BIV HOR02 BOU
1998 09 01.04 1998 09 01.04 1998 09 01.05 1998 09 01.99 1998 09 02.62 1998 09 02.81 1998 09 03.03 1998 09 04.05 1998 09 09.75	S 12.4 HS 20 L 5 70 S 12.5 HS 20.3 T 10 93 S 12.2 AC 25.4 L 5 104 S 12.4 AC 25.0 L 6 61 S 12.8 HS 25.6 L 5 84 C 13.6 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 S 12.5 AC 25.0 L 6 61 S 12.4 AC 25.0 L 6 61 H 12.7 LA 50.0 C 12 a300	1.7 3/ 0.8 1 1.5 2 1.2 2 1.2 2 0.95 1.4 2 1.4 1/ 0.18 6	6.3m 263 2.4m 270	RES BAR06 HAS02 MEY RES BIV NAK01 RES RES FUK02
1998 09 15.50 1998 09 16.16 1998 09 16.72 1998 09 17.02 1998 09 18.50 1998 09 18.97	S 12.2: HS 25.6 L 5 84 ! V 12.8 HV 152.4 L 8 a600 H 12.7 LA 50.0 C 12 a300 S 12.2 HS 20 L 5 70 S 12.7 HS 25.6 L 5 84 S 12.3 HS 44.5 L 4 230	1.2 2 0.17 0.35 6 1.3 3/ 1.4 2 1.5 2/	1.2m 265 3.2m 271	BIV COZ FUKO2 BARO6 BIV SARO2
1998 09 19.33 1998 09 19.70 1998 09 19.93 1998 09 20.00 1998 09 20.07 1998 09 20.57 1998 09 21.00 1998 09 22.03 1998 09 22.07 1998 09 22.13 1998 09 22.56 1998 09 23.07 1998 09 23.98 1998 09 24.98 1998 09 25.05 1998 09 25.06	! J 12.3 SC 25.4 T 5 a 60 H 12.7 LA 50.0 C 12 a180 S 13.0 HS 35 L 5 207 S 12.3: AC 25.0 L 6 121 S 12.2 AC 25.4 L 5 104 S 13.1 HS 25.6 L 5 84 S 12.3: AC 25.0 L 6 121 S 12.4 AC 25.4 L 5 104 S 12.6 HS 35 L 5 207 S 12.4 AC 25.6 L 5 84 S 12.6 HS 25.6 L 5 84 S 12.6 HS 25.6 L 5 84 S 12.6 HS 25.6 L 5 70 S 12.4 HS 20 L 5 70 S 12.3 HS 20 L 5 70 S 12.3 HS 20 L 5 70 S 12.5 HS 25.4 L 6 104 S 12.6 AC 25.0 L 6 61	1.39 s5 0.68 6 1.1 2 1.4 2 1.3 2/ 1.0 2 1.4 2 1.6 2/ 2.0 2 1.2 2 1.3 1/ 2.1 2 1.6 3 1.8 3 1.5 3 1.2 2/	2.5m 265 4.1m 265	ROQ FUKO2 HORO2 RES MEY BIV RES MEY HORO2 RES BIV HORO2 BARO6 BARO6 SARO2 RES
1998 09 26.55 1998 09 27.03 1998 09 30.04 1998 09 30.54 1998 10 02.72 1998 10 02.82 1998 10 04.64 1998 10 08.78 1998 10 14.06 1998 10 15.02	S 12.7 HS 25.6 L 5 84 S 12.6 HS 25.4 L 6 104 S 12.4 HS 20 L 5 70 S 12.6 HS 25.6 L 5 84 V 13.6 LA 50.0 C 12 a180 C 13.2 GA 60.0 Y 6 a120 S 12.6 HS 25.6 L 5 84 V 13.3 LA 50.0 C 12 a180 S 13.0 HS 35 L 5 207 S 13.0 GA 25.4 J 6 100	1.5 2 1.6 2 1.7 3 1.0 2 0.73 6 1.2 1.0 3 0.73 6 1.5 1/ 1.3 2	2.0m 260 > 8.6m 270 > 7.4m 268 > 9.1m 271	BIV SARO2 BARO6 BIV FUKO2 NAKO1 BIV FUKO2 HORO2 BOU

Comet 52P/Harr	ington-Abel	1 [c	cont.]							
DATE (UT) 1998 10 16.94 1998 10 18.09 1998 10 18.28 1998 10 18.45 1998 10 20.46 1998 10 21.02 1998 10 23.53 1998 10 24.46 1998 10 25.00 1998 10 26.50 1998 10 26.92 1998 10 29.75 1998 11 01.23	N MM MAG. S 12.4 S 14.1 ! J 13.1 S 12.7 S 12.7 S 12.2 M 12.1 S 12.5 S 12.2 S 12.6 S 12.6 S 12.6 S 13.0 C 13.0 S 13.9	RF HS SC HS TII HS AC HS VB VB	44.5 1 30 1 25.4 2 25.6 1 25.6 1 25.6 1 25.6 1 25.6 1 25.0 1 25.0 1 30 1 60.0 1	R 20 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 20	PWR 100 185 a 60 84 207 92 84 61 185 a120 185	COMA 1.8 0.4 0.43 1.0 1.6 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.5 0.2 1.2 0.5	DC 1 2 s5/ 2 2/ 2/ 3 2 3 2/ 5	3.2m 1.5m		OBS. KARO2 SHAO2 ROQ BIV BIV HORO2 BIV BIV RES BIV RES SHAO2 NAKO1 SHAO2
Comet 55P/Temp	el-Tuttle									
DATE (UT) 1997 05 26.54 1997 11 03.84 1998 01 10.78 1998 01 11.71 1998 01 17.72 1998 01 17.78 1998 01 18.75 1998 01 24.78 1998 01 25.74 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 26.75 1998 01 27.00 1998 02 14.77 1998 02 15.76	N MM MAG. C[19.5: C[18.5: M 8.9 M 8.2 M 7.5 S 8.0 M 7.8 S 8.1 M 7.2 M 7.7 M 8.5 S 8.3 S 9.3 S 9.3	RF GA TII TII TT AC TII AC TII AA GA TT	60.0 M 60.0 M 10 H 10 H 15.2 H 5.0 H 15.2 I 5.0 H 15.2 I	4 6 4 4 6 4 4 6 4 4	PWR a480 a120 25 25 10 30 10 25 25 83 46 53 30	COMA ! 0.2 ! 0.2 8.1 9.4 19 9.5 11 7 13 10.7 7.1 6 5 4 3	DC 3 3 2 2 0/ 2 1/ 2 2/ 1 2 3 3/	TAIL	P▲	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 LEH LEH HAV SANO7 HAV SANO7 LEH LEH TOTO3 DID LOOO1 HAV
·Comet 58P/Jack	son-Neujmin									
DATE (UT) 1995 04 26.78 1995 06 01.70 1996 03 10.46	N MM MAG. C[19.0: C[19.0: C[17.5:	GA GA	AP. 7 60.0 Y 60.0 Y	6	PWR a240 a240 a120	COMA ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.3	DC	TAIL	PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 59P/Kear	ns-Kwee									
DATE (UT) 1998 08 30.34 1998 08 30.37	N MM MAG. c 21.8	FA	91.4 I	5		COMA	DC	TAIL	P▲	OBS. SCOO1
		FA	91.4 I	5		0.12		7.8s	224	SC001
Comet 62P/Tsuc							•			
DATE (UT) 1997 08 28.76 1997 09 29.74 1997 12 04.49 1998 01 26.77 1998 03 25.80 1998 03 26.80 1998 03 29.81 1998 05 19.85	N MM MAG. C[19.0: C[19.0: C[19.0: 0[14.6 M 13.3 M 13.1 M 12.5 M 12.6	GA GA HS HS HS	11 F	7 6 7 6 7 6	PWR a240 a240 a240 263 140 110 162	COMA ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.5 1.1 1.0 2.3 1.4	3/ 4 3/ 3/	TAIL	PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 LEH LEH LEH LEH
Comet 65P/Gunn										
DATE (UT) 1997 10 28.81 1997 10 31.91	B 14.9	HS	42 I	F/ 5 5	PWR 162 162	COMA 0.5 0.4	DC 2 4	TAIL	PA	OBS. LEH LEH

~ .	707	177 "	
Comet	702	/ K 🔿 🌣	בתר
ООЩОО		/ 110	

COTTEC LOLYKOli	шa											
DATE (UT) 1993 12 11.82			RF GA	AP. 60.0			PWR a120	COMA ! 0.2	DC	TAIL	PΔ	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 73P/Schw	ass	smann-Wac	hman	n 3								
DATE (UT) 1995 03 08.66 1995 12 27.74 1995 12 29.75 1995 12 30.71 1996 02 06.76		MM MAG. C[19.0: M 8.6 M 9.6 M 10.2 O[12.1	S TI TI HS	60.0 10 20 20	Y B R R		87	COMA ! 0.2 4 4 5 ! 1	DC 3 3 4	TAIL	P∆	OBS. NAKO1 LEH LEH LEH LEH
Comet 74P/Smir	nov	/a-Cherny	kh									
DATE (UT) 1998 09 02.80 1998 10 29.72		MM MAG. C 18.3 C 17.8	RF GA GA	AP. 60.0 60.0	Y	6	PWR a240 a240	COMA 0.25 0.3	DC	TAIL 1.1m 0.9m		OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 75P/Koho	ute	ek										
1993 12 07.40 1994 01 05.42 1994 01 30.43		MM MAG. C[18.5: C[18.5: C[19.0: C[19.0: C[19.0:	GA GA GA	AP. 60.0 60.0 60.0 60.0	Y Y Y Y Y	6 6 6 6	PWR a120 a120 a120 a240 a240 a240	COMA ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2	DC	TAIL	P▲	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 78P/Gehr	els	; 2										
DATE (UT) 1996 05 24.74 1996 07 13.64 1996 07 16.61 1996 10 11.44 1997 11 01.95 1997 12 21.85 1997 12 30.83 1997 12 31.79 1998 01 17.85 1998 01 25.81 1998 01 26.78 1998 03 23.85 1998 03 25.83 1998 03 25.83 1998 03 26.84 1998 03 29.83 1998 04 20.88 1998 04 23.86		C[19.0: C[19.0: C[19.0: C[19.0: M 12.8 M 12.6 M 11.9 M 12.5 M 13.0 M 12.9 M 13.1 M 13.1 M 13.1 M 13.1 M 13.1	GA GA	42 42 42	YYYYLLLLLLRRRR	6 6 6 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	PWR a240 a240 a240 162 162 162 162 110 110 110 162 162	COMA ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.4 1.2 1.3 1.2 0.9	DC 4 2/ 3 3/ 4 3/ 3 4 4 4 3/ 3 3 3	TAIL	PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 LEH
Comet 81P/Wild	2											
DATE (UT) 1996 12 30.85 1997 01 31.81 1997 02 01.77 1997 02 02.78 1997 02 03.74 1997 02 07.82 1997 02 10.77 1997 02 11.76 1997 02 14.81 1997 02 23.77 1997 03 01.79 1997 03 02.79 1997 03 03.79	N	M 12.0 M 10.1 M 10.2 M 9.7 M 9.6 M 10.0 M 9.6	RF HS TI TI TI TI TI TI TI TI	AP. 20 20 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	R	F/ 17 17 17 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	PWR 140 87 87 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	COMA 2.5 3 2.5 3.7 4 2.5 3.5 2.5 3 5 4.5	DC 3 3/3 3 3 2/2/3 3 3/3 3/3 3/3 3	TAIL	PA	OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH

Comet 81P/Wild	1 2	[cont.]	1									
DATE (UT) 1997 03 04.76 1997 03 05.79 1997 03 07.79 1997 03 10.78 1997 03 12.81 1997 03 12.81 1997 03 30.83 1997 03 31.83 1997 04 01.82 1997 05 02.84 1997 05 02.84 1997 05 31.89 1997 06 03.92 1997 06 04.88 1997 06 04.88 1997 06 06.89 1997 06 07.88 1997 06 10.89 1998 07 15.36 1998 07 15.38 1998 07 27.29 1998 07 27.30 1998 08 02.68		MM MAG. M 9.3 M 9.4 M 9.8 M 9.3 M 9.3 M 9.8 M 9.9 M 10.8 M 10.7 M 10.5 M 10.6 M 10.4 M 11.0 M 10.2 M 10.9 c 20.5 C 17.9 c 20.4 C 17.1 C 17.0:	RFI TTIII TTIIITTHS HS HS FF FF FF FF FF	AP. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	B B B B B B B B B L L B L L L L L	444444444455455555	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	COMA 4 5.5 4.5 4.5 3.3 3.1 4.4 3.8 3.1 4.9 0.52 0.38 0.45		9.7m 4.3m	258	OBS. LEH
Comet 82P/Gehr	els	3										
DATE (UT) 1993 10 27.82							PWR a120	COMA ! 0.2	DC	TAIL	PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 85P/Boet	hir	ı										
DATE (UT) 1997 11 03.83	N	MM MAG. C[18.5:	RF GA	AP. 60.0	T Y	F/ 6	PWR a120	COMA ! 0.2	DC	TAIL	P∆	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 86P/Wild	3											
DATE (UT) 1994 01 08.82 1994 01 15.83	N	MM MAG. C[19.0: C[19.0:	RF GA GA	AP. 60.0 60.0	T Y Y	F/ 6 6	PWR a240 a240	COMA ! 0.2 ! 0.2	DC	TAIL	P∆	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 87P/Bus												
DATE (UT) 1993 12 11.83		MM MAG. C[18.5:				F/ 6		COMA ! 0.2	DC	TAIL	P∆	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 88P/Howe	11											
DATE (UT) 1998 04 20.92 1998 04 23.95 1998 05 01.97 1998 05 15.96 1998 05 19.95 1998 05 21.96 1998 05 21.96 1998 05 28.88 1998 05 29.89 1998 05 29.94 1998 06 20.91 1998 06 21.92	N	B 14.9 B 14.8 B 14.9 S[12.0: S[12.7: B 14.1 I[14.7: S 13.9: S[12.5 B 13.9 S 13.7: B 13.8 B 13.2 c 19.0	VB HS HS HS HS HS HS HS	42 30 30 42 30 30 27 42 30 42 42 42 91.4	LLLRRLRRLLLRLLLL	F/55581855818658555555	PWR 162 263 162 170 170 162 170 214 162 210 162 162	COMA 0.6 0.8 0.9 0.3 ! 1 1.2 0.5 1.2 1.6 1.7	DC 4 4 3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3/3		PA	OBS. LEH LEH SHA02 SHA02 LEH SHA02 TOT03 LEH SHA02 LEH LEH LEH
1998 06 24.18 1998 06 25.91 1998 06 27.90		B 13.1			L L L	5 5 5	162 162	0.65 1.9 1.8	3 3	63.6s	108	SCOO1 LEH LEH

Comet 88P/Howell [cont.]

DATE (UT) 1998 07 19.86	N MM MAG. RF M 12.3 HS	AP. T F/ 42 L 5	PWR 162	COMA 1.7	DC 3/	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH
1998 07 20.87 1998 07 27.16	M 12.2 HS c 18.6 FA	42 L 5 91.4 L 5	162	1.9	3		LEH SC001
1998 07 27.17 1998 08 10.43	C 14.9 FA S 11.7 HS	91.4 L 5 20 L 7	45	1.97 2	3	4.1m 108	SCO01 MATO8
1998 08 11.28 1998 08 12.27	S 12.2 HS S 11.8 HS	25.6 L 5 25.6 L 5	42 42	2.0 2.5	2 2		BIV BIV
1998 08 12.41 1998 08 15.28	S 11.8 HS S 11.5 HS	20 L 7 25.6 L 5	45 42	2 3.0	3		MATO8 BIV
1998 08 15.44	S 11.6 HS	20 L 7	45	3	3		80TAM
1998 08 16.30 1998 08 19.30	S 11.1 HS S 11.3: TJ	25.6 L 5 25.6 L 5	42 42	3.0 2.5	2 2		BIV BIV
1998 08 20.29 1998 08 22.88	S 11.4 TJ S 10.8 NP	25.6 L 5 32 L 5	42 75	3.0 4	3 2		BIV MARO2
1998 08 24.28 1998 09 08.24	S 11.0 TJ S 10.6 TJ	25.6 L 5 25.6 L 5	42 42	3.0 3.5	2 4		BIV BIV
1998 09 10.45 1998 09 10.45	S 10.8 TI S 10.8 TI	20 L 7 20 L 7	45 45	3.5 3.5	4 4		80TAM 80TAM
1998 09 11.25 1998 09 12.35	S 10.3 TJ S 10.2 TT	25.6 L 5 11 L 4	42 16	4.5 4	3		BIV RAE
1998 09 13.25	S 10.4 TJ	25.6 L 5	42	$\frac{1}{4}.5$	3		BIV
1998 09 13.44 1998 09 15.27	S 10.2 AA S 10.2 TJ	10.0 B 25.6 L 5	25 42	4.0	3		SEA BIV
1998 09 15.41 1998 09 15.44	S 10.4 AA S 11.5 VN	10.0 B 25.4 L 6	25 61	5 1.5	3		SEA SEA01
1998 09 16.41 1998 09 16.43	S 10.2: VN S 10.6 TI	10.0 B 20 L 7	25 45	3.5	3		SEA Mato8
1998 09 17.38 1998 09 17.41	S 10.8 VN S 10.1 AA	25.4 L 6 10.0 B	61 25	1.6	2	·	SEA01 SEA
1998 09 18.26 1998 09 19.26	S 10.2 TJ S 10.4 TJ	25.6 L 5 25.6 L 5	42 42	4.0 4.0	3 3		BIV BIV
1998 09 19.37 1998 09 19.42	S 10.9 VN S 10.0 AA	25.4 L 6 10.0 B	61 25	1.4	2		SEA01 SEA
1998 09 19.43 1998 09 20.25	S 9.9 TI S 10.4 TJ	20 L 7 25.6 L 5	45 42	3.5 4.0	3 3		MATO8 BIV
1998 09 20.38 1998 09 21.38	S 10.7 VN S 10.7 VN	25.4 L 6 25.4 L 6	61 61	1.6 1.2	2 2		SEA01 SEA01
1998 09 22.33 1998 09 22.38	S 9.8: TT	11 L 4	16	3.5	3		RAE
1998 09 23.37	S 10.6 VN S 10.3 VN	25.4 L 6 25.4 L 6	61 61	1.6 1.4	3		SEA01 SEA01
1998 09 24.25 1998 09 27.25	S 10.3 TJ S 9.9: TJ	25.6 L 5 25.6 L 5	42 42	4.0 3.5	3		BIV BIV
1998 10 07.42 1998 10 07.49	S 10.3 TI S 10.2 VN	20 L 7 41 L 4	45 90	3.5 2.2	3 3/		MATO8 PEA
1998 10 08.23 1998 10 09.23	S 9.7 TJ S 9.8 TJ	25.6 L 5 25.6 L 5	42 42	4.5 4.0	3 4		BIV BIV
1998 10 09.43 1998 10 10.50	S 10.1 TI S 9.8 VN	20 L 7 41 L 4	45 90	3.5 2.4	3 3		MATO8 PEA
1998 10 12.43 1998 10 13.44	S 10.2 AA S 10.3 TI	10.0 B 20 L 7	25 45	3	3		SEA Matos
1998 10 13.65 1998 10 14.44	S 11.0 AC S 10.4 AA	35.6 T 11 10.0 B	150 25		2		LANO2 SEA
1998 10 15.35 1998 10 15.44	S 10.1 TT S 10.3 TI	11 L 4 20 L 7	16 45	3 3	3 3		RAE MATO8
1998 10 16.36 1998 10 17.35	S 10.0 TT S 9.9 TT	11 L 4 11 L 4	16 16	3 3.5	3 3/		RAE RAE
1998 10 18.24	S 10.1 TJ	25.6 L 5	42	3.0	3		BIV
1998 10 19.51 1998 10 20.24	S 10.5 VN S 10.2 TJ	41 L 4 25.6 L 5	90 42	1.8	2		PEA BIV
1998 10 23.26 1998 10 26.72	S 10.0 TJ S 9.8: AC	25.6 L 5 25.0 L 6	42 61	3.5 1	2 2		BIV RES

Comet 89P/Russ	sell 2				
DATE (UT) 1994 08 26.48	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 91P/Russ	ell 3				
DATE (UT) 1998 07 27.25	N MM MAG. RF c 21.1 FA	AP. T F/ PWR 91.4 L 5	COMA DC	TAIL PA	0BS. SC001
1998 07 27.26	C 18.4 FA	91.4 L 5	0.15	0.6m 223	
Comet 93P/Lova	s 1				
DATE (UT) 1998 06 24.46 1998 06 24.46	N MM MAG. RF C 17.6 FA c 20.3 FA	AP. T F/ PWR 91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5	COMA DC 0.20	TAIL PA 22.2s 255	OBS. SCOO1
1998 07 27.46 1998 07 27.46	C 16.7 FA c 19.8 FA	91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5	0.28	2.1m 252	SC001 SC001 SC001
1998 11 01.22 Comet 94P/Russe DATE (UT) 1995 11 16.59	S[13.5 HS C 15.7 GA B 14.2 HS S 13.4: AC B 14.1 HS S 14.1 HS S 14.2 NP ! V 16.0 YF C 15.5: GA S 13.6 AC S 13.7 AC C 15.1 GA S 13.6 AC S 13.3 HS S 13.6 HS S 13.6 HS S 13.6 HS S 13.6 HS S 13.6 HS S 13.7 HS S 13.6 HS S 13.7 HS S 13.8 HS S 13.6 HS S 13.7 HS S 13.8 HS S 13.8 HS S 13.8 HS S 13.8 HS S 13.9 GA S 13.7 S 12.7 C 13.9 GA S 14.1: VB	44.5 L 4 230 60.0 Y 6 a240 42 L 5 162 25.0 L 6 121 42 L 5 162 35 L 5 207 25.0 L 6 121 32 L 5 125 36.0 T 7 a120 60.0 Y 6 a120 25.0 L 6 121 60.0 Y 6 a120 25.0 L 6 121 25.4 L 6 159 25.0 L 6 121 25.4 L 6 104 25.6 L 5 169 60.0 Y 6 a120 35 L 5 207 25.4 J 6 100 44.5 L 5 100 30 R 20 185 25.6 L 5 169 35 L 5 207 25.4 J 6 100 44.5 L 5 169 25.0 L 6 121 25.6 L 5 169 35 L 5 207 35 L 5 207 25.6 L 5 169 35 L 5 207 35 L 5 207 25.6 L 5 169 35 L 5 207 35 L 5 207 35 L 5 207 25.6 L 5 169 35 L 5 207 35 L 5 207 25.6 L 5 169 35 L 5 207 35 L 5 169 35 L 5 207 35 L 5 169 35 L 5 207 35 L 5 169 35 L 5 207	! 1	0.8m 244 1.9m 255 TAIL PA	NAKO1 LEH HORO2 RES MARO2 MIK NAKO1 RES HORO2 RES NAKO1 RES SARO2 HORO2 HORO2 SARO2 HORO2 BIV NAKO1 HORO2 BOU KARO2 BIV HORO2 BIV HORO2 BOU KARO2 BIV HORO2 BIV HORO3
1995 12 17.58	C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6 a240	! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2		NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 96P/Machh	olz 1				
DATE (UT) 1997 05 05.79	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1

Comet 103P/Hartley 2

DATE (UT) 1997 09 20.81 1997 09 21.80 1997 09 28.79 1997 10 19.76 1997 10 21.75 1997 10 26.74 1997 10 31.84 1997 10 31.84 1997 11 01.80 1997 11 04.74 1997 11 10.73 1997 12 16.72 1997 12 16.72 1997 12 21.72 1997 12 21.72 1997 12 21.72 1997 12 31.00 1997 12 31.00 1997 12 31.72 1997 12 31.00 1997 12 31.72 1997 12 31.72 1997 12 31.72 1997 12 31.72 1997 12 31.72 1998 01 10.77 1998 01 10.77 1998 01 17.75 1998 01 17.75 1998 01 17.76 1998 01 25.72 1998 01 25.72 1998 01 25.72 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 01 25.77 1998 02 16.00 1998 03 20.84 1998 03 20.84 1998 03 25.82 1998 04 20.85 1998 04 23.84 Comet 104P/Kom	MM MAG.58 13.82 11.60 11.09 11.09 11.00.77 11.00.77 11.00.77 11.00.77 11.00.77 11.00.77 11.00.73 11.00.7	RFSSSSSTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	AP. TL 55555555555555555555555555555555555	PWR 162 163 18 11 11 15 55 55 55 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	C0MA457 8 68 53925030550 8 075 9 7 8 2301353 102121122223457898755546665656754452222211	D5554432333443444443333353333333221202323333	TAIL	PA 180 170	OBS. LEH
DATE (UT) 1997 10 19.78 1997 10 21.77 1997 10 24.77 1997 10 28.76 1997 10 31.89 1997 11 01.83 1997 11 04.79 1997 12 16.74 1997 12 21.74 1997 12 30.75 1997 12 31.73 1998 01 01.74 1998 01 17.78 1998 01 25.76 1998 01 26.75 1998 03 23.80 1998 03 25.78	N MM MAG. B 14.3 B 14.6 B 14.4 B 14.5 B 14.1 B 13.9 B 14.0 B 13.8 B 13.8 B 13.7 B 13.7 B 13.7 M 13.3 M 13.3	RFS HHS HHS HHS HHS HHS HHS HHS HHS HHS H	AP. T F/ 42 L 5 42 L 7	PWR 1622 1622 1622 1622 1622 1622 1622 162	COMA 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 1.8 0.0 1.4 1.6 1.7 1.7 2.8	DC 44 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33	TAIL	PA	OBS. LEH

Comet 104P/Ko	wal 2	[cont.]							,
DATE (UT) 1998 03 26.78 1998 03 29.79 1998 04 20.83	M M	13.0 HS	5 11 1 5 11 1	T F/ PWR R 15 110 R 15 110 L 5 162	1.4	DC 3 3 3	TAIL	P▲	OBS. LEH LEH LEH
Comet 111P/He	lin-Ro	man-Crocl	rett					•	
DATE (UT) 1995 09 05.79	N MM C	MAG. RI [19.0: GA	AP. 1	I F/ PWR Y 6 a240	COMA ! 0.2		TAIL	P▲	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 112P/Ura	ata-Ni	ijima							
DATE (UT) 1993 09 25.81 1993 09 26.81	N MM C C	MAG. RF [18.5: GA [18.5: GA	AP. 7 60.0 Y	F F/ PWR 6 a120 6 a120	COMA ! 0.2 ! 0.2	DC	TAIL	P∆	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 114P/Wis	seman-	Skiff			•				
DATE (UT) 1993 11 14.83	N MM C	MAG. RF [18.5: GA	AP. 7	F/ PWR 6 a120	COMA ! 0.2	DC	TAIL	PA .	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 115P/Mai	ury				·				
DATE (UT) 1994 05 06.77 1994 05 16.76	N MM C C	MAG. RF [18.0: GA [18.0: GA	AP. 7 60.0 Y 60.0 Y	F/ PWR 6 a120 6 a120	COMA ! 0.3 ! 0.3	DC	TAIL	P∆	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 117P/He]	Lin-Ror	man-Alu 1							
DATE (UT) 1998 10 24.58	N MM C	MAG. RF 16.5 GA	AP. T 60.0 Y	F/ PWR 6 a240	COMA 0.4	DC	TAIL 1.0m	PA 244	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 118P/Sho	emake	r-Levy 4							
DATE (UT) 1996 12 28.81 1996 12 30.78 1997 01 26.80 1997 01 31.78 1997 02 01.76 1997 02 02.76 1997 02 07.80 1997 02 10.76 1997 02 11.76 1997 03 01.83 1997 03 05.82 1997 03 07.81 1998 06 23.19 1998 07 26.15 1998 07 26.18	M B B B B B B B B C C C C	13.6 HS 13.8 HS 13.5 HS 13.9 HS 13.1 HS 13.2 HS 12.9 HS 13.5 HS 18.0 FA 17.9 FA 22.2 FA 19.7 FA	20 R 20 R 20 R 20 R 20 R 20 R 20 R 20 R	5 5	COMA 1.5 1 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.5 1.3 1 1.5 1.1 0.9	4/ 4 5/	TAIL	På	OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH SCOO1 SCOO1 SCOO1
Comet 119P/Par	ker-Ha	rtley							
DATE (UT) 1997 05 09.48	N MM C[MAG. RF 18.5: GA	AP. T 60.0 Y	F/ PWR 6 a240	COMA! 0.3	DC	TAIL	P▲	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 120P/Mue	ller 1								
DATE (UT) 1996 10 22.77	N MM C[MAG. RF 19.0: GA	AP. T 60.0 Y	F/ PWR 6 a240	COMA! 0.2	DC	TAIL	PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 123P/Wes	t-Hart	ley							
DATE (UT) 1995 08 23.80	N MM	MAG. RF 19.0: GA	AP. T 60.0 Y	F/ PWR 6 a240	COMA! 0.2	DC	TAIL	PA	OBS. NAKO1

Comet 123P/Wes	st-Hartley [con	t.]			
	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6 a240		TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 124P/Mrk	cos				
DATE (UT) 1995 10 26.67 1995 11 16.52 1995 12 17.57	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 125P/Spa	ncewatch				
DATE (UT) 1997 09 10.69	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240		TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet 126P/IRA	AS				
DATE (UT) 1996 12 26.72 1996 12 27.72 1996 12 28.72 1996 12 30.74	N MM MAG. RF B 13.0 HS B 13.1 HS B 13.7 HS B 13.2 HS	AP. T F/ PWR 20 R 17 140 20 R 17 140 20 R 17 140 20 R 17 140	COMA DC 1.5 5 1.5 5 1 5 1 5/	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH
Comet 127P/Hol	t-Olmstead				
DATE (UT) 1996 07 16.72 1996 07 24.71 1996 07 26.70 1996 08 19.64 1996 10 11.46	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 128P/Sho	emaker-Holt 1				
DATE (UT) 1997 12 21.86 1997 12 30.84 1997 12 31.77 1998 01 01.78 1998 01 17.88	N MM MAG. RF B 14.7 HS B 14.9 HS B 14.9 HS B 14.9 HS O[15.3 HS	AP. T F/ PWR 42 L 5 162 42 L 5 162	COMA DC 0.9 3/ 0.8 3 0.8 3 0.8 3 ! 0.5	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH
Comet 129P/Sho	emaker-Levy 3				
		AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 42 L 5 263	COMA DC ! 0.2 0.4 4	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 LEH
Comet 130P/McN	laught-Hughes				
DATE (UT) 1997 02 09.84 1997 04 07.76 1998 08 04.77 1998 09 02.75 1998 10 24.61	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA C 19.1 GA C 18.7 GA C 18.6 GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.25	TAIL PA 235	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet 132P/Hel	in-Roman-Alu 2				
DATE (UT) 1997 10 31.95 1997 11 01.90 1997 11 20.83 1997 12 21.82 1997 12 30.79 1997 12 31.74	N MM MAG. RF B 14.7 HS B 14.7 HS B 14.9 HS B 14.8 HS B 15.0 HS B 15.0 HS	AP. T F/ PWR 42 L 5 162 42 L 5 162	COMA DC 0.7 2 0.7 2/ 0.7 3 0.7 3 0.8 3 0.8 3	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH LEH LEH LEH LEH

Comet 132P/Hel	.in-Roman-Alu 2	[cont.]			
1998 01 01.75	N MM MAG. RF B 14.9 HS O[15.4 HS	AP. T F/ PWR 42 L 5 162 42 L 5 162	0.7 4	TAIL PA	OBS. LEH LEH
Comet 136P/Mue	eller 3				
DATE (UT) 1998 06 23.43 1998 06 23.45 1998 08 31.62 1998 09 16.55 1998 09 17.50	N MM MAG. RF c 22.4 FA C 20.8 FA c 18.2 GA a C 18.4 GA a C 18.3 GA	AP. T F/ PWR 91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240	0.23 0.25 0.25	TAIL PA	OBS. SCOO1 SCOO1 NAKO1 NAKO1
1998 10 15.12	C 19.1 FA c 22.2 FA C 18.7 GA	60.0 Y 6 a240 91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5 60.0 Y 6 a240	0.25 0.18 0.25	34.2s 21	NAKO1 SCOO1 SCOO1 NAKO1
Comet 138P/Sho	emaker-Levy 7				
DATE (UT) 1998 07 25.45 1998 07 25.46 1998 07 26.46 1998 07 26.47	N MM MAG. RF C 20.4 FA c 22.5 FA c 22.1 FA C 20.1 FA	AP. T F/ PWR 91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5	COMA DC 0.10 0.10	TAIL PA 0.5m 264 9 s 275	
	1 (Kushida-Mura				
1995 04 23.60	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet P/1994 J3	3 (Shoemaker 4)				
1995 08 01.74	C[19.0: GA	60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet P/1994 N2	_	•			
DATE (UT) 1995 08 23.78	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet P/1994 X1	(McNaught-Rus	sell)			
DATE (UT) 1995 03 19.47 1995 03 20.44	C[19 0 · GA	60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet P/1996 A1	(Jedicke)				
DATE (UT) 1998 06 23.16 1998 06 23.18	c 22.5 FA	AP. T F/ PWR 91.4 L 5 91.4 L 5		TAIL PA 82.2s 290	0BS. SC001 SC001
	· · · · · ·		0.20	02.25 230	20001
Comet P/1996 R2					
DATE (UT) 1997 09 10.79	N MM MAG. RF C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1
Comet P/1997 G1	(Montani)	·			
DATE (UT) 1997 05 04.49 1997 05 09.47	C[19.0: GA	AP. T F/ PWR 60.0 Y 6 a240 60.0 Y 6 a240	COMA DC ! 0.2 ! 0.2	TAIL PA	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1

Comet 1	P/1998	QP54	(LONEOS-Tucker)	
---------	--------	------	-----------------	--

DATE (UT) 1998 09 16.62 1998 09 17.63 1998 09 18.18 1998 09 19.89 1998 09 28.18 1998 10 11.13 1998 10 13.84 1998 10 14.52 1998 10 17.13 1998 10 29.57 Comet P/1998 S	N MM MAG. C 15.8 C 15.7 J 15.6 I[15.2 J 15.7 J 16.2 S 14.8 C 15.8 J 16.5 C 15.8	RF GA GA SC HS SC HS GA SC	60.0 Y 6 25.4 T 5 35 L 5 25.4 T 5 35 L 5 60.0 Y 6 25.4 T 5 60.0 Y 6		COMA 0.5 0.55 0.35 ! 0.2 0.69 0.34 0.4 0.35 2.08 0.5	DC s3 s4/ s3 2 s5	TAIL 1.1m 1.6m 0.7m 0.7m	220226186131	OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1 ROQ HORO2 ROQ ROQ HORO2 NAKO1 ROQ NAKO1
Comet P/1998 S	I (LINEAR-	ineT]	Ler)						
DATE (UT) 1998 10 20.89	N MM MAG. S 14.3	RF HS	AP. T F/ 35 L 5	PWR 207	COMA 0.7	DC 4	TAIL	PA	OBS. HORO2
1998 10 21.54 1998 10 21.82	C 15.7 S 14.0	GA HS	35 L 5	a120 207	0.45 0.6	3	0.8m	223	NAKO1 HORO2
1998 10 22.82 1998 10 24.56 1998 10 26.83	S 14.0 C 15.7 S 13.7	HS GA HS	35 L 5 60.0 Y 6 25.0 L 6	207 a120 121	$0.7 \\ 0.45 \\ 0.4$	3 2/	0.8m	221	HORO2 NAKO1 RES
1998 10 26.91 1998 10 29.60	S 13.7 C 15.7	HS GA	25.0 L 6	121 a120	0.5	2/	0.7m	224	RES NAKO1
Comet P/1998 U	2 (Mueller))							
DATE (UT) 1998 10 24.63 1998 10 29.60	N MM MAG. C 16.1 C 16.3	RF GA GA		PWR a120 a120	COMA 0.5 0.45	DC	TAIL 0.5m 0.5m		OBS. NAKO1 NAKO1
Comet P/1998 U	3 (Jäger)								
DATE (UT) 1998 10 26.49 1998 10 29.15	N MM MAG. S 12.3 S 12.8	RF HS	AP. T F/ 25.6 L 5 30 R 20	PWR 84	COMA 1.3	DC 4 s3	TAIL	P∆	OBS. BIV
1998 10 29.15 1998 10 29.73 1998 11 01.19	C 12.9 S 12.7	VB GA VB		105 a120 105	1.3 1.2 1.0	3	> 6.0m	284	SHA02 NAK01 SHA02

ΦФФ

DESIGNATIONS OF RECENT COMETS

Listed below, for handy reference, are the last 10 comets to have been given designations in the new system. The name, preceded by a star (\star) if the comet was a new discovery (compared to a recovery from predictions of a previously-known short-period comet). Also given are such values as the orbital period (in years) for periodic comets, date of perihelion, T (month/date/year), and the perihelion distance (q, in AU). Four-digit numbers in the last column indicate the IAU Circular (4-digit number) containing the discovery/recovery or permanent-number announcement.

Not included below are numerous recently-discovered comets observed only with the ESA/NASA Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) spacecraft — and seen only close to the sun with the SOHO instruments — that are presumed to be Kreutz sungrazers that are no longer in existence (see lists and references in October 1997 ICQ, p. 286, and July 1998 ICQ, p. 160). [This list updates that in the July 1998 issue, p. 160.]

and July 1998 ICQ, p. 160). [This list updates that in the July 1998 issue, p. 160.]

Corrigenda. In the July 1998 issue, page 160, the name of comet C/1997 L1 was changed from 'Xinglong' to 'Zhu-Balam' (cf. IAUC 6811). Also, in line 1 on the same page, for 35 comets read 40 comets

	New-Style Designation	\boldsymbol{P}	$oldsymbol{T}$	\boldsymbol{q}	IAUC
*	C/1998 P1 (Williams)		10/17/98	1.15	6986
*	C/1998 Q1 (LINEAR)		6/29/98	1.58	6995
*	P/1998 QP ₅₄ (LONEOS-Tucker)	8.6	10/6/98	1.88	7012
*	P/1998 S1 (LINEAR-Mueller)	9.1	11/2/98	2.55	7031
*	C/1998 T1 (LINEAR)		6/25/99	1.47	7026
*	C/1998 U1 (LINEAR)		5/3/98	4.0	7033
*	P/1998 U2 (Mueller)	8.7	10/20/98	2.02	7035
*	P/1998 U3 (Jäger)	15.4	3/7/99	2.15	7038
*	P/1998 U4 (Spahr)	13.1	3/4/99	3.83	7042
*	C/1998 U5 (LINEÁR)		12/21/98	1.24	7044

IWCA II: Early Registration Form

Because a large deposit must be given to New Hall by March 1999, we need to ask those planning to attend the IWCA II next August to register early, if at all possible. Please make a copy of the form below (which is also posted at the ICQ web site) and return it with payment for the first day of room and board costs. Those in Great Britain paying in pounds sterling should make payment around 1999 March 1 directly to Jonathan Shanklin or the BAA main office (checks payable to "British Astronomical Association"; the rates in pounds are given at the ICQ web site). No refunds. Additional forms will be mailed later regarding the number of days/nights you plan to be at New Hall, and possible field trips (such as Stonehenge).

SECOND INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON COMETARY ASTRONOMY

to be held at NEW HALL, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE CAMBRIDGE, ENGLAND

Saturday-Monday, 1999 August 14-16

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE) Date:
Name
Full Postal Address
E-mail address
Please enclose payment with your registration for the first day of the meeting (choose from the daily rates in the table below; the column headed "1998" means that those rates apply if you register before January 1, 1999, in which case you will be guaranteed the same rate for all the days at the IWCA ditto for the other columns).
Please state amount paid with this form: \$
If paying by check or money order, make it payable to "International Comet Quarterly" in U.S. funds (drawn on a U.S. bank), and send with this registration form to: IWCA; c/o International Comet Quarterly; M.S. 18; 60 Garden St.; Cambridge, MA 02138; U.S.A. If paying by credit card, please fill in the appropriate spaces below.
Credit-card payments for early-registration deposit:
Check type of credit card: MasterCard Visa Discover
Credit-card number: Expiration date:
Full Name of cardholder:
Your address at which the card is registered:
Below are the rates now scheduled for room and board, in U.S. dollars (please check the rate you will be using in the left column below):
1998 1999 Jan-Apr May-July Aug. Daily full board, shared* \$115 \$120 \$125 \$137 Daily full board, en-suite* 140 145 150 165 Day visitor with lunch 27 28 30 33 Discount for sharing twin room 15 15 15 15

^{* &}quot;en-suite" means shower and toilet internal to the room; "shared" means that they are external in separate rooms and shared with other rooms.